

**From:** [Matthew Gifellon](#)  
**To:** [WARR Reform](#)  
**Subject:** Submission on WARR Regulations Amendments  
**Date:** Monday, 4 July 2016 1:59:06 PM

---

Good Afternoon,

Please find below the submission from the Shire of Dumbleyung on the WARR Regulations Amendments:

Q1.

Yes, the definition does provide enough clarity.

Q2.

Yes, the reporting requirements are sufficiently clear. The time period given does coincide with the busiest period for local governments (due to the amount of annual reports Local Governments must already complete at this time) and the time at which smaller local government are stretched most for resources. It may be better to consider a different time period. Even an end of calendar year period with a four month period to hand in the annual report would coincide with a quieter period for smaller country local governments which may help to get a higher compliance rate, rather than the use of fines.

Q3.

The biggest barrier is the recording of the data. Rubbish contractors fitting equipment to measure the waste will help but does not solve the problem. There is still an amount of waste dumped at sites that could not be measured without a large increase in resources required. The population x average waste would be a great way to get around this and we encourage this to be an available option.

Q4.

Assistance with developing the average quantity of waste per person.

Kind Regards,

**Matthew Gifellon**  
**Chief Executive Officer**  
**Shire of Dumbleyung**

