

From: Peter Haas
Sent: Tuesday, 7 May 2019 10:53 AM
To: ATRI-625-WasteData
Subject: Proposed estimation/calculation methods for Local Governments

Comments re above.

1. As the above is estimates and not hard data why does the waste need to be expressed in tonnes and not cubic metres. Is this a way to get our information by stealth and then hit us with the waste levy?
2. Material categories – it is unclear as to what needs to be reported. Do you want reported these materials that are recycled or these materials that come in as waste? It is very hard to gauge the material composition of waste if it comes in a back of a truck or ute and our gate keepers do not have the time or resources to make copious notes as to composition of a ute load of rubbish.
3. C & I and MSW – This waste is all mixed in together via kerb side collections and we do not have the resources and time to go through each assessment that is receiving a service to sort out if they are domestic or commercial. Also see previous comment re gate keepers , they have enough to do on site than to make note of individual loads as to their origin (C & I /MSW)
4. What is in it for local governments? Is there any money being released to enable us to change our systems? It appears to be a lot of work on our part for very little gain
5. Weigh bridges – will there be funding to install weigh bridges?

I would suggest that DWER concentrate on getting processors and markets for materials sorted in the local area so there is some where we can take waste materials for further processing and recycling.

Regards,

Peter Haas

Principal Environmental Health Officer / Building Surveyor