



## Application for Licence Amendment

### Part V Division 3 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*

---

<b>Licence Number</b>	L8485/2010/2
<b>Licence Holder</b>	St Ives Gold Mining Company Pty Limited
<b>ACN</b>	098 386 273
<b>File Number</b>	DER2018/000300~1
<b>Premises</b>	St Ives Gold Mine KAMBALDA WEST WA 6442  Legal description –  Mining Tenements described in Schedule 2 of the Revised Licence L885/2010/2 KAMBALDA WEST WA 6442  As defined by the Premises maps attached to the Revised licence
<b>Date of Report</b>	12/09/2023
<b>Decision</b>	Revised licence granted

**A/MANAGER, RESOURCE INDUSTRIES  
REGULATORY SERVICES**

an officer delegated under section 20 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (WA)

## Table of Contents

<b>1. Decision summary</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. Scope of assessment</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1 Regulatory framework	1
2.2 Application summary	1
2.2.1 Category 5 – Paste Plants	1
2.2.2 Administrative amendments	2
2.2.3 Out of scope amendments	2
<b>3. Risk assessment</b>	<b>2</b>
3.1 Source-pathways and receptors	2
Emissions and controls	2
Receptors	4
3.2 Risk ratings	7
<b>4. Consultation</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>5. Conclusion</b>	<b>11</b>
5.1 Summary of amendments	11
<b>References</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Appendix 1: Summary of Licence Holder’s comments on risk assessment and draft conditions</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Appendix 2: Application validation summary</b>	<b>13</b>
Table 1: Licence Holder controls	3
Table 2: Sensitive human and environmental receptors and distance from prescribed activity	4
Table 3. Risk assessment of potential emissions and discharges from the Premises during operation	8
Table 4: Consultation	11
Table 5: Summary of Licence amendments	11
Figure 1: Paste Plant Locations	6

# 1. Decision summary

Licence L8485/2010/2 is held by St Ives Gold Mining Company Pty Limited (Licence Holder) for the St Ives Gold Mine (the Premises), located in Kambalda West. This amendment report documents the assessment of potential risks to the environment and public health from proposed changes to the emissions and discharges during the construction and operations of the premises. As a result of this assessment, revised licence L8485/2010/2 has been granted.

## 2. Scope of assessment

### 2.1 Regulatory framework

In completing the assessment documented in this Amendment Report, the department has considered and given due regard to its Regulatory Framework and relevant policy documents which are available at <https://dwer.wa.gov.au/regulatory-documents>.

### 2.2 Application summary

St Ives Gold Mining Company Pty Ltd (SIGMC), a subsidiary of Gold Fields Australia (GFA); part of the global Gold Fields Limited group (GFL), currently owns and operates the St Ives Gold Mine (SIGM). The township of Kambalda East is located approximately 20kms to the north-west of operational mining areas.

On 30 March 2023, the Licence Holder submitted an application to the department to amend Licence L8485/2010/2 under section 59 and 59B of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act). The following amendments are being sought:

- Inclusion of three existing paste plants located within the premises boundary (see Figure 1) – Fenton Paste Plant, Invincible South Paste Plant and Hamlet Paste Plant (Category 5 infrastructure);
- Several minor administrative amendments; and
- Additional amendments deemed to be out of scope (see section 2.2.3)

#### 2.2.1 Category 5 – Paste Plants

Three currently operational paste fill plants (Fenton Paste Plant, Invincible South Paste Plant and Hamlet Paste Plant) are sought to be included within the SIGMC Prescribed Premise Licence.

Previously, paste plants were not considered to be subject to regulation under Part V of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 (EP Act). Paste fill plants have more recently been recognised as a Prescribed Activity, and as such, SIGMC are proposing to include the above three paste plants within Licence L8485/2010/2.

Together the paste plants currently process up to 800,000 tonnes of tailings per year, which are included within the current Category 5 Prescribed Activity limit of 9,000,000 tonnes per annual period.

Tailings is currently sourced from the decommissioned TSF1 to create paste for use within the mining operations. TSF3 and TSF4 may also be used to provide a tailings source in the future for these three paste plants.

Tailings are excavated and loaded onto haul trucks to be transported to the paste plants where tailings is mixed with water and cement to form a paste. The paste material is then pumped underground via boreholes directed to underground receival areas.

Saline water obtained via mine dewatering is used to supply the paste plants which is delivered via existing pipelines.

## 2.2.2 Administrative amendments

As part of this Licence Amendment several administrative changes are being requested. These include:

- changes to the maps in Schedule 1 to show the North Orchin/Heap Leach monitoring bores and the Leviathan monitoring bores;
- An updated location of the W08 dewatering point on the premises maps in Schedule 1;
- Changes to the format of Table 16 to allow consolidation of items for ease of use; and
- Removal of constructed infrastructure from Table 6.

No changes to the aspects of the existing Licence relating to Category 52 and 73 have been requested by the Licence Holder.

The proposed removal of constructed infrastructure from Table 6 (Condition 23) includes the Argo Turkey's nest, as well as the Dewatering discharge point W8 and associated pipeline. A review of construction reports related to this infrastructure was undertaken by DWER on 31 March 2023 and confirmed that compliance with construction conditions was met.

## 2.2.3 Out of scope amendments

The licence application received by the department on 30 March 2023 also included a request for approval for construction and operation of new infrastructure including a proposed thermal power station and associated fuel storage facility (category 52 and 73).

In accordance with department's Guide to Licensing, it was determined that a licence amendment application was not suitable for assessment of the construction of this infrastructure and have therefore been excluded from the scope of this assessment. On 4 April 2023, the licence holder was advised by the department that assessment of category 52 and 73 activities had been removed from the scope of this licence amendment, with recommendation that a works approval application be submitted for the new power station and associated fuel storage facility.

# 3. Risk assessment

The department assesses the risks of emissions from prescribed premises and identifies the potential source, pathway and impact to receptors in accordance with the *Guideline: Risk assessments* (DWER 2020).

To establish a Risk Event there must be an emission, a receptor which may be exposed to that emission through an identified actual or likely pathway, and a potential adverse effect to the receptor from exposure to that emission.

## 3.1 Source-pathways and receptors

### Emissions and controls

The key emissions and associated actual or likely pathway during premises operation which have been considered in this Amendment Report are detailed in Table 1 below.

Table 1 also details the proposed control measures the Licence Holder has proposed to assist in controlling these emissions, where necessary.

**Table 1: Licence Holder controls**

Emission	Sources	Potential pathways	Proposed controls
Dust from tailings storage, handling and excavation from TSF1	Excavation of dry tailings from TSF1 / other TSFs  Handling and transport (loading/unloading) of dry tailings.  Tailing stockpile lift off.	Air/wind dispersion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No controls proposed.</li> <li>Existing condition 32 requires licence holder to manage fugitive emissions as per Dust Management Plan.</li> </ul>
Potentially contaminated surface water within paste plant operating area	Tailings stockpile runoff  Tailings / paste spills	Overland runoff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Surface drainage from within the paste plant operating area is directed either to an open pit mine or to a sump at the main processing plant.</li> <li>Surface water runoff is directed away from the paste plant areas via v-drains.</li> </ul>
Hydrocarbons spills from paste plant	Operation of paste plant – spills/leaks	Direct discharge to land	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Paste Plants are located on constructed ROM areas, reducing the chance of environmental impact.</li> </ul>
Tailings dust from transport of dry tailings	Haul truck transport of tailings	Air/windborne dispersion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No controls proposed</li> </ul>
Spills and leaks of tailings / paste fill from operation of paste plant	Spills from unloading of tailings.  Tank overflows	Direct discharge to land	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Paste Plants are located on constructed ROM areas, reducing the chance of environmental impact.</li> <li>Tailings spills outside of the paste plant footprint to be cleaned up immediately.</li> <li>Tank overflow directed into mine pits or plant sumps</li> </ul>
Spills/leaks of saline water used in paste plants	Spills and Leaks from pipelines supplying water to paste plants	Direct discharge to land	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Telemetry and leak detection on pipes supplying saline water to the Paste Plants.</li> <li>Paste Plants are located on constructed ROM areas, reducing the chance of environmental impact.</li> <li>Water storage tanks to be regularly maintained.</li> </ul>

Emission	Sources	Potential pathways	Proposed controls
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tank overflow directed into mine pits or plant sumps</li> </ul>
Noise	Noise from operation of paste plant	Air/windborne dispersion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Paste Plants are only operational on day shift unless business needs require night shift operation.</li> <li>The closest noise sensitive receptor is 26km northwest</li> </ul>

## Receptors

In accordance with the *Guideline: Risk assessments* (DWER 2020), the Delegated Officer has excluded employees, visitors and contractors of the Licence Holder's from its assessment. Protection of these parties often involves different exposure risks and prevention strategies, and is provided for under other state legislation.

Table 2 below provides a summary of potential human and environmental receptors that may be impacted as a result of activities upon or emission and discharges from the prescribed premises (*Guideline: Environmental siting* (DWER 2020)).

**Table 2: Sensitive human and environmental receptors and distance from prescribed activity**

Human receptors	Distance from prescribed activity
No human receptors identified.	N/A
Environmental receptors	Distance from prescribed activity
Environmentally Sensitive Areas	No Environmentally Sensitive Area (ESA) recorded in the project area.
Threatened Ecological Communities	No TECs have been recorded in the project area.
Conservation Significant Fauna	<p>Fourteen conservation significant fauna species (two mammals and 12 birds) were recorded or expected to occur within the project area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Chuditch, Western Quoll (<i>Dasyurus geoffroii</i>) – Low</li> <li>Red-tailed Phascogale (<i>Phascogale calura</i>) – Low</li> <li>Curlew Sandpiper (<i>Calidris ferruginea</i>) – Low</li> <li>Night Parrot (<i>Pezoporus occidentalis</i>) – Low</li> <li>Carnaby's Cockatoo (<i>Calyptorhynchus latirostris</i>) – Medium</li> <li>Malleefowl (<i>Leipoa ocellata</i>) - High/Recorded</li> <li>Grey Falcon (<i>Falco hypoleucos</i>) - Very Low</li> <li>Fork-tailed Swift (<i>Apus pacificus</i>) - High/Recorded</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Common Sandpiper (<i>Actitis hypoleucos</i>) – Low</li> <li>• Sharp-tailed Sandpiper (<i>Calidris acuminata</i>) – Medium</li> <li>• Pectoral Sandpiper (<i>Calidris melanotos</i>) – Medium</li> <li>• Grey Wagtail (<i>Motacilla cinerea</i>) – Low</li> <li>• Peregrine Falcon (<i>Falco peregrinus</i>) – Medium</li> <li>• Western Rosella (inland) (<i>Playcercus icterotis xanthogenys</i>)</li> <li>• Western Rosella (inland) (<i>Platycercus icterotis xanthogenys</i>) Medium</li> <li>• Malleefowl (<i>Leipoa ocellata</i>) (known to occur within prescribed premises boundary, but approximately 5km from paste plant)</li> </ul> <p>Two species are expected to have a ‘High’ likelihood of occurrence within the Study Area due to recent recordings; this includes Malleefowl (<i>Leipoa ocellata</i>, EPBC &amp; BC Act Vulnerable) and Forktailed Swift (<i>Apus pacificus</i>, EPBC &amp; BC Act Migratory).</p>
Threatened and/or priority flora / native vegetation	<p>No threatened flora has been identified within close proximity of the paste plant areas. No Threatened, Priority or other significant flora taxa have been recorded within the Project footprint.</p> <p>The distance to nearest native vegetation for each paste plant is as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fenton Paste Plant – 800 meters west</li> <li>• Invincible South Paste Plant – 1.5 km north west</li> <li>• Hamlet Pate Plant – approximately 70 m (all directions)</li> </ul>
Aboriginal and other heritage sites	<p>No registered heritage sites have been recorded within close proximity of the paste plant infrastructure.</p> <p>Kambalada (site id 13907 and 19180) is a recognised heritage places within prescribed premises boundary but several kms from location of paste plants.</p>
Surface water	<p>Lake Lefroy is the major surface water body within the project boundary. Mining occurs within Lake Lefroy, with Fenton Paste Plant and Invincible South Paste Plant located within the lake boundaries. Hamlet Paste Plant is located approximately 4 km east of the lake boundary.</p>



Figure 1: Paste Plant Locations



## 3.2 Risk ratings

Risk ratings have been assessed in accordance with the *Guideline: Risk Assessments* (DWER 2020) for those emission sources which are proposed to change and takes into account potential source-pathway and receptor linkages as identified in Section 3.1. Where linkages are in-complete they have not been considered further in the risk assessment.

Where the Licence Holder has proposed mitigation measures/controls (as detailed in Section 3.1), these have been considered when determining the final risk rating. Where the Delegated Officer considers the Licence Holder's proposed controls to be critical to maintaining an acceptable level of risk, these will be incorporated into the licence as regulatory controls.

Additional regulatory controls may be imposed where the licence Holder's controls are not deemed sufficient. Where this is the case the need for additional controls will be documented and justified in Table3.

The Revised Licence L8485/2010/2 that accompanies this Amendment Report authorises emissions associated with the operation of the Premises i.e. category 5, 6, 7, 54, 57 and 64. The conditions in the Revised Licence have been determined in accordance with *Guidance Statement: Setting Conditions* (DER 2015).

**Table 3. Risk assessment of potential emissions and discharges from the Premises during operation**

Risk Event					Risk rating C = consequence L = likelihood	Applicant controls sufficient?	Conditions of licence	Justification for additional regulatory controls
Source/Activities	Potential emissions	Potential pathways and impact	Receptors	Applicant controls				
<b>Operation</b>								
Operation of paste plant including transport and storage of dried tailings to form paste	Dust from tailings storage, handling excavation from TSF1 and transport via haul trucks	Air/windborne pathway causing impacts to environmental receptors	Lake Lefroy, Native vegetation	Refer to section 3.1	C= Minor L= Possible = Medium	No	<b><u>Condition 1 – tailings to be wetted down, covered of of sufficient moisture content during transport</u></b>  Existing condition 32	No controls were proposed to manage dust emissions from this activity. Dust generated from tailings may impact the health of lake Lefroy and native vegetation. Due to the close proximity of these receptors to the paste plants it has been deemed necessary to ensure dust emissions are minimised during handling and transport of the tailings by requiring the licence holder to wet down, cover or ensure tailings are of sufficient moisture content during handling and prior to transporting via haul trucks.
	Potentially contaminated surface water within paste plant operating area	Surface water runoff	Lake Lefroy, Native vegetation	Refer to section 3.1	C= Minor L= Unlikely = <b>Medium</b>	Yes	Condition 1	N/A

Risk Event					Risk rating C = consequence L = likelihood	Applicant controls sufficient?	Conditions of licence	Justification for additional regulatory controls
Source/Activities	Potential emissions	Potential pathways and impact	Receptors	Applicant controls				
	Hydrocarbons spills from paste plant	Operation of paste plant – spills/ leaks	Lake Lefroy, native vegetation	Refer to section 3.1	C=Minor L= Unlikely <b>= Medium</b>	Yes	Condition 1	N/A
	Spills and leaks of tailings / paste fill from operation of paste plant	Direct discharge to land resulting in contamination of soil / surface water	Lake Lefroy, native vegetation	Refer to section 3.1	C = Minor L = Unlikely <b>= Medium</b>	Yes	Condition 1	N/A

Risk Event					Risk rating C = consequence L = likelihood	Applicant controls sufficient?	Conditions of licence	Justification for additional regulatory controls
Source/Activities	Potential emissions	Potential pathways and impact	Receptors	Applicant controls				
	Spills/leaks of saline water used in paste plants	Direct discharge to land as a result of pipeline leaks or overtopping/leaks of water tanks	Lake Lefroy, native vegetation	Refer to section 3.1	C = Minor L = Unlikely <b>= Medium</b>	Yes	Existing condition 2 (pipelines containing saline water to have secondary containment or telemetry/cut outs. Condition 1	N/A

Note 1: Consequence ratings, likelihood ratings and risk descriptions are detailed in the *Guideline: Risk assessments* (DWER 2020).

Note 2: Proposed Works Licence Holder's controls are depicted by standard text. **Bold and underline text** depicts additional regulatory controls imposed by department.

## 4. Consultation

Table 4 provides a summary of the consultation undertaken by the department.

**Table 4: Consultation**

Consultation method	Comments received	Department response
Licence Holder was provided with draft amendment on 4 August 2023	<i>Refer to Appendix 1</i>	<i>Refer to Appendix 1</i>

## 5. Conclusion

Based on the assessment in this Amendment Report, the Delegated Officer has determined that a Revised Licence will be granted, subject to conditions commensurate with the determined controls and necessary for administration and reporting requirements.

### 5.1 Summary of amendments

Table 5 provides a summary of the proposed amendments and will act as record of implemented changes. All proposed changes have been incorporated into the Revised Licence as part of the amendment process.

**Table 5: Summary of Licence amendments**

Condition no.	Proposed amendments
1	Inclusion of new condition and table to provide operational controls for paste plants.
Condition numbering	Condition numbers and table numbers updated throughout document due to inclusion of new condition 1.
23	Deleted rows relating to construction of W8 discharge point/pipeline and Argo Turkey's nest as this work has been completed.
39	Consolidation of table 17 (formerly table 16) to improve readability of existing groundwater monitoring conditions. Monitoring requirements/limits have not changed.
Schedule 1: Maps	Inclusion of new premise maps (2 of 3, and 3 of 3) to provide updated location of W08 and North Orchin/Heap Leach monitoring bores.  Inclusion of Figure 17 showing location of paste plants.

## References

1. Department of Environment Regulation (DER) 2015, *Guidance Statement: Setting Conditions*, Perth, Western Australia.
2. Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) 2020, *Guideline: Environmental Siting*, Perth, Western Australia.
3. DWER 2020, *Guideline: Risk Assessments*, Perth, Western Australia.

## Appendix 1: Summary of Licence Holder's comments on risk assessment and draft conditions

Condition	Summary of Licence Holder's comment	Department's response
Condition 1	<p>Proposed change of wording to provide flexibility in controls in managing dust generation during handling and transport. Proposed wording as per below:</p> <p>Tailings material shall be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- wetted down; or</li> <li>- covered; or</li> <li>- of sufficient moisture content;</li> </ul> <p>during handling and transport to minimise dust generation.</p>	<p>The Department has granted this request and modified wording in both licence and decision document to incorporate this change.</p>
Schedule 1 Maps	<p>The supplied North Orchin/Heap Leach and Leviathan monitoring bores haven't been added to the draft Licence.</p>	<p>Schedule 1 maps updated with Figure 11 (North Orchin/Heap Leach) and 12 (Leviathan In-Pit TSF).</p>

## Appendix 2: Application validation summary

SECTION 1: APPLICATION SUMMARY				
<b>Application type</b>				
Amendment to licence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Current licence number:	L8485/2010/2	
		Relevant works approval number:		N/A
Date application received		30/3/2023		
<b>Applicant and Premises details</b>				
Applicant name/s (full legal name/s)		St Ives Gold Mining Company Pty Limited		
Premises name		SIGM Operations		
Premises location		<p>Fenton Paste Plant (M15/1690) Invincible South Paste Plan (M15/1687) Hamlet Paste Plant (M15/1596)</p> <p>Located approximately 20km south east of Kambalda. Additional tenements form the wider prescribed premises (refer licence L8445/2010/2), Schedule 1 Maps 1-3).</p> <p>Prescribed premises boundary described as:</p> <p>KAMBALDA WEST WA 6442 Mining tenements as described in schedule 2 of L8485/2010/2</p>		
Local Government Authority		Shire of Coolgardie		
<b>Application documents</b>				
HPCM file reference number:		DER2018/000300-1~2		
Key application documents (additional to application form):		<p><i>Attachment 8: Supporting information:</i></p> <p>APPENDIX G Flora &amp; Fauna Assessment APPENDIX H Hydrology Impact assessment APPENDIX I Hydrogeology impact Assessment APPENDIX J Noise Impact Assessment APPENDIX K Greenhouse Gas Emissions Impact Assessment APPENDIX L Construction Environmental Management Plan</p> <p>Note that these are provided as part of a wider application. None of these additional documents specifically reference the operation of paste plants.</p>		
<b>Scope of application/assessment</b>				

<p>Summary of proposed activities or changes to existing operations.</p>	<p>The proposed amendments are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Category 5 – construction of Paste Plants</li> </ul> <p>Three currently operational paste fill plants are sought to be included within the SIGMC Prescribed Premise Licence.</p> <p>Previously, paste plants were not considered to be subject to regulation under Part V of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 (EP Act). Paste fill plants have more recently been recognised as a Prescribed Activity, and as such, SIGMC are proposing to include the above three paste plants within Licence L8485/2010/2.</p> <p>Together the paste plants currently process up to 800,000 tonnes per year, which are included within the current Category 5 Prescribed Activity limit of 9,000,000 tonnes per annual period.</p> <p><b>Administrative Amendments</b></p> <p>As part of this Licence Amendment several administrative changes are being requested. These include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• changes to the maps in Schedule 1 to show the North Orchin/Heap Leach monitoring bores and the Leviathan monitoring bores;</li> <li>• An updated location of the W08 dewatering point on the premises maps in Schedule 1;</li> <li>• Changes to the format of Table 16 to allow consolidation of items for ease of use; and</li> <li>• Removal of constructed infrastructure from Table 6.</li> </ul>
--	---

**Category number/s (activities that cause the premises to become prescribed premises)**

**Table 1: Prescribed premises categories**

Prescribed premises category and description	Assessed production or design capacity	Proposed changes to the production or design capacity (amendments only)
Category 5 – Processing or beneficiation of metallic or non-metallic ore	Assessed – use for existing premises where amendments are being sought.	Addition of 3 paste plants.
Category 52	Removed from application – refer email A2168570	
Category 73	Removed from application – refer email A2168570	



Legislative context and other approvals		
Has the applicant referred, or do they intend to refer, their proposal to the EPA under Part IV of the EP Act as a significant proposal?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Referral decision No: Managed under Part V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Assessed under Part IV <input type="checkbox"/>
Does the applicant hold any existing Part IV Ministerial Statements relevant to the application?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Ministerial statement No: MS 879 EPA Report No: 1411
Has the proposal been referred and/or assessed under the EPBC Act?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reference No:
Has the applicant demonstrated occupancy (proof of occupier status)?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Certificate of title <input type="checkbox"/> General lease <input type="checkbox"/> Expiry: Mining lease / tenement <input type="checkbox"/> Expiry: Other evidence <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expiry:
Has the applicant obtained all relevant planning approvals?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Approval: Expiry date: If N/A explain why? Mining tenement
Has the applicant applied for, or have an existing EP Act clearing permit in relation to this proposal?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CPS No: N/A No clearing is proposed.
Has the applicant applied for, or have an existing CAWS Act clearing licence in relation to this proposal?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Application reference No: N/A Licence/permit No: N/A No clearing is proposed.
Has the applicant applied for, or have an existing RIWI Act licence or permit in relation to this proposal?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Application reference No: Licence/permit No: Licence / permit not required.
Does the proposal involve a discharge of waste into a designated area (as defined in section 57 of the EP Act)?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Name: N/A Type: Proclaimed Groundwater Area/Surface Water Area Has Regulatory Services (Water) been consulted? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Regional office: Swan Avon / Mid-West Gascoyne / Kwinana Peel / North West / South West /

		Goldfields / South Coast
Is the Premises situated in a Public Drinking Water Source Area (PDWSA)?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Name: N/A Priority: P1 / P2 / P3 / N/A Are the proposed activities/landuse compatible with the PDWSA (refer to <u>WQPN 25</u> )? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
Is the Premises subject to any other Acts or subsidiary regulations (e.g. <i>Dangerous Goods Safety Act 2004</i> , <i>Environmental Protection (Controlled Waste) Regulations 2004</i> , <i>State Agreement Act xxxx</i> )	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Environmental Protection (Controlled Waste) Regulations 2004</li> <li>• <i>Mining Act 1978</i></li> </ul>
Is the Premises within an Environmental Protection Policy (EPP) Area?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A
Is the Premises subject to any EPP requirements?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A
Is the Premises a known or suspected contaminated site under the <i>Contaminated Sites Act 2003</i> ?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Classification: Possibly contaminated – investigation required. Date of classification: 27/02/2020