

Decision Document

Environmental Protection Act 1986, Part V

| Proponent: | Shire of Merredin |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Licence: | L8513/2010/2 |
| Registered office: | Shire of Merredin Administration Centre corner of King & Barrack Streets MERREDIN WA 6415 |
| Premises address: | Merredin Chandler Road Landfill MERREDIN WA 6415 being Lot 500 on Plan 66111 Chandler Road |
| Issue date: | Thursday, 05 February 2015 |
| Commencement date: | Sunday, 08 February 2015 |
| Expiry date: | Wednesday, 07 February 2035 |

Decision

Based on the assessment detailed in this document the Department of Environment Regulation (DER) has decided to issue an amended licence. DER considers that in reaching this decision, it has taken into account all relevant considerations.

Decision Document prepared by:

Melissa Chamberlain Licensing Officer

Decision Document authorised by:

Alan Kietzmann Manager Licensing



Contents

| Dec | cision Document | 1 |
|-----|----------------------------------------------|---|
| Con | ntents | 2 |
| 1 | Purpose of this Document | 2 |
| 2 | Administrative summary | 3 |
| 3 | Executive summary of proposal and assessment | 4 |
| 4 | Decision table | 5 |
| 5 | Advertisement and consultation table | 8 |
| 6 | Risk Assessment | 9 |
| | | |

1 Purpose of this Document

This decision document explains how DER has assessed and determined the application and provides a record of DER's decision-making process and how relevant factors have been taken into account. Stakeholders should note that this document is limited to DER's assessment and decision making under Part V of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986.* Other approvals may be required for the proposal, and it is the proponent's responsibility to ensure they have all relevant approvals for their Premises.



2 Administrative summary

| Administrative details | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Application type | Works Approval New Licence Licence amendmer Works Approval an | | ent | | | |
| | Category number | (s) | Assessed design capacity | | | |
| Activities that cause the premises to become prescribed premises | 61 | | 200 tonnes per annual period | | | |
| | 62 | | 2 000 tonnes per annual period | | | |
| | 64 | | 5 000 tonnes per annual period | | | |
| Application verified | Date: N/A | | | | | |
| Application fee paid | Date: N/A | | | | | |
| Works Approval has been complied with | Yes No | N/A | \mathbb{N} | | | |
| Compliance Certificate received | Yes No | N/A | \mathbb{N} | | | |
| Commercial-in-confidence claim | Yes No | | | | | |
| Commercial-in-confidence claim outcome | | | | | | |
| Is the proposal a Major Resource Project? | Yes No | I | | | | |
| Was the proposal referred to the Environmental | Yes No | | rral decision No: | | | |
| Protection Authority (EPA) under Part IV of the Environmental Protection Act 1986? | | | aged under Part V | | | |
| | | | Assessed under Part IV | | | |
| Is the proposal subject to Ministerial Conditions? | Yes No | winis | Ministerial statement No: | | | |
| | | EPA | Report No: | | | |
| Does the proposal involve a discharge of waste | Yes No | 1 | | | | |
| into a designated area (as defined in section 57 of the <i>Environmental Protection Act 1986</i>)? | | | | | | |
| Is the Premises within an Environmental Protection Policy (EPP) Area Yes No | | | | | | |
| If Yes include details of which EPP(s) here. | | | | | | |
| Is the Premises subject to any EPP requirements? | Yes No | | | | | |
| If Yes, include details here, eg Site is subject to SO ₂ requirements of Kwinana EPP. | | | | | | |



3 Executive summary of proposal and assessment

The Merredin Chandler Road Landfill is located on Lot 500 on Plan 66111, Chandler Road in the Shire of Merredin ('The Shire'). The premises is approximately 260 kilometres (km) east of Perth, and 6 km north of the Merredin town site. The average yearly rainfall is 314 millimeters (mm) and average monthly evaporation is 180 mm.

The site is located on the Yilgarn Craton, which is characterised predominately by granite rock overlain by weathered clay soils. Testing on site has shown high clay pindan soils to a depth of approximately 18 metres (m), with hypersaline groundwater located at approximately 14 to 20 m (most recent electrical conductivity results of 2160 mS/m). The Shire has estimated that groundwater flows from the north to the south west.

There are no permanent water bodies within 1 km of the site. There are a number of creeks which flow intermittently, the closest of which are located 750 m to the south east of the premises. The Shire advised there are no domestic or industrial uses of groundwater within 2 km of the premises, and the groundwater is proven to be highly saline in nature.

The site receives waste from residents and commercial contractors from within the boundaries of the Shire of Merredin. The site also receives, on occasion, C&D waste, ACM and other general waste from outside the bounds of the Shire of Merredin. Some waste types are stockpiled onsite, such as recyclable paper, cardboard, plastics, batteries and green waste. These wastes are stored and then taken off-site by Avon Waste, once a sizable load is accumulated (approximately every few months). Green waste is stockpiled to be chipped and is then taken away by members of the public.

Liquid wastes are directed to an evaporation pond lined with in-situ clays. All other wastes are buried in the landfill. The landfill uses a trench and fill method where a hole is dug and backfilled with waste using the excavated material as cover. Special Waste Type 1 and 2 are disposed in designated areas with restricted access.

The main potential emission from the premises is leachate from the stored and landfilled wastes. The potential for leachate to impact groundwater or surface water is limited due to the distance to groundwater and the thickness and permeability of clay as indicated in sample bores constructed at the premises.

There is also potential for fugitive dust and odour to be emitted from the premises; however the emissions and potential impacts are likely to be low due to the proximity to sensitive receptors, sealed roads and site management practices including the use of vegetative buffer zones.

This licence is the result of an amendment sought by the Licensee to construct and operate a new animal burial pit. The pit will be approximately 7 m wide, 7 m long and 4 m deep and inclusive of a small vehicle access ramp. The pit will be surreounded by 1.8 m high fencing with a lockable gate. This amendment does not result in a change of activities, throughput, emissions or discharges. A number of DER initiated amendments have also been made to ensure consistency with licence templates.



4 Decision table

All applications are assessed in line with the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*, the *Environmental Protection Regulations 1987*, and DER's Operational Procedure on Assessing Emissions and Discharges from Prescribed Premises. Where other references have been used in making the decision they are detailed in the decision document.

| DECISION TABL | | | |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Works Approval / Licence section | Condition number W = Works Approval L= Licence | Justification (including risk description & decision methodology where relevant) | Reference documents |
| Interpretation/ General Conditions | N/A | Previous condition 1.1.5 is removed as it is an explanatory statement and not a valid or enforceable condition. Previous conditions 1.2.1 and 1.2.2 are removed as they are not enforceable as they are not sufficiently clear or certain. The requirements of previous condition 1.2.2 have been replicated in Table 1.2.2 to specifically refer to spills of hazardous waste types. Previous condition 1.2.5 is removed as it is unclear what stormwater infrastructure is required, or what specific management actions are required. As there are no longer conditions under the heading '1.2 General Conditions' this has been removed. | L8513/2010/2 |
| Premises operation | L1.2.13 | Condition 1.2.13 requires that the new animal pit is constructed in accordance with the document titled 'Application form: works approval/licence' dated 8 January 2016 so that works undertaken are consistent with those assessed for this amendment. | 'Application form: works approval/licence' dated 8 January 2016 |
| Emissions to Groundwater | N/A | Emission Description Emission: Leachates from the decomposition of animal bodies. Impact: Leachates may enter groundwater in the area. Controls: The animal pit will be lined with in-situ clays. The Shire expects that the permeability of these soil is low, in the vicinity of <1.0x10 ⁻⁹ m/s. The pit is to a depth of 4 m which maintains a minimum distance of 7 m to groundwater which | L8513/2010/2 |

Amendment: 04 February 2016

Page 5 of 9

IRLB_TI0669 v2.6



| | | is found at 14-20 m. | |
|-----------------------|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | Risk Assessment Consequence: Minor Likelihood: Unlikely Risk Rating: Low | |
| | | Regulatory Controls Due to the low risk of leachates migrating to groundwater no specific conditions relating to emissions to groundwater are required. | |
| | | Current condition 1.2.3 requires that Special Waste Type 2 is buried in a designated Special Waste Type 2 disposal area, and no works shall be carried out on the landfill that oculd lead to a disturbance of Special Waste Type 2. | |
| | | Residual Risk Consequence: Minor Likelihood: Unlikely Risk Rating: Low | |
| Fugitive emissions | N/A | Construction and operation of the animal pit is not expected to cause significant dust emissions. | N/A |
| Odour | N/A | Emission Description Emission: Odour from receipt and disposal of animal bodies. Impact: Odour can negatively impact on the welfare and amenity of those on nearby properties. There are no sensitive receptors within 2 km of the premises. Controls: Special Waste Type 2 is immediately covered with 1000 mm of Type 1 inert waste or soil. | Supporting documentation General provisions of the <i>Environmental</i> <i>Protection Act</i> |
| | | Risk Assessment Consequence: Minor Likelihood: Possible Risk Rating: Low | 1986. |
| | | Regulatory Controls Condition 1.2.5 and Table 1.2.4 require the licensee to immediately cover | |

Environmental Protection Act 1986 Decision Document: L8513/2010/2 File Number: 2013/004002

Amendment: 04 February 2016

Page 6 of 9

IRLB_TI0669 v2.6



| | | Special Waste Type 2 with 1000 mm of Type 1 inert waste or soil. | |
|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | This assessment has determined the risk of odour being low and any associated emssions can be suitably regulated under section 49 of the <i>Environmental Protection Act 1986</i> . | |
| | | Risk Assessment Consequence: Minor Likelihood: Possible | |
| | | Risk Rating: Low | |
| Noise | N/A | Construction and operation are not expected to cause significant noise emissions. | N/A |
| Information | N/A | Previous condition 3.1.2 is removed as it is not enforceable as the requirements for compliance are not clear. | N/A |
| | L3.2.3-3.2.4 | Condition 3.2.3 requires the licensee to submit a compliance document following construction of the works to certify that works are constructed as specified. The condition requires the documents to be submitted 4 weeks before commissioning to provide DER with enough notification to review the documentation before the pit is in use. | |
| | | Condition 3.2.4 specifies the requirements of the compliance document. | |
| Licence duration | N/A | The licence duration has been extended to 20 years from the original issue date as a full assessment of the premises was recently undertaken and there are no factors which otherwise limit the licence duration. | Department of Environment Regulation, Guidance Statement, Licence Duraiton, November 2014 (revised May |
| | | | (revised Ma 2015). |



5 Advertisement and consultation table

| Date | Event | Comments received/Notes | How comments were taken into |
|------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| | | | consideration |
| 28/01/2016 | Proponent sent a copy of draft | No comments received, waiver form signed | Licence amendment progressed to issue as |
| 20/01/2010 | instrument | and returned on 1/2/2016 | soon as possible. |

Page 8 of 9

IRLB_TI0669 v2.6



6 Risk Assessment

Note: This matrix is taken from the DER Corporate Policy Statement No. 07 - Operational Risk Management

| Table 1 | : | Emissions | Risk | Matrix |
|---------|---|-----------|------|--------|
|---------|---|-----------|------|--------|

| Likelihood | Consequence | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|--|
| | Insignificant | Minor | Moderate | Major | Severe | |
| Almost Certain | Moderate | High | High | Extreme | Extreme | |
| Likely | Moderate | Moderate | High | High | Extreme | |
| Possible | Low | Moderate | Moderate | High | Extreme | |
| Unlikely | Low | Moderate | Moderate | Moderate | High | |
| Rare | Low | Low | Moderate | Moderate | High | |