

# **Decision Document**

### Environmental Protection Act 1986, Part V

### Proponent: Water Corporation

### Licence: L7440/1998/7

Registered office:	629 Newcastle St LEEDERVILLE WA 6007
Premises address:	Bridgetown Wastewater Treatment Plant #2 HESTER BROOK WA 6255 Being Lot 10438 on Plan 153767
Issue date:	Thursday, 23 October 2014
Commencement date:	Saturday, 1 November 2014
Expiry date:	Thursday, 31 October 2019

#### Decision

Based on the assessment detailed in this document the Department of Environment Regulation (DER), has decided to issue an amended licence. DER considers that in reaching this decision, it has taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the Licence and its conditions will ensure that an appropriate level of environmental protection is provided.

Decision Document prepared by:

Bradley Cox Licensing Officer

Decision Document authorised by:

Neville Welsh Manager Licensing



## Contents

Dec	cision Document	1
Cor	ntents	2
1	Purpose of this Document	2
2	Administrative summary	3
3	Executive summary of proposal and assessment	4
4	Decision table	5
5	Advertisement and consultation table	7
6.	Risk Assessment	8

### **1** Purpose of this Document

This decision document explains how DER has assessed and determined the application and provides a record of DER's decision-making process and how relevant factors have been taken into account. Stakeholders should note that this document is limited to DER's assessment and decision making under Part V of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*. Other approvals may be required for the proposal, and it is the proponent's responsibility to ensure they have all relevant approvals for their Premises.

#### Works approval and licence conditions

DER has three types of conditions that may be imposed on works approvals and licences. They are as follows;

#### Standard conditions (SC)

DER has standard conditions that are imposed on all works approvals and licences regardless of the activities undertaken on the Premises and the information provided in the application. These are included as the following conditions on works approvals and licences:

Works approval conditions: 1.1.1-1.1.4, 1.2.1, 1.2.2, 5.1.1 and 5.1.2.

Licence conditions: 1.1.1-1.1.4, 1.2.1-1.2.4, 5.1.1-5.1.4 and 5.2.1.

For such conditions, justification within the Decision Document is not provided.

#### **Optional standard conditions (OSC)**

In the interests of regulatory consistency DER has a set of optional standard conditions that can be imposed on works approvals and licences. DER will include optional standard conditions as necessary, and are likely to constitute the majority of conditions in any licence. The inclusion of any optional standard conditions is justified in Section 4 of this document.

#### Non standard conditions (NSC)

Where the proposed activities require conditions outside the standard conditions suite DER will impose one or more non-standard conditions. These include both premises and sector specific conditions, and are likely to occur within few licences. Where used, justification for the application of these conditions will be included in Section 4.



# 2 Administrative summary

Administrative details				
Application type	Works App New Licen Licence an Works App	ce nendmen		ent
	Category number(s)			Assessed design capacity
Activities that cause the premises to become	54: Sewag	e facility		520 cubic metres per day
prescribed premises	61: Liquid waste facility		ility	1000 tonnes per annual period
Application verified	Date: 29/0	7/2014		
Application fee paid	Date: 8/8/2			
Works Approval has been complied with	Yes	No	N//	A
Compliance Certificate received	Yes	No	N//	$\mathbb{A}$
Commercial-in-confidence claim	Yes	No⊠		
Commercial-in-confidence claim outcome				
Is the proposal a Major Resource Project?	Yes	No⊠		
Was the proposal referred to the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) under Part IV of the Environmental Protection Act 1986?	Yes	No⊠	Mana	rral decision No: aged under Part V □ essed under Part IV □
Is the proposal subject to Ministerial Conditions?	Yes	No⊠	Minis	sterial statement No: Report No:
Does the proposal involve a discharge of waste into a designated area (as defined in section 57 of the <i>Environmental Protection Act 1986</i> )?	Yes Departmen	No⊠ nt of Wate	er cons	ulted Yes 🗌 No 🛛
Is the Premises within an Environmental Protection If Yes include details of which EPP(s) here.	Policy (EPF	o) Area 🕚	Yes	No⊠
Is the Premises subject to any EPP requirements? If Yes, include details here, eg Site is subject to SC	Yes⊡ 0₂ requireme	No⊠ nts of Kw	inana	EPP.



3

.

### Executive summary of proposal and assessment

The Bridgetown Wastewater Treatment Plant (Bridgetown WWTP) is located near the town of Bridgetown and comprises of the following operations:

- An intermittently decanting extended aeration (IDEA) plant which treats water to an tertiary standard;
- Lined sludge drying beds; and
- Irrigation storage dam.

The treated wastewater is sent to a lined storage dam and following filtration and disinfection, is used to irrigate the Bridgetown Golf Course in summer months.

Waste activated sludge is dried in two drying beds, where the leachate is returned to the treatment plant and the dry solids are sent to disposal.

The main potential emissions are odour and impact on groundwater. Groundwater is monitored through a series of three bores. Monitoring of treated wastewater and reuse wastewater is also undertaken when discharging to the golf course. Apart from the nearby golf course there are no sensitive landusers close the Bridgetown WWTP.

The licence for this premises was recently reissued for a period of one year in old format.

DER has now amended the licence and converted it into the new standardised REFIRE format. During the conversion process, DER has not reassessed the acceptability or impacts of emissions and discharges from the Premises or re-visited any existing emission control levels. As part of the amendment, the period of the licence has been extended by a further four years as the previous reissue was for only one year.



### 4 Decision table

All applications are assessed in line with the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*, the *Environmental Protection Regulations 1987*, DEC's Policy Statement - Limits and targets for prescribed premises (2006), and DER's Operational Procedure on Assessing Emissions and Discharges from Prescribed Premises. Where other references have been used in making the decision they are detailed in the decision document.

Works Approval / Licence section	Condition number W = Works Approval L= Licence	OSC or NSC	Justification (including risk description & decision methodology where relevant)	Reference documents
General conditions	L1.3.1 L1.3.2	OSC OSC	Operation   Stormwater has not been reassessed as part of this reissue. As the previous licence did not include controls on stormwater, no specified conditions have been included on stormwater.   OSC L1.3.1 and 1.3.2 have been included in this section to replace condition W1 of the previous licence (1.3.1 has been included because there are descriptive limits in this section).	General provisions of the <i>Environmental</i> <i>Protection Act</i> 1986 <i>Environmental</i> <i>Protection</i> <i>(Unauthorised</i> <i>Discharges)</i>
Emissions general	N/A	N/A	As there are no conditions in the emissions section, OSC on recording or investigating exceedences of limits or targets has not been included.	Regulations 2004 N/A
Point source N/A emissions to air including monitoring		N/A	There are no point source emissions to air from the premises.	N/A
Point source emissions to surface water including monitoring	N/A	N/A	There are no point source emissions to surface water from the premises.	N/A
Point source	N/A	N/A	There are no point source emissions to groundwater from the premises.	N/A

*Environmental Protection Act* 1986 Decision Document: L7440/1998/7 File Number: DEC13161

Amendment date: Thursday, 22 January 2015

Page 5 of 8

IRLB\_TI0669 v2.6



Works Approval / Licence section	Condition number W = Works Approval L= Licence	OSC or NSC	Justification (including risk description & decision methodology where relevant)	Reference documents
emissions to groundwater including monitoring				
Emissions to land including monitoring	L3.5.1	OSC	There are no emissions to land from the premises (treated wastewater from the premises is discharged on the Bridgetown Golf Club which is a separate premises). Monitoring of this water has been included in the section on emissions to land to replace conditions W2 and W3 of the previous licence.	N/A
Fugitive emissions	N/A	N/A	Fugitive emissions have not been reassessed as part of this reissue. As the previous licence did not impose controls on fugitive emissions, no specified conditions have been included in this section.	N/A
Odour	N/A	N/A	Odour emissions have not been reassessed as part of this reissue. As the previous licence did not impose controls on odour emissions, no specified conditions have been included in this section.	
Noise	N/A	N/A	Noise emissions have not been reassessed as part of this reissue. As the previous licence did not impose controls on noise emissions, no specified conditions have been included in this section.	
Monitoring general	L3.1.1 – L3.1.4	OSC	General monitoring conditions have been included in the licence because there are conditions on monitoring in the sections on emissions to land and ambient monitoring.	
Monitoring of inputs and outputs	N/A	N/A	N/A Monitoring requirements have not been reassessed as part of this reissue. As the previous licence did not include conditions on monitoring of inputs and outputs no specified conditions have been included in this section.	
Process monitoring	N/A	N/A	Monitoring requirements have not been reassessed as part of this reissue. As the previous licence did not include conditions on process monitoring no specified conditions have been included in this section.	
Ambient quality monitoring	L3.8.1	OSC	Monitoring requirements have not been reassessed as part of this reissue. OSC L3.8.1 replaces condition W3 of the previous licence.	N/A
Meteorological monitoring	N/A	N/A	Monitoring requirements have not been reassessed as part of this reissue. As the previous licence did not include conditions on meteorological monitoring no	N/A

Environmental Protection Act 1986 Decision Document: L7440/1998/7 File Number: DEC13161

Amendment date: Thursday, 22 January 2015

Page 6 of 8

IRLB\_TI0669 v2.6



Works Approval / Licence section	Condition number W = Works Approval L= Licence	OSC or NSC	Justification (including risk description & decision methodology where relevant)	Reference documents
			specified conditions have been included in this section.	
Improvements	N/A	N/A	No improvement conditions are required in this licence.	N/A
Information	N/A	N/A	SC L5.2.1 – 5.2.3 and 5.3.1 replace conditions G1 and G2 of the previous licence.	N/A
Licence Duration	N/A	N/A	As this is a moderate priority premises it is recommended that this be issued for a period of five years. When a full decision document and review is completed, the licence can be extended for 20 years as per the DER policy on licence duration.	N/A

### 5 Advertisement and consultation table

Date Event		Comments received/Notes	How comments were taken into consideration	
N/A	Application advertised in West Australian (or other relevant newspaper)	N/A	N/A	
12/11/2014	Proponent sent a copy of draft instrument	Minor amendments to licence requested – removal of monitoring forms, change to emission point monitoring, change to calender days for reporting, addition of a condition that was missing.	Changes incorporated into the licence.	



### 6. Risk Assessment

Note: This matrix is taken from the DER Corporate Policy Statement No. 07 - Operational Risk Management

### Table 1: Emissions Risk Matrix

Likelihood	Consequence					
	Insignificant	Minor	Moderate	Major	Severe	
Almost Certain	Moderate	High	High	Extreme	Extreme	
Likely	Moderate	Moderate	High	High	Extreme	
Possible	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High	Extreme	
Unlikely	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	High	
Rare	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High	