

Department of Environment Regulation

Feedback form

Draft guideline: A guide to preparing revegetation plans for clearing permits under Part V of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*

Respondent information					
Company or association represented by this submission	Your name				
BHP Billiton Iron Ore Pty Ltd	Gavin Price				
Postal / business address	Email				
125 St. Georges Terrace Perth, WA 6000	gavin.price@bhpbilliton.com				
	Phone number				
	(08) 6321 3455				
Why are you/your business or association interest revegetation plan for clearing permits under Part	sted in the draft guideline titled 'A guide to preparing V of the Environmental Protection Act 1986'?				
Western Australia. Consent to treat this submission as a public docu					
published on the department's website. Your name we for privacy. If you do not consent to your submission being treated confidential, specifically identify those parts which your submission being treated confidential.	u feel need to be kept private, and include an -confidential summary of the material is also given. It is ted as confidential by the department, it may still be 2 or any other applicable written law. a submission to delete any content that could be				
I acknowledge that this submission will be treated as a public document	This submission is confidential				
If you have marked your submission as confident need to be kept private, and include an explanation					

Feedback on the draft guideline: A guide to preparing revegetation plans for clearing permits

Are there any parts of the draft guideline where the requirements are not clear? Please outline in the text box below, indicating the page, section number and title for each part being referred to.

- 1. The draft guideline runs the risk of being overly prescriptive rather than outcome based. The approaches proposed will not necessarily be practicable or suitable for all areas across WA. For example, prescription on November and December as the optimal time for seeding does not consider the practicalities and safety implications at the start of the cyclone season. An outcomes based approach would significantly broaden the successful application of the guidelines.
- 2. It is not clear from the content how the guideline will align with current or future NVCP conditions. For example, is the intent that the standard rehabilitation condition currently used in NVCPs will be replaced by a condition to prepare and implement a revegetation plan (the Plan) in accordance with this Guideline?
- 3. The level of prescription within the draft guideline means that it may not contain enough flexibility for site specific requirements.
- 4. The draft guideline does not fully account for the requirements of different Bioregions. For example, the time the guideline suggests is optimal for seeding is the middle of cyclone season for the Pilbara.
- 5. In the case that a Plan must be prepared, it is not clear:
- Who will approve the Plan?
- What is the timeframe for approval of the Plan?
- Does the Plan need to be submitted with an NVCP application? (Note that this may not be practical for a purpose permit where exact clearing locations are not known).
- Does the Plan need to be submitted prior to an amendment being approved for old NVCPs?

Please provide other comments or suggestions in the text box below, indicating the page, section number and title for each part being referred to.

The current:	standard out	comes based	NVCP cond	dition may	actually h	old Propon	ents to a	higher s	standard
than just hav	∕ing a plan w	hich is to be	executed.						