Decision Report

Application for Licence

Part V Division 3 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986

Licence Number L2855/2025/1

Applicant BRADTRAC PTY LTD

ACN 624 664 160

File number DER2024/000557

Premises BRADTRAC PTY LTD

6 Muchea East Road Muchea WA 6501

Legal description -

Lot 700 on Deposited Plan 59598

As defined by the coordinates in Schedule 2

Date of report 19 February 2025

Decision Licence granted

Sarah Cross
SENIOR ENVIRONMENTAL OFFICER
INDUSTRY REGULATION
an officer delegated under section 20 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 (WA)

Table of Contents

| 1. | Decis | sion summary1 | | | | | | |
|-------|-----------|---|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2. | Scop | e of assessment | .1 | | | | | |
| | 2.1 | Regulatory framework | . 1 | | | | | |
| | 2.2 | Application summary and overview of premises | | | | | | |
| 3. | Risk | assessment | .1 | | | | | |
| | 3.1 | Source-pathways and receptors | . 1 | | | | | |
| | | 3.1.1 Emissions and controls | . 1 | | | | | |
| | | 3.1.2 Receptors | .3 | | | | | |
| | 3.2 | Risk ratings | .5 | | | | | |
| 4. | Cons | ultation | 8. | | | | | |
| 5. | Conc | lusion | 8. | | | | | |
| Refe | erence | s | .8 | | | | | |
| Арр | endix | 1: Summary of stakeholder's comments on the application | .9 | | | | | |
| App | endix | 2: Summary of applicant's comments on risk assessment and draft | | | | | | |
| Table | e 1: Pro | posed applicant controls | . 2 | | | | | |
| | | nsitive human and environmental receptors and distance from prescribed activity | | | | | | |
| Table | e 3: Ris | k assessment of potential emissions and discharges from the premises during and operation | | | | | | |
| Table | e 4: Coi | nsultation | .8 | | | | | |
| Figu | re 1: Dis | stance to sensitive receptors | .4 | | | | | |

1. Decision summary

This decision report documents the assessment of potential risks to the environment and public health from emissions and discharges during the operation of the premises. As a result of this assessment, Licence L2855/2025/1 has been granted.

2. Scope of assessment

2.1 Regulatory framework

In completing the assessment documented in this decision report, the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (the department; DWER) has considered and given due regard to its regulatory framework and relevant policy documents which are available at https://dwer.wa.gov.au/regulatory-documents.

2.2 Application summary and overview of premises

On 26 September 2024, the applicant submitted an application for a licence to the department under section 57 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act).

The application sought a licence relating to used tyre storage (tyre fitting business) and a solid waste facility at the premises. The premises is approximately 1.7 km east of the town of Muchea.

The applicant has been operating a tyre-fitting business since 2011, trading as Bradtrac Pty Ltd, at 6 Muchea East Road, Muchea. In January 2023 the department identified that the premises was storing in excess of 500 used tyres and advised the applicant that they needed to obtain a licence under Part V of the EP Act.

Bradtrac Pty Ltd (the applicant) intends to store up to 2,000 used tyres at any one time that originate from the tyre-fitting business. The tyres are cut down into 4-6 pieces using a cross-cutter machine and two SW150 tyre cutting machines. The tyre pieces are then disposed of via landfilling at a licence premises. The premises relates to the categories and assessed production / design capacity under Schedule 1 of the *Environmental Protection Regulations* 1987 (EP Regulations) which are defined in licence L2855/2025/1. The infrastructure and equipment relating to the premises category and any associated activities which the department has considered in line with *Guideline: Risk Assessments* (DWER 2020) are outlined in licence L2855/2025/1.

3. Risk assessment

The department assesses the risks of emissions from prescribed premises and identifies the potential source, pathway and impact to receptors in accordance with the *Guideline: Risk Assessments* (DWER 2020).

To establish a risk event there must be an emission, a receptor which may be exposed to that emission through an identified actual or likely pathway, and a potential adverse effect to the receptor from exposure to that emission.

3.1 Source-pathways and receptors

3.1.1 Emissions and controls

The key emissions and associated actual or likely pathway during premises operation which have been considered in this decision report are detailed in Table 1 below. Table 1 also details the control measures the applicant has proposed to assist in controlling these emissions, where necessary.

Table 1: Proposed applicant controls

| Emission | Sources | Potential pathways | Proposed controls | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Construction | | | | | |
| Dust | Installation of fire water | Air / windborne | No controls proposed. | | |
| Noise | containment infrastructure | pathway | No controls proposed. | | |
| Operation | | | | | |
| Dust | Acceptance, storage and processing of | Air / windborne pathway | There is a concrete hardstand in both the tyre processing and cut tyre storage areas. | | |
| Noise | used tyres and cut rubber pieces | Air / windborne pathway | No controls proposed. | | |
| | Vehicle movements | | | | |
| Mosquito breeding in pooled water in tyres | Acceptance, storage and processing of used tyres and | Air / windborne pathway | Used tyres from the tyre fitting business are cut down into four to six pieces immediately. | | |
| Fire/smoke | cut rubber pieces Storage of new tyres | Air / windborne pathway | The facility comprises of the following fire management equipment and practice: - fire extinguishers, - 40 mm high volume fire horse, - portable firefighting unit - processed tyre area is segregated from the rest of the yard - Monthly fire pump inspections and annual fire training. | | |
| Contaminated firefighting water | | Direct discharge to land Seepage to soil and groundwater | The tyre processing area and tyre storage area contain a concrete hardstand, all sides are raised/bunded and fall to a center drain. The applicant proposes to install a firewater catchment tank in connection with the abovementioned centre drain to collect firewater generated during a fire event. The applicant has ability to cap the tyre processing area to hold excess firewater and pump it out afterwards. The front and side premises boundary includes a slotted drainage pipe with soaks. | | |

| Emission | Sources | Potential pathways | Proposed controls |
|-------------------------------------|---------|--------------------|--|
| Cantaninatad | | | The front and side premises boundary includes a slotted drainage pipe with soaks. |
| Contaminated stormwater | | | Raised clay mound on fence line which creates a catchment area and so all water drains to front and side catchment area. |
| Hydrocarbon spills during refueling | | | No controls proposed. |

3.1.2 Receptors

In accordance with the *Guideline: Risk Assessment* (DWER 2020), the Delegated Officer has excluded the applicant's employees, visitors, and contractors from its assessment. Protection of these parties often involves different exposure risks and prevention strategies, and is provided for under other state legislation.

Table 2 and Figure 1 below provides a summary of potential human and environmental receptors that may be impacted as a result of activities upon or emission and discharges from the prescribed premises (*Guideline: Environmental Siting* (DWER 2020)).

Table 2: Sensitive human and environmental receptors and distance from prescribed activity

| Human receptors | Distance from activity / prescribed premises |
|---|--|
| Residential Premises | 170 m east of the premises boundary |
| Industrial premises | Immediately adjacent to east premises boundary |
| Environmental receptors | Distance from activity / prescribed premises |
| Priority Ecological Communities | Priority 3 - Banksia Woodlands of the Swan Coastal Plain ecological community - 530 m northeast of the premises boundary |
| Threatened Fauna | Carnaby's cockatoo- Endangered – 200 m northwest of the premises boundary |
| Geomorphic wetlands – multiple use wetland | Premises located in Ellen Brook Floodplain |
| Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions - legislated lands | Nature Reserve – 2 km southwest of the premises boundary |
| Minor surface water line | 65 m south of the premises boundary |
| Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914 (RIWI) – Surface water Areas | Premises located in Swan River system |
| Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914 (RIWI) – Groundwater Area | Premises located in Gingin groundwater Area |



Figure 1: Distance to sensitive receptors

3.2 Risk ratings

Risk ratings have been assessed in accordance with the *Guideline: Risk Assessments* (DWER 2020) for each identified emission source and takes into account potential source-pathway and receptor linkages as identified in Section 3.1. Where linkages are in-complete they have not been considered further in the risk assessment.

Where the applicant has proposed mitigation measures/controls (as detailed in Section 3.1), these have been considered when determining the final risk rating. Where the Delegated Officer considers the applicant's proposed controls to be critical to maintaining an acceptable level of risk, these will be incorporated into the licence as regulatory controls.

Additional regulatory controls may be imposed where the applicant's controls are not deemed sufficient. Where this is the case the need for additional controls will be documented and justified in Table 3.

Licence L2855/2025/1 that accompanies this decision report authorises emissions associated with the operation of the premises.

The conditions in the issued licence, as outlined in Table 3 have been determined in accordance with *Guidance Statement: Setting Conditions* (DER 2015).

Table 3: Risk assessment of potential emissions and discharges from the premises during construction and operation

| Risk events | | | | | Risk rating ¹ C = consequence L = likelihood Applicant controls sufficient? | A | | |
|---|---|--|--|----------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|---|
| Sources / activities | Potential emission | Potential pathways and impact | Receptors | Applicant controls | | Conditions ² of licence | Justification for additional regulatory controls | |
| Construction | | | | | | | | |
| Installation of fire | Dust | Air / windborne pathway causing impacts to health and amenity | Residences 170 m east of the premises boundary Industrial premises immediately adjacent to east premises boundary | Refer to Section 3.1 | C = Slight L = Unlikely Low Risk | Y | Condition 15 | The Delegated Officer considers dust emissions can be effectively regulated by the general provisions of the EP Act. |
| water containment infrastructure | Noise | | | Refer to Section 3.1 | C = Slight L = Unlikely Low Risk | Y | Condition 15 | The Delegated Officer considers noise emissions associated with the construction can be sufficiently managed through the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997 |
| Operation | | | | | | | | |
| Acceptance, storage and processing of | Dust | Air / windborne pathway | Residences 170 m east of the premises boundary Industrial premises immediately adjacent to | Refer to Section 3.1 | C = Slight L = Unlikely Low Risk | Y | Condition 6 and 15 | The Delegated Officer considers dust emissions can be effectively regulated by the general provisions of the EP Act. |
| used tyres and cut rubber pieces Vehicle movements | Noise | causing impacts to health and amenity | | Refer to Section 3.1 | C = Slight L = Unlikely Low Risk | Y | Condition 4 and 15 | The Delegated Officer considers noise emissions associated with the operation can be sufficiently managed through the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997 |
| | Mosquito breeding in pooled water in tyres | Air / windborne pathway causing impacts to health and amenity | east premises boundary | Refer to Section 3.1 | C = Moderate L = Rare Medium Risk | Y | Condition 5, 6 and 15 | The Delegates Officer considers that the applicant's proposed controls are sufficient to prevent mosquito breeding occurring under most circumstances. |
| Acceptance, storage and processing of used tyres and cut rubber pieces Storage of new tyres | Unauthorised fires – smoke and fire spread | Air / windborne pathway causing impacts to health and amenity | Residences 170 m east of the premises boundary Industrial premises immediately adjacent to east premises boundary Priority Ecological Communities Threatened Fauna | Refer to Section 3.1 | C = Severe L = Unlikely High Risk | Y | Condition 4, 5, 6, 7. 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15 | The Delegated Officer has identified the impact of air emissions generated during a tyre fire and has noted that a fire prevention and management plan can assist to mitigate the risks of fire. The licence holder will be required to prepare and implement a Fire and Emergency Management plan that is consistent with AS3745. |

| Risk events | | | | | Risk rating ¹ | Applicant | | |
|----------------------|---|---|--|----------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|--|
| Sources / activities | Potential emission | Potential pathways and impact | Receptors | Applicant controls | C = consequence L = likelihood | Applicant controls sufficient? | Conditions ² of licence | Justification for additional regulatory controls |
| | Contaminated firefighting water | | Priority Ecological Communities Threatened Fauna | Refer to Section 3.1 | C = Major L = Unlikely Medium Risk | Y | Condition 1 Condition2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 14 and 15 | After taking into account the environmental siting of the premises and the applicant's proposed controls, the Delegated Officer added a requirement of constructing appropriately sized firewater containment infrastructure to retain all firewater generated within the tyre processing area. |
| | Contaminated stormwater | Overland runoff potentially causing ecosystem disturbance or impacting surface water quality Subsurface seepage | | Refer to Section 3.1 | C = Major L = Unlikely Medium Risk | Y | Condition 1 Condition 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10 and 15 | The tyre processing area is bunded to stop stormwater from entering the processing area. Additionally, the applicant advised that a clay mound was erected on the fence line to establish a catchment area, ensuring that all stormwater flows to the front and side catchment areas. Condition 10 has been added to the licence requiring the licence holder to take reasonable and practicable measures to prevent stormwater run-off from becoming contaminated by the activities and operations undertaken at the premises. |
| | Hydrocarbon spills during refueling | | | Refer to Section 3.1 | C = Minor L = Unlikely Medium Risk | Υ | Condition 4. 8, 9, 14 and 15 | Environmental Protection (Unauthorised Discharges) Regulations 2004 apply. |

Note 1: Consequence ratings, likelihood ratings and risk descriptions are detailed in the Guideline: Risk Assessments (DWER 2020).

Note 2: Proposed applicant controls are depicted by standard text. Bold and underline text depicts additional regulatory controls imposed by department.

4. Consultation

Table 4 provides a summary of the consultation undertaken by the department.

Table 4: Consultation

| Consultation method | Comments received | Department response |
|--|---|---|
| Application advertised on the department's website on 9 December 2024 | Refer to appendix 1 | Refer to appendix 1 |
| Local Government Authority - Shire of Chittering advised of proposal on 07 February 2025 | The Shire of Chittering confirmed that the property only has planning approval for a transport depot and that there are no approvals for a solid waste facility or for the storing or fitting of tyres. The Shire advised that the applicant will need to obtain the relevant approvals from the Shire to conduct these activities on the property. | Noted. The licence holder should contact the Shire of Chittering to obtain the relevant planning approval. |
| Department of Fire and Emergency Services (DFS) advised of proposal on 10 February 2025 | None received | N/A |
| Applicant was provided with draft documents on 11 February 2025 | Refer to appendix 2 | Refer to appendix 2 |

5. Conclusion

Based on the assessment in this decision report, the Delegated Officer has determined that a licence will be granted, subject to conditions commensurate with the determined controls and necessary for administration and reporting requirements.

References

- 1. Department of Environment Regulation (DER) 2015, *Guidance Statement: Setting Conditions*, Perth, Western Australia.
- 2. Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) 2020, *Guideline: Environmental Siting*, Perth, Western Australia.
- 3. DWER 2020, Guideline: Risk Assessments, Perth, Western Australia.
- 4. Department of Fire and Emergency Services (DFES) 2020, *Guidance Note: GN02 Bulk Storage of Rubber Tyres Including Shredded and Crumbed Tyres*, Perth, Western Australia.

Appendix 1: Summary of stakeholder's comments on the application

| Summary of stakeholder's comment | Department's response | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| There is indication that the proponent does not follow the regulations as outlined in <i>DFES Guidance Note GN02 Bulk Storage of Rubber Tyres</i> , on tyre storage from the photographs supplied in the application. There are no stacks only haphazard piles that could contribute to mosquito breeding and difficult to control any fire should it happen. | In order to address mosquito breeding the applicant proposes to immediately cut whole, used tyres, which originated from the tyrefitting business, into 4–6 pieces. The Delegated Officer has incorporated this requirement into condition 6 of the licence. Due to the immediate processing of tyres, the requirements of Section 6, Section 7, and Section 8 in <i>DFES Guidance Note GN02 Bulk Storage of Rubber Tyres</i> are not applicable for this application. The Delegated Officer has added stockpile size conditions and setbacks to the licence. Conditions 11-13 (Fire and emergency management) have been added to the licence to address fire prevention and management. | | | |
| There are no pollution traps associated with the concrete bunded area. This will result at some time in polluted water entering the adjacent waterway referred to as the Muchea East Brook and into the ground water. In this catchment the soil is underlaid by Guildford clays that form a hard layer across which infiltrated ground water flows into the Ellen Brook as base flow. This area is low lying and prone to flooding during winter and summer rainfall events. | The Delegated Officer has taken into account the distance to sensitive receptors and added the requirement to construct appropriately sized firewater containment infrastructure to retain all firewater generated within the tyre processing area. Additionally, the tyre processing area is bunded to stop stormwater becoming contaminated by the activities being undertaken on the premises. The premises also contains a raised clay mound to assist in preventing stormwater from leaving the | | | |
| In the event of a fire within the tyre stacks, toxic substances will flow through the proposed drainage system directly into the Muchea East Brook to the Ellen Brook. A volunteer group monitors the water flowing in the Muchea East Brook during the winter months for nutrients, metals and metalloids and hydrocarbons but not for toxic substances. | premises. | | | |
| There is minimal information on the vegetated swales and their adequacy for the job. The vegetation to protect the Muchea East Brook and screening from the Great Northern Hwy that was a condition in their original application to the Shire of Chittering didn't happen so there appears to be little commitment to compliance. | The Licence Holder is to note this information and contact the Shire of Chittering directly to discuss the requirement for vegetated swales. | | | |

Appendix 2: Summary of applicant's comments on risk assessment and draft conditions

| Summary of applicant's comment | Department's response |
|---|---|
| The applicant noted that the existing swale drains on the premises are designed to capture all water and any contaminants to prevent them from entering the stormwater drain. Additionally, the applicant stated that the capacity of the swale drainage exceeds the fire water volume collected within the isolated bunded storage area. Moreover, the applicant was informed that the land was surveyed and designed by a civil engineer, and the drain was also installed by a commercial plumber as per plans. | The Delegated Officer acknowledges this information and concludes that the permeability of the swale drains make them ineffectual for containing fire water produced during a fire incident. The licence conditions relating to the collection and capture of firewater remain. |
| The applicant confirmed that the property was not vegetated as shown in the supporting documents. The applicant stated that their insurance company conducted a fire and liability assessment at the time, and it was classified as extremely high risk due to the additional fuel load on the property, and therefore declined to insure the premises. The applicant stated that it was determined that the incorporation of a grassed, irrigated boundary in the vicinity of the swale drain would return Bradtrac to a low to medium fire risk rating. | Noted. The department recommends the licence holder contact the Shire of Chittering directly to discuss the requirement for vegetated swales. This requirement does not impact the risk assessment undertaken for the premises. |