

1300 251 070 Level 1, 660 Newcastle St Leederville WA 6007

PO Box 454 Leederville WA 6903 info@talisconsultants.com.au www.talisconsultants.com.au

26/08/2016

Project Manager WARR Regulation Amendments Department of Environment Regulation Locked Bag 33 Cloisters Square WA 6850

By Email: <u>WARR_reform@der.wa.gov.au</u>

Dear Sir/Madam,

Department of Environment Regulation's Proposed Amendments to the Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery Regulations 2008 to Require Record-keeping and Annual Reporting of Waste and Recycling Data

This document has been prepared in response to the Department of Environment Regulation's (DER) request for feedback on the consultation paper relating to the proposed amendments to the *Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery Regulations 2008* (the Regulations) that was released in July 2016.

Talis welcomes the DER's proposal to amend the Regulations to require waste and recycling data record-keeping and reporting in Western Australia. Talis commends the DER on this significant step to address the lack of available waste data in Western Australia and would assist in advancing waste management planning and investment in the State.

However, the proposed amendments in their current form appear to be focussed on helping to inform the performance of waste diversion against the Waste Strategy targets. Whilst the waste diversion targets are important in providing the DER with an indication of how the State is performing, the currently proposed level of data reporting by liable entities will still not provide a comprehensive picture of waste management in the State, due to the limitations of the information requested. This will constrain the DER and Waste Authority in its ability to interrogate and disaggregate the data further and to track the whole lifecycle of waste from cradle to grave. This is standard reporting requirements for waste data in other advanced countries across the world. By expanding the reporting requirements to include materials types and more detailed information on the geographic source of the waste generated, the data would enable the identification of waste generation, material flow and treatment and/or disposal rates. Identification of these materials, their volumes and geographic sources would provide an evidence base for targeted initiatives from the Waste Authority and enable local governments and the waste industry to prioritise investment in new infrastructure, where it is needed. Indeed, the DER's document states "*improving waste and recycling data will promote well-informed and targeted policies and programs.*".

The Waste Authority's <u>Pilbara and Broome Waste Data Study</u>, undertaken by Talis in 2013, was one of the most comprehensive waste data studies undertaken in the State. The Study gathered waste data from across the Pilbara region from all major waste generators across a number of sectors of the economy. The report and its dataset has helped to guide investment and identify future waste infrastructure capacity gaps and opportunities in the region. As a result of the report, public and private investments have been made in the region to advance the waste management systems and infrastructure resulting in greater outcomes.



More recently, a similar study, the <u>Goldfields Waste Data and Priorities Study</u> was undertaken in the Goldfields-Esperance region, which was funded by the Goldfields Environmental Management Group and Talis. The study's report was published in July. It led to a series of recommendation to work collaboratively at a regional level with Local Governments and waste generators.

The following sections sets out Talis' comments and recommendations in relation to the above consultation with a focus on:

- Liable Entities; and
- Data capture and Waste Reporting Framework.

1 Liable Entities

The DER sets out the three proposed Liable Entities that will be required to report, namely:

- Local Governments;
- Licensees or occupiers of premises that sort, treat or process solid waste for the purposes of recycling and/or resource recovery and produce 1,000 tonnes or more of recycled or reprocessed products; and
- Licensees or occupiers of landfill premises that receive 20,000 tonnes of solid waste or more in a financial year and are not required to pay the landfill levy.

The following sub-sections set out Talis' comments and recommendations in relation to the proposed Liable Entities.

1.1 Recycling Facilities

The proposed reporting threshold for recycling and/or resource recovery premises is proposed to be 1,000 tonnes or more of recycled or reprocessed products that requires no further processing prior to final use or export. Talis is aware of a number of Material Recovery Facilities in Major Regional Centres that would be unlikely to reach 1,000 tonnes per annum of recycled or reprocessed products. Under the currently proposed thresholds, these facilities would not be required to report. As the intention of the Regulation amendments is to provide waste and recycling data, it would seem counter-intuitive to omit numerous facilities in regional areas because of an arbitrary threshold. **Table 1-1** sets out a number of prescribed premises categories and whether they would be likely to be required to report based on the currently proposed thresholds.

| Category No | Description | Threshold for prescribed premises licensing | Reporting required under proposed requirements? |
|----------------|----------------------|--|---|
| 47 | Scrap metal recovery | 100 tonnes or more per year | No, unless over 1,000 tonnes |
| 60 | Incineration | 100kg or more per hour | Dependent on operational hours and total annual throughput but unlikely if close to 100kg per hour throughput. |
| 61A | Solid waste facility | 1,000 tonnes or more per year | Yes |

Table 1-1: Examples of Prescribed Premises categories and their likely reporting requirements under proposed thresholds



| Category No | Description | Threshold for prescribed premises licensing | Reporting required under proposed requirements? |
|----------------|-------------------|---|---|
| 62 | Solid waste depot | 500 tonnes or more per year | No, unless over 1,000 tonnes. |

As is shown in **Table 1-1**, a solid waste depot that accepts over 500 tonnes of waste is considered to be a prescribed premises that requires a license under Part V of the *EP Act* but would not be required to report unless it exceeds the 1,000 tonnes threshold.

Given that there are multiple prescribed premises categories that are required to be licensed with throughputs significantly lower than 1,000 tonnes, as shown in **Table 1-1**, Talis would encourage the DER to instead adopt a reporting requirement for all licensed premises within relevant categories.

Based on our industry knowledge, it is Talis' understanding that the majority of scrap metal premises in Western Australia are not licensed premises. Whilst requiring all licensees to report would not address this particular data gap, it is worthwhile highlighting the potential to miss unlicensed premises as part of the reporting given there would be no formal regulatory means for the DER to track these premises and the volumes of recycled materials that they are processing.

Should the DER wish to retain thresholds for reporting, Talis would strongly encourage the DER to consider revising the thresholds down to a lower level such as 500 tonnes per annum to capture a wider number of licensed waste facilities.

1.2 Landfill Premises

The DER's document states that "*licensees or occupiers of landfill premises that receive 20,000 tonnes of solid waste or more in a financial year but are not required to pay the landfill levy"* will be required to report under the amended Regulations. This requirement clearly applies to non-metropolitan landfills only as all metropolitan landfills are subject to the landfill levy and report as part of the levy obligations. Talis has been working with numerous Local Governments in the regions over recent years and has a strong understanding of regional waste volumes and current waste data capture practices. From our experience, numerous Major Regional Centres in the State do not receive 20,000 tonnes of solid waste in a financial year for disposal to their landfills. Under the proposed thresholds, this would mean that they are not required to report.

There is some ambiguity around whether the threshold applies to total waste received or waste tonnages sent to landfill. By requiring all licensees to report, any uncertainties around reporting requirements would be removed. **Diagram 1-1**, illustrates an example of potential data gaps in using proposed thresholds, where household generated commingled recyclables in a regional area would be collected by a waste service provider, as part of a local government contract. These recyclables could then be sent to a resource recovery facility that recovers less than 1,000 tonnes per year of materials (indicating they are not required to report) and any contaminated processing losses would be sent to landfill, which would also not be required to report if the facility received under 20,000 tonnes per year. This would result in the DER receiving data from the Local Government on the collected recyclables but no further information on what happens to the waste after it is collected. This would result in an incomplete picture of waste management in the regions and significant data gaps in the State's waste and recycling dataset.



Diagram 1-1: Example of potential data gaps from currently proposed level of reporting



There also appears to be ambiguity as to which entity (licensee or operator) would be responsible to report if the licensees do not operate the facility. Talis would suggest the wording is clarified to who the responsibility lies with for premises where licensees lease out operations to other entities. Currently, all regional landfills in the State are owned by Local Government. However, there is the potential in future for private waste service providers to open a landfill in a regional area. As previously mentioned, Major Regional Centres in the State have not historically generated the volumes of waste that would require reporting under the currently proposed thresholds. All of the Major Regional Centres' landfill are currently owned by Local Government however, there is potential in future for private waste service providers to open a bound to be potential in future for private waste service providers to open a thresholds. All of the Major Regional Centres' landfill are currently owned by Local Government however, there is potential in future for private waste service providers to open regional landfill facilities. If the Liable Entity reporting requirements remain as proposed, these privately run landfills would not being required to report their waste data to the DER.

As an alternative to applying tonnage thresholds for reporting, Talis would propose that the DER instead require licensed landfill premises (Categories 63, 64 and 65) to report, regardless of their annual waste acceptance levels. As part of the DER's responsibility under Part V of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*, requiring licensed premises to report would assist the DER in ensuring that licensees are in compliance with their licence conditions (i.e. not exceeding their approved capacity) and easily identify Liable Entities. The DER could allow for exemptions for specific types of landfill premises such as Category 89 (registered landfills) or sector-specific exemptions such as licensed mining sector landfills, if these are not deemed to be required. Applying this level of reporting would ensure that a comprehensive dataset of waste volumes going to landfills across the State is captured. It is common practice in many other jurisdictions, such as in Europe, for the regulator to require licensees to report this type of information.

Should the DER still wish to proceed with applying waste tonnage thresholds to the landfill premises' reporting requirements, Talis would strongly encourage the DER to consider revising the threshold down from 20,000 tonnes to 5,000 tonnes per annum so as to increase the number of landfills and therefore increase the range of waste data captured in regional areas. Additionally, Talis would recommend the DER further clarify in future guidance whether the thresholds apply to total waste received at a landfill facility or waste tonnages sent to landfill. Many landfills are integrated facilities with a range of functions including stockpiling, materials recovery and landfilling.

Summary of Key Points

- Applying waste tonnage thresholds to reporting requirements for landfill premises will result in significant waste data gaps from the regions due to a number of Major Regional Centres that would not meet the proposed thresholds.
- Talis suggests the DER require <u>all</u> licensed landfill premises to report their waste data, this would avoid any ambiguity around whether a liable entity is required to report and would provide a more comprehensive and robust waste dataset.





• If the DER still wishes to proceed within applying waste tonnage thresholds, Talis would strongly encourage the DER to revise the threshold down from 20,000 tonnes to 5,000 tonnes to enable a greater range of waste data capture from the regions.

2 Data Capture and Waste Reporting Framework

As mentioned earlier, the proposed amendment to the Regulations provides an immense opportunity for the DER to capture comprehensive and robust data from waste managers. In particular, Talis has concerns about the following levels of data capture:

- Sector source of waste;
- Waste material type;
- Geographic source; and
- Reporting method.

2.1 Sector source of waste

Referred to in the consultation document as 'sector source of waste', the three key waste streams of municipal solid waste (MSW), commercial and industrial (C&I) and construction and demolition (C&D) provide a high level indication of the source of the waste but provides limited meaningful information or traceability in terms of the generation source. A further breakdown to the sector of the economy (e.g. mining) or waste material level (e.g. building rubble) would provide more valuable information and allow the data to be 'drilled down' to a greater level of detail but still allow for aggregation of data at the waste stream level for comparison against the Waste strategy targets.

By gathering a greater level of detail in relation to the sector the waste was generated in, the data would provide an evidence base for which sectors of the economy should be targeted. It would then be possible to develop initiatives to target specific economic sectors. For example, if the data showed that the mining sector generates the largest quantities of scrap metals in the State, this would indicate that there would be potential for further investigating the establishment of a scrap metal recycling scheme in collaboration with mining companies.

2.2 Waste material type

The New South Wales Environmental Protection Authority (NSW EPA) requires occupiers of scheduled waste facilities to report on waste streams and waste material types (NSW EPA, 2015).

The reporting requirements set out in 2.2.1-2.2.3 of the document appear to clearly set out the data requirements for high level waste data capture (at the waste sector level). However, Section 4 of the document, which sets out the indicative methods for measuring and estimating data, states that for use of the volume-estimation method, "the volume of each load would be assessed and recorded together with the load's material type". This appears to suggest that liable entities will be required to record waste data down to the material type level. If liable entities are recording to this level of detail, it would seem counter-intuitive to the amendments if these liable entities are not reporting down to the waste material level of detail to the DER.

2.3 Geographic source of waste

The DER has currently proposed the categorisation of geographic source of waste for reporting as:

• Metropolitan;





- Non-metropolitan; or
- Imported.

Talis believes that it would be beneficial to provide more detail on the geographic source of waste beyond these categories. For example, information could be provided at the regional level. This would help to understand where waste is being generated and treated or disposed of. By gathering this level of data, the DER and Waste Authority would be in a unique position to identify opportunities and provide data to assist regional areas in better understanding their waste in a similar way to the Pilbara and Broome Waste Data Study and Goldfields Waste Data and Priorities Study have.

A further detail that could be included within the reporting requirements is the provision of information on the origin of the waste that is being handled. Waste can often be handled by multiple service providers after collection. If the last known point of origin of the waste is not captured, there would be a real risk of double counting waste data that has been reported by two different entities. Further, inclusion of this information would enable interrogation of the data to trace waste movements through its lifecycle from generation to collection, treatment or disposal (see **Diagram 1-2**).





2.4 Reporting Method

Talis would also encourage the DER to include provision for the reporting method for each entry. This could include provision for each of the approved methods of measuring and estimating waste e.g. Weighbridge, Volume-Estimation Method, etc. This would allow for easy interrogation of the data to determine, which approval method was used for auditing purposes.

Talis has historically captured the reporting method of waste within its Data Collection Sheets used in the Pilbara and Goldfields Waste Data Studies. An example of the Data Collection Sheets is included as an attachment to this response.

2.5 Waste Classification System

In 2013, Talis devised a Waste Classification System in consultation with the Waste Authority as part of the Pilbara and Broome Waste Data Study. The Waste Classification System provides an accurate and consistent framework for reporting waste data. It covers three tiers of waste including waste stream, sector of the economy and waste material type as detailed in **Table 1-2**.

| Tier | Description | | |
|-----------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| | Municipal Solid Waste | | |
| 1. Waste Stream | Commercial & Industrial | | |
| | Construction & Demolition | | |

Table 1-2: Waste Classification System Tiers



| Tier | Description |
|------------------------|--|
| 2. Sector of Economy | Covers major sectors of the economy including domestic, mining and agriculture. |
| 3. Waste Material Type | Reflects the composition of the waste, split into 8 material groups, including Controlled Waste. |

Each of the Waste Classification tiers provide a greater level of detail including the waste from the waste stream, to the sector of the economy from which it was generated and, finally, the waste material type, which covers 285 different waste types across eight material groupings including the DER's Controlled Waste Category list.

The Waste Classification System has been used successfully in a number of waste data studies, including the Pilbara and Broome Waste Data Study. Talis has received feedback from a number of stakeholders indicating that they were grateful for a consistent means of reporting and some stakeholders have adopted the Waste Classification into their organisation.

One of the Waste Authority's Strategic Objectives within the Waste Strategy is to "Develop best practice guidelines, measures and reporting frameworks and promote their adoption". The adoption of a Waste Classification System similar to that developed for the Pilbara Waste Data Study would provide a consistent framework for Liable Entities to report and reduce the administrative burden of converting data into a consistent format and for the DER. A copy of the Waste Classification System is included within this response as an attachment.

2.6 Waste Authority Reporting and Information sharing

The Waste Authority has previously acknowledged "the need to invest in the expansion of data collection and dissemination.." (Waste Strategy, 2012) and as part of the Pilbara and Broome Waste Data Study, the Waste Authority affirmed that the "availability of comprehensive, robust and accurate data will contribute to state government, local government and industry together, to plan and deliver future waste management systems, initiatives and infrastructure that will assist improved levels of sustainable waste management".

The consultation document does not provide details of how the data will be used by the DER. and whether the Waste Authority will publish an annual report or disseminate the data publicly. The Waste Authority acknowledges in its 2016-17 Business Plan that it will "*provide the industry with timely access to key data collected*". The publication of aggregated waste data gathered as part of the proposed reporting would greatly assist Local Governments and the waste management industry in making informed decisions around future waste management planning and investment.

Summary of Key Points

- Talis would recommend the DER include additional details as part of the reporting requirements including:
 - o The sector that the waste was generated;
 - o Detail of the waste material type; and
 - Expanded information on the geographic source of waste in order to gather a more valuable and comprehensive waste and recycling dataset.
- DER should consider including information on the last known origin of the waste to minimise the risk of double counting of waste that is handled at multiple waste facilities.





- The DER should adopt a reporting framework to capture data in a consistent manner using a similar format to the Waste Classification System used in the Pilbara and Broome Waste Data Study.
- Talis would strongly encourage the DER to publish aggregated data that is gathered as part of the amendments to the Regulation which will be a valuable tool in helping Local Governments and the waste management industry in making informed decisions around future waste management planning and investment.

Conclusion

Please contact me should you wish to discuss any aspect of Talis' consultation response.

Yours sincerely,



Ronan Cullen Director & Waste Management Section Leader TALIS CONSULTANTS



- 2. Data Collection Sheet example
- 3. Conversion Factors

About Talis Consultants

Talis Consultants provide Environmental Services, Waste Management, Asset Management, Civil Engineering and Spatial Science services to both the private and public sector with over 25 years of experience in the WA market.

Waste Classification System- Waste Stream and Sector Descriptions

Waste Classification System- Material Descriptions (Controlled Waste 101-145)

Waste Classification System- Material Descriptions (Controlled Waste 146-192)

| - talia | | Existing DER Controlled Was | | Existing DER Controlled Waste List | | | | | Existing DER Controlled | | | |
|-------------|---|---|---|--|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | MATERIAL COE | DE MATERIAL TYPE - Controlled Wastes | Category Group | Category Group and Waste Code | Category Group Name | MATERIAL CO | DE MATERIAL TYPE - Controlled Wastes | Category Group | Category Group and Waste Code | Category Group Name |
| | delivering solutions | | 101 | Plating and Heat Treatment - Waste resulting from the surface treatment of metals and plastics | | A100 | | 146 | Pesticides - Waste from production, formulation or use of biocides & phytopharmaceuticals | | H100 | |
| | | | 102 | Plating and Heat Treatment - Waste from heat treatment and tempering processes which use cyanide | А | A110 | Plating and Heat Treatment | 147 | Pesticides - Organic phosphorus compounds | | H110 | |
| STREAM CODE | Stream Name | Definition | 103 | Plating and Heat Treatment - Inorganic cyanide | | A130 | | 148 | Pesticides - Waste wood preserving chemicals | н | H170 | Pesticides |
| | | Residential waste typically managed by local governments including: | 104 | Acids - Acidic solutions or acids in solid form | В | B100 | Acids | 149 | Pesticides - Organochlorine pesticides | | H130 | |
| А. | Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) | kerbside or vergeside collections, or dropped off waste waste from public places including from road verges, reserves, | 105 | Bases - Basic (alkaline) solutions or bases (alkalis) in solid form | С | C100 | Bases | 150 | Oils - Waste mineral oils unfit for their intended purpose | | J100 | |
| | | beaches, litter bins, events and street cleaning - incidental commercial waste collected via kerbside collections | 106 | Inorganic Chemicals - Metal carbonyls | | D100 | | 151 | Oils - Waste oil and water mixtures or emulsions, and hydrocarbon and water mixtures or emulsions | - | J120 | |
| | | | 107 | Inorganic Chemicals - Inorganic fluorine compounds (excluding calcium fluoride) | - | D110 | - | 152 | Oils - Oil interceptor wastes | - | J130 | |
| В. | Commercial & Industrial (C&I) | Waste generated from, or as the direct result of, commercial and industrial operations and that is not MSW or C&D waste. | 108 | Ingranic Chemicals - Mercury and mercury compounds | - | D120 | - | 153 | Oils - Waste tanv residues arisina from refinina, distillation or ovrolvtic treatment | J | J160 | Oils |
| | | | 109 | | - | D130 | - | 154 | | - | 11.70 | 1 |
| с. | Construction & Demolition (C&D) | Materials generated as a result of construction, refurbishment or demolition activities | 110 | | - | 0140 | - | 104 | | - | 1100 | 1 |
| | | | 110 | inolganic Chemicais - Chiomium compounds | - | D140 | - | 155 | | | J160 | + |
| | | | 111 | Inorganic Chemicals - Tannery waste containing chromium | _ | D141 | - | 156 | Putrescible and Organic Wastes - Animal effluent and residues | _ | K100 | - |
| | | | 112 | Inorganic Chemicals - Cadmium and cadmium compounds | _ | D150 | - | 157 | Putrescible and Organic Wastes - Waste from grease traps | _ | к110 | |
| SECTOR CODE | Sector Name | Definition | 113 | Inorganic Chemicals - Used nickel cadmium batteries | _ | D151 | _ | 158 | Putrescible and Organic Wastes - Sewage waste from the reticulated sewage system | _ | K130 | |
| 01. | Domestic | Premises where people reside excluding remote employee camps (only | 114 | Inorganic Chemicals - Beryllium and beryllium compounds | _ | D160 | | 159 | Putrescible and Organic Wastes - Tannery wastes not containing chromium | к | K140 | Putrescible and Organic Wastes |
| | | to be used for MSW and C&D) | 115 | Inorganic Chemicals - Antimony and antimony compounds | | D170 | | 160 | Putrescible and Organic Wastes - Wool scouring wastes | | K190 | |
| | Mining, exploration, quarrying, physical and chemical | | 116 | Inorganic Chemicals - Thallium and thallium compounds | | D180 | | 161 | Putrescible and Organic Wastes - Food and beverage processing wastes | | K200 | |
| 02. | treatment of minerals | | 117 | Inorganic Chemicals - Copper compounds | | D190 | | 162 | Putrescible and Organic Wastes - Septage wastes | | K210 | |
| | Agriculture boticulture gaugeulture forestar fishing | | 118 | Inorganic Chemicals - Cobalt compounds | - | D200 | 1 | 163 | Industrial Wash Water - Car and truck wash waters | | L100 | Industrial Wash |
| 03. | food preparation and processing | | 119 | Inorganic Chemicals - Nickel compounds | - | D210 | | 164 | Industrial Wash Water - Industrial wash waters contaminated with a controlled waste | L | L150 | Water |
| | | | 120 | Inoraanic Chemicals - Used nickel metal hydride batteries | D | D211 | Chemicals | 165 | Oraanic Chemicals - Waste substances and articles containing polychloringted biohenyls (PCBs) | | M100 | |
| 04. | Wood processing and production of panels, turniture, pulp, paper and cardboard | | 121 | Ingranic Chemicals - Lead and lead compounds | - | D220 | - | 166 | Organic Chemicals - Waste substances and articles containing polybrominated biphenyls (PBB), | - | M105 | |
| | | | 122 | | - | D220 | - | 147 | polychlorinated napthalenes (PCN), and/or polychlorinated terphenyls (PCT) | - | M120 | 1 |
| 05. | Petroleum refining, natural gas purification and pyrolytic treatment of coal | | 122 | | - | 0221 | - | 107 | | - | 10150 | 1 |
| | | | 123 | Inorganic Chemicalis - Zinc compounds | - | D230 | - | 108 | Organic Chemicals - Phenols, phenol compounds including halogenated phenols | - | MISU | - |
| 06. | Chemical processing | | 124 | Inorganic Chemicals - Selenium and selenium compounds | - | D240 | - | 169 | Organic Chemicals - Organohalogen compounds not elsewhere listed | _ | M160 | 4 |
| | | | 125 | Inorganic Chemicals - Tellurium and tellurium compounds | _ | D250 | _ | 170 | Organic Chemicals - Polychlorinated dibenzo-furan (any congener) | м | M170 | Organic Chemical |
| 07. | Metals processing and thermal processes | | 126 | Inorganic Chemicals - Vanadium compounds | _ | D270 | | 171 | Organic Chemicals - Polychlorinated dibenzo p-dioxin (any congener) | _ | M180 | |
| | | | 127 | Inorganic Chemicals - Barium and barium compounds | | D290 | | 172 | Organic Chemicals - Cyanides (organic)/nitriles | | M210 | |
| 0.8 | Human/animal bashbaara and/availated records | | 128 | Inorganic Chemicals - Non toxic salts | | D300 | | 173 | Organic Chemicals - Isocyanate compounds | | M220 | |
| 00. | | | 129 | Inorganic Chemicals - Boron compounds | | D310 | | 174 | Organic Chemicals - Triethylamine catalysts | | M230 | |
| | | Commercial and industrial activities not defined within Sectors 2-8 or 10 - | 130 | Inorganic Chemicals - Inorganic sulfides | | D330 | 1 | 175 | Organic Chemicals - Surfactants and detergents | | M250 | |
| 09. | Other/Mixed Sectors | 13, and mixed C&I Sectors | 131 | Inorganic Chemicals - Perchlorates | | D340 | 1 | 176 | Organic Chemicals - Highly odourous organic chemicals including mercaptans and acrylates | | M260 | |
| | | | 132 | Inorganic Chemicals - Chlorates | - | D350 | - | 177 | Soils and Sludge - Containers or drums contaminated with residues of controlled waste | | N100 | |
| 10. | Employee camps | (only to be used for C&I) | 133 | Inoraanic Chemicals - Phosohorus compounds excluding mineral phosohates | - | D360 | - | 178 | Soils and Sjudae - Soils contaminated with a controlled waste | - | N120 | |
| | | | 134 | Reactive Chemicals - Waste containing perovides excluding hydrogen perovide | | F100 | | 179 | Soils and Sudae - Fire dehris or fire wash waters | - | N140 | |
| 11. | Public Facilities and Institutions | Public institutions (library, schools, universities), recreation | 135 | Pagetino Chamiento Wate of an autorian and potential and the biost to other located in | - - | E100 | Reactive | 180 | | - | N150 | 1 |
| | | | 100 | | - | E120 | Chemicals | 100 | | - | 11100 | Calls and Chuden |
| 12. | Public Infrastructure Networks | Infrastructure networks designed for public use including transportation (roads, bridges, railways), utility services (power, water, sewage) | 130 | Reactive Chemicals - Highly reactive chemicals not otherwise specified Points: Resins: Inks and Organic: Studges - Aqueous-based wastes from the production, formulation and use of | | EISU | | 101 | soiis ana siuage - Encapsulatea, cnemically-tixea, solialitea or polymensea controllea wastes | | NTOU | solis and sludge |
| | | | 137 | inks, des, pigments, paints, lacquers and variish Paints, Posine, lake and Varanis (lacquers and variish | _ | F100 | - | 182 | Soils and Sludge - Filter cake containing controlled waste | _ | N190 | - |
| 13. | 13. Solid and Liquid Waste Management Facilities | Residue materials from solid and liquid waste management facilities | 138 | resins, latex, plasticisers, glues and adhesives | F | F110 | Paints, Resins, Inks and Organic | 183 | Soils and Sludge - Industrial waste treatment plant residues | _ | N205 | - |
| | | | 139 | Paints, Kesins, Inks and Organic Sludges - Solvent based-wastes from the production, formulation and use of inks, dyes, pigments, paints, lacquers and varnish | _ | F120 | Sludges | 184 | Soils and Sludge - Asbestos | _ | N220 | 1 |
| | | | 140 | Paints, Resins, Inks and Organic Sludges - Solvent based wastes from the production, formulation and use of resins, latex, plasticisers, glues and adhesives | | F130 | | 185 | Soils and Sludge - Ceramic based fibres with physico-chemical characteristics similar to asbestos | | N230 | |
| | | | 141 | Organic Solvents - Ethers & highly flammable hydrocarbons | | G100 | | 186 | Clinical and Pharmaceutical Wastes - Clinical and related wastes | | R100 | |
| | | 142 | Organic Solvents - Non-halogenated organic solvents | | G110 | | 187 | Clinical and Pharmaceutical Wastes - Waste pharmaceuticals, drugs and medicines | D | R120 | Clinical and | |
| | | 143 | Organic Solvents - Dry-cleaning wastes containing perchloroethylene | G | G130 | Organic Solvents | 188 | Clinical and Pharmaceutical Wastes - Cytotoxic waste | ιť | R130 | Pharmaceutical | |
| | | | 144 | Organic Solvents - Halogenated organic solvents not otherwise specified | 1 | G150 | 1 | 189 | Clinical and Pharmaceutical Wastes - Waste from production or preparation of pharmaceutical products | 1 | R140 | |
| | | | 145 | Organic Solvents - Waste from production, use and formulation of organic solvents not otherwise specified | 1 | G160 | 1 | 190 | Miscellaneous - Waste chemical substances arising from research and development or teaching activities | | T100 | |
| | | | | | | | | 191 | Miscellaneous - Waste from production or formulation of photographic chemicals or processing materials. | Т | T120 | Miscellaneous |
| | | | | | | | | 192 | Miscellaneous - Used Tyres | 1 | T140 | 1 |

Waste Classification System- Material Descriptions (201-889)

| NATERIAL CODE | MATERIAL TYPE - Other Hazardous | | | |
|---------------|---|---|---------------|---|
| 201 | E-waste | | 510 | Plastic 6 - PS |
| 202 | Household and Industrial Batteries - Mixed | ł | 511 | Plastic 7 - Other |
| 203 | Vehicle and marine batteries - Mixed | | 512 | Mixed Plastics |
| 204 | Fluorescent tubes | l | 513 | Ferrous Metals - packaging |
| 205 | Mixed household hazardous waste | | 514 | Non-Ferrous Metals - packaging |
| 206 | Radioactive waste | | 515 | Mixed metals - packaging |
| 207 | Contaminated Soil- Hydrocarbon | | MATERIAL CODE | MATERIAL TYPE - Inert |
| 208 | , Contaminated Soil- Pesticide | | 601 | Mixed building rubble |
| 209 | Contaminated Soil- Acid Sulfate | | 602 | Concrete |
| 210 | Contaminated Packaning | | 603 | Concrete - reinforced |
| 210 | | - | 604 | Prioto |
| 211 | Biosecurity Waste - (Guarantine Waste) | - | 604 | BICKS |
| 212 | Batteries- Miscellaneous | - | 605 | liles and ceramics |
| 213 | Waste Oil | ł | 606 | Asphalt |
| 214 | Mixed Commercial Hazardous | - | 607 | Glazing |
| 215 | Hydrocarbon Contaminated Material | - | 608 | Gypsum products |
| 299 | Other Hazardous Not Specified | | 609 | Insulation |
| MATERIAL CODE | MATERIAL TYPE - Local Government Services | | 610 | Limestone |
| 301 | Kerbside Commingled recyclables | | 611 | Rubbers - other |
| 302 | Kerbside refuse | | 612 | Mixed Soil and sand |
| 303 | Kerbside green waste | | 613 | Clean fill |
| 304 | Kerbside mixed organics (including kitchen waste) | | 614 | Rock |
| 305 | Vergeside Greenwaste | | 615 | Mixed crushed rock |
| 306 | Vergeside bulk metals | | 616 | Tars |
| 307 | Vergeside Hard waste | | 617 | Ferrous Metals (non-packaging) |
| 308 | Public place refuse | | 618 | Non-Ferrous Metals (non-packaging) |
| 309 | Public place recycling | | 619 | Mixed Metals (non-packaging) |
| 310 | Street cleaning residues | | 620 | Roadbase |
| 311 | Special event refuse | | 621 | Anareantes |
| 312 | Snacial event recycling | | 622 | Mixed Inert |
| 300 | | | 623 | Campt |
| 377 | | | 623 | |
| | | | 624 | Remedialed Sol |
| 401 | Pood wasie | | 099 | |
| 402 | Greenwaste | | MATERIAL CODE | MATERIAL TYPE - Liquid/Solids (not Controlled W |
| 403 | Mixed organics | ł | 701 | Tailings |
| 404 | Timber - untreated | ł | 702 | Drilling muds |
| 405 | Timber - treated | ł | 703 | Sludges |
| 406 | Sawdust | | 704 | Slurry |
| MATERIAL CODE | MATERIAL TYPE - Packaging | | MATERIAL CODE | MATERIAL TYPE - Wastes not otherwise specified |
| 501 | Mixed Paper and Cardboard | | 801 | Mixed Refuse |
| 502 | Paper | | 802 | Comingled Recyclables |
| 503 | Cardboard | | 803 | Textiles |
| 504 | Glass Packaging | | 804 | Mattresses |
| 505 | Plastic 1 - PET | | 805 | Hard waste |
| 506 | Plastic 2 - HDPE | | 806 | Absorbants |
| 507 | Plastic 3 - PVC | | 807 | Waste gases and containers |
| 508 | Plastic 4 - LDPE | | 808 | Fire extinguishers |
| 509 | Plastic 5 - PP | I | 809 | Bottom ash |
| | | | 810 | Fly ash |
| | | | 811 | Non-composted waste/off-spec compost |
| | | | 813 | Printer Cartridaes |

2/2



Waste Data Study for the Pilbara Region and Shire of Broome

Data Collection Sheets

MINING and RESOURCES SECTOR

Waste Authority



Waste Data Study for the Pilbara Region and Shire of Broome

Data Collection Sheet- Explanatory notes

Waste Authority

Introduction

The management of waste in remote and regional areas of Western Australia presents a unique challenge. The Western Australian Waste Strategy: *Creating the Right Environment* (2012) (the Waste Strategy) acknowledges that resource development across the northwest of the State is placing pressure on existing waste management systems due to difficulties in regional areas such as transport, infrastructure, access to markets and funding.

The Waste Strategy recognises that appropriate planning and development of waste infrastructure is needed as early as possible, particularly in the regional and remote areas of the State. In order to ensure that this occurs, it is vital that the Waste Authority has access to consistent and comparable data.

A Waste Data Study has been initiated for the Pilbara region and Shire of Broome (Study Area).

To assist in the gathering and reporting of waste data, a Data Collection Sheet (DCS) has been developed for the project. The DCS was developed to achieve the following objectives:

- 1. Reflect existing waste management practices to allow mapping of waste management activities;
- 2. Identify the sector of the economy from which the waste is generated, to inform future analysis and policy development;
- 3. Cover 'cradle to grave' as much as possible;
- 4. Maintain relevance to waste generation within the whole of Western Australia;
- 5. Ensure the system is user friendly; and
- 6. Minimise the potential for double counting.

A description of the DCS structure and user process is provided below.

The DCS Structure

The following gives a breakdown of the DCS structure according to sheet name tabs within the DCS.

Respondent Details

This sheet of the DCS requires the respondent to complete details regarding contact information, and depending on the industry, details regarding future growth. Data on future growth is to be used in projecting future waste streams in the study area.

Waste Registry

The waste registry sheet covers the generation and/or management of waste. The source segment of the waste registry sheet requires the user to fill in details relating to the source of a waste material and the type of waste material that has been generated from this source. The **red**, **blue** and **green** columns of this segment are coloured to correspond with the Waste Classification System (WCS) (see below) that has been developed for the Waste Data Study. This WCS has been included in the DCS for reference when completing this section. The segments on quantity, collection, processing/disposal and transfer facility are segments that cover the quantity and management of the waste types.



DCS Waste Facility Outputs

This sheet of the DCS requires the respondent to provide data related to any waste management facilities over which they have operational control. Data is required on the outputs of these facilities including waste residues (eg ludges) or products that have economic value eg recycling building products or separated recyclables.

Waste Classification System (WCS)

A WCS was developed for the study to assist with the gathering and reporting of data. The DCS has two sheets relating to the WCS. The first is an explanatory guide and the second sheet contains the WCS. The WCS and DCS have matching colours in order to help explain the coding process.

DCS Methodology

The DCS sheets are design to be filled in any manner that is deemed easiest for the respondent. The electronic format of the DCS contains definitions and descriptions of appropriate columns. These are found by allowing the mouse pointer to hover over the column heading. Many columns also contain drop-down menus which should be utilised as much as possible.



| Resour | ce Company Det | ails (Respondent Details) | Company Area of Operation | | |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| Name of a | company | | Name of Area of Operation | | |
| Name of F | Person Responding | | Location | | |
| Responde | nts' Address | | Type eg mine, processing plant, port | | |
| Responde | nts' Email | | Phase | | |
| Responde | nts' Phone Number | | Resource recovered/processed | | |

| | Please provide details on all your Waste Management Facilities within this Area of Operation | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Waste Management Facility 1 | Waste Management Facility 2 | Waste Management Facility 3 | | | |
| Name of Facility | | | | | | |
| Location (coordinates) | | | | | | |
| Type of Facility | | | | | | |
| Nominal Annual Capacity | | | | | | |
| Operational Staff | | | | | | |
| Capital Value (if known) | | | | | | |

| | Future Growth | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Current on-site workforce | 2035 estimated on-site | Current production output | 2035 estimated production | | | | |
| for Area of Operation | workforce (gyerage per | in Area of Operation (per | output in the Area of | | | | |
| (guarga par day) | day) | annum) | Operation (per annum) | | | | |
| | aayj | annung | operation (per annum) | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | ļ | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |



Waste Register

| Source | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Description of Material Type | Was this material generated from the C&I or C&D stream? | In which Sector was the waste generated? | Where on site was the waste generated? | Material Type Code (if known) | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Quantity | | | | | |
|---|-------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| What quantity of waste was accepted? | Units | Data recording system | Over what time period was the waste accepted? (MM/YY - MM/YY) | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Collection | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| What collection method was utilised? | Frequency of Collection? | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Processing/Disposal | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| Name of Treatment and/or Disposal Facility? | Please provide the location of the treatment/disposal facility? | How was the Waste Treated or Disposed? | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Transfer Facility 1 | | | |
|--|--|--|---|
| Did the waste pass through a Transfer or similar facility? Please name the transfer facility (name first if more than one) | | Please provide the Lot Number and Street Address of that transfer facility? | What process was undertaken at the transfer facility? |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



Waste Facility Outputs (please list outputs and residues from the various Waste Facilities that the Respondent Operates within the Area of Operation)

| Outputs (products and residues) | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Facility | Description of Material Type | Material Type Code (if known) | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Quantity of Outputs | | | |
|---|-------|-----------------------|--|
| What quantity of Output was generated? | Units | Data recording system | Over what time period was the waste generated? (MM/YY - MM/YY) |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Processing/Disposal | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------|
| Output generated from which process? | To who/where was the Output sold/disposed? | How was the Output removed from the facility? | Frequency of transportation? |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



Conversion Factors

| | Waste | | Conversion | |
|--|---------------------|------------|-----------------|--|
| Material | Classification Code | Tonnes | units | Source |
| 20L steel drum | 177 or 513 | 0.0023 | t/unit | http://www.nexuspackaaina.co.uk/steel-drums.html |
| Acid (Hydrochloric) | 104 | 0.00000149 | t/L | Or 0.00149 per m3 http://www.endmemo.com/cconvert/kal.php |
| Aerosol cans | 807 | 0.000052 | t/L | Assume same as whole steel cans |
| Aluminium cans (flattened) | 514 | 0.087 | t/m3 | Waste Authority LGA census- conversion sheet |
| | | | | United States Department of Agriculture, Conservation Practice Standards |
| | | | | http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/PA_NRCSConsumption/download?cid=nrcseprd34074 |
| Animal carcass | 899 | 0.7208 | t/m3 | 0&ext=docx |
| Asbestos | 184 | 2.5 | t/m3 | http://www.densitiestable.com/solids/stones-and-minerals/asbestos |
| Batteries - Miscellaneous | 212 | 1.125 | t/m3 | Waste Authority LGA census- conversion sheet |
| Batteries (Car Battery) | 122 | 0.375 | t/m3 | Waste Authority LGA census- conversion sheet (1 car battery = 5 kg) |
| | | | | http://www.batteryrecycling.org.au/wp-content/uploads/2011/06/Battery- |
| Batteries (mixed household and industrial) | 202 | 0.0002 | t/unit | consumption-recycling-and-disposal-in-Australia_Executive-Summary.pdf |
| | | | | http://www.sustainability.vic.gov.au/~/media/resources/documents/publications%20 |
| | | | | and%20research/publications/u%20- |
| | | | | %20v/publications%20end%20of%20life%20motor%20vehicles%20oct%202007.pd |
| Carbody | | 1.5 | t/unit | |
| Cardboard only (uncompacted) | 503 | 0.055 | t/m3 | Waste Materials – Density Data, EPA Victoria |
| Clean fill | 613 | 0.95 | t/m3 | Waste Materials – Density Data, EPA Victoria |
| | | | | Waste Materials – Density Data, EPA Victoria (hospital and general waste garbage |
| Clinical waste | 186 | 0.17 | t/m3 | bags) |
| Co-mingled containers (uncompacted | | 0.070 | | |
| plastic, glass, steel and aluminium cansj | 802 | 0.063 | t/m3 | Waste Authority LGA census- conversion sheet |
| Concrete | 602 | 1.5 | t/m3 | http://www.rentaskip.com.au/skip-bins-size-estimator.aspx_ |
| Original | 1.40 | 0.0011 | 1.0 | nttp://www.aow.com/etnylenegiycol/about/properties.ntm [average converted from] |
| Cooldni | 142 | 0.0011 | 1/L | pounas per galioni Musta Matariala - Datasta EDA Vistaria |
| E-Waste | 201 | 0.227 | 1/m3 | Waste Materials - Density Data, EPA Victoria |
| Fluorescent lubes | 204 | 0.265 | 1/113 | wasie Maleilais – Densily Dala, EPA Viciolia |
| Foodwarto | 401 | 0.5 | +/~~ 2 | Wasta Matariala - Dansity Data ERA Viatoria (madium dansity for food - kitaban) |
| Conoral Define (compared) | 401 | 0.5 | 1/110 | EPA Victoria (food kitchon modium donsity) |
| General Refuse (compacted) | 801 | 0.0 | 1/113 t/m3 | EPA Victoria (food – kitchen, medium density) |
| General Relase (uncompacied) | 157 | 0.4 | 1/113 t/m3 | Conversion factor used as per Pilbara Waste Data Study |
| Greenwaste (unprocessed compacted) | 107 | 0.00 | t/m3 | Waste Authority I GA census, conversion sheet |
| Greenwaste (unprocessed) | 303 | 0.20 | t/m3 | Waste Authority IGA census- conversion sheet |
| Inert (mixed) waste | 622 | 1.3 | t/m3 | Waste Authority IGA census- conversion sheet |
| Intermediate Bulk Container (Plastic - HDPF) | 506 | 0.0155 | t/unit | http://www.yanhaelewiin.com/en/containers.html |
| | | | ., | |
| Kerosene | 141 | 0.807 | t/m3 | http://www.statcan.ac.ca/pub/57-601-x/2010004/appendix-appendice1-ena.htm |
| Mattress - queen size | 804 | 0.025 | t/unit | Waste Authority LGA census- conversion sheet (estimated 20-30kg) |
| Metals - steel, trimmings | 617 | 1.20000 | t/m3 | Waste Authority LGA census- conversion sheet |
| | | | | Talis estimate based on ferrous and non-ferrous metal densities in Waste Materials – |
| Mixed Metal | 619 | 0.13 | t/m3 | Density Data, EPA Victoria |
| Oil | 150 or 213 | 0.0009 | t/L | Waste Authority LGA census- conversion sheet |
| | | | | http://www1.eere.energy.gov/manufacturing/resources/steel/pdfs/report_trp_0041.pd |
| Oil filters | 154 | 0.26 | t/m3 | <u>f</u> |
| Oily rags (Hydrocarbon contaminated) | 215 | 0.2 | t/m3 | Talis estimate based on density slightly greater than textiles |
| Paper only (uncompacted) | 502 | 0.152 | t/m3 | EPA Victoria |
| Mixed paper and cardboard | 501 | 0.1 | t/m3 | Waste Authority LGA census- conversion sheet |
| Plastic | 512 | 0.01 | t/m3 | Waste Authority LGA census- conversion sheet |
| Plastic drum/cube 20L | 509 | 0.0012 | t/unit | http://www.vippackaging.com.au/catalogue/category.php?id=2 |
| Printer Cartridges | 813 | 0.0004 | t/unit | Pilbara Waste Data Study or 0.006 per bag |
| Putrescible (mixed) compacted waste | 302 | 0.425 | t/m3 | Waste Authority LGA census- conversion sheet |
| Putrescible (mixed) uncompacted waste | | 0.3 | t/m3 | Waste Authority LGA census- conversion sheet |
| Quarantine waste | 211 | 0.3 | t/m3 | Assume equivalent to putrescible (mixed) uncompacted waste. |
| Rubber | 611 | 0.30 | t/m3 | Waste Authority LGA census- conversion sheet |
| Rubble | 601 | 1.048 | t/m3 | Waste Materials – Density Data, EPA Victoria |
| Sand | 612 | 1.0 | t/m3 | Waste Materials – Density Data, EPA Victoria |
| Churchene . | 700 | 0.70 | 1/ 0 | http://www.aqua-caic.com/page/aensity-table/substance/sewage-coma-ana-biank- |
| Sludge | /03 | 0.72 | t/m3 | <u>Sludge</u> Waste Materiale Density Data EBA Vistoria |
| Soli / Contaminated Soli | 612 OF 2U/ | 0.95 | t/m3 | wasie ividieliais – Derisity Dala, EPA victoria |
| Tortilos | 1//01013 | 0.021 | 1/01111 t/m3 | Marte Authority LCA consule conversion sheet |
| Turce (light truck) | 003 | 0.13 | 1/1110 | Waste Authority LCA consult conversion sheet |
| Tytes (light huck) | | 0.009 | i/ur iii | ortimate based on 0,121/m3 (light) and 0,501/m3 (begwi), based on density of loose |
| | | | | 210ft whole tire in |
| Tyres (mixed) | | 0.4 | t/m3 | http://www.eng.gov/region5/waste/solidwaste/tires/miforum/arav.pdf |
| Tyres (mixed) | 192 | 0.4 | t/unit | estimate based on unit weights below |
| | 1 | 0.03 | t/unit | Waste Authority ICA census- conversion sheet |
| Tyres (nassenger vehicle) | 1 | 0.004 | t/unit | Waste Authority IGA census- conversion sheet |
| Tyres (Truck/heavy vehicle) | 1 | 0.000 | t/unit | Waste Authority IGA census- conversion sheet |
| Oily water | 151 | 0.04 | t/l | assume same as water, approx 1kg/l |
| Wood/timber (treated/untreated) | 405 | 0.001 | t/m3 | Waste Authority IGA census- conversion sheet |
| | 400 | 0.0 | 9.11 0 | |