



Application for Licence

Part V Division 3 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*

Licence Number	L9385/2023/1
Applicant	Mario Michele Giacci
ACN	N/A
File number	DER2023/000173
Premises	Springhill Road Sand Quarry 10 Springhill Road PARKFIELD WA 6233 Legal description Part of Lot 2 on Deposited Plan 36635 As defined by the premises maps attached to the issued licence
Date of report	22 June 2023
Decision	Licence granted

A/MANAGER, RESOURCE INDUSTRIES
REGULATORY SERVICE
an officer delegated under section 20 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (WA)

Table of Contents

1. Decision summary	1
2. Scope of assessment	1
2.1 Regulatory framework	1
2.2 Application summary and overview of premises	1
2.2.1 Works approval W6548/2021/1	1
2.2.2 Application summary	1
3. Risk assessment	2
3.1 Source-pathways and receptors	2
3.1.1 Emissions and controls	2
3.1.2 Receptors	3
3.2 Risk ratings	7
4. Consultation	10
5. Conclusion	11
References	11
Appendix 1: DWER response to submission comments on the proposed licence application	12
Appendix 2: Application validation summary	17
Table 1: Proposed applicant controls	2
Table 2: Sensitive human and environmental receptors and distance from prescribed activity	3
Table 3: Risk assessment of potential emissions and discharges from the premises during operation	8
Table 4: Consultation	10
Figure 1: Distance to sensitive receptors	6

1. Decision summary

This decision report documents the assessment of potential risks to the environment and public health from emissions and discharges during the operation of the premises. As a result of this assessment, licence L9385/2023/1 has been granted.

2. Scope of assessment

2.1 Regulatory framework

In completing the assessment documented in this decision report, the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (the department; DWER) has considered and given due regard to its regulatory framework and relevant policy documents which are available at <https://dwer.wa.gov.au/regulatory-documents>.

2.2 Application summary and overview of premises

On 14 March 2023, the applicant submitted an application for a licence to the department under section 57 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act).

The application is to seek a licence relating to the operation of a crushing and screening plant to crush and screen sand material at the premises. The premises is located approximately 2.5km southeast of the Town of Binningup.

The premises relates to the category 12 and assessed production capacity under Schedule 1 of the *Environmental Protection Regulations 1987* (EP Regulations) which are defined in licence L9385/2023/1. The infrastructure and equipment relating to the premises category and any associated activities which the department has considered in line with *Guideline: Risk Assessments* (DWER 2020) are outlined in licence L9385/2023/1.

2.2.1 Works approval W6548/2021/1

The screening plant was authorised for construction under Works Approval W6548/2021/1 which was issued on 9 July 2021. The applicant submitted the Environmental Compliance Report (ECR) document required by condition 2 of the Works Approval on 23 August 2022.

The department assessed the ECR provided and determined that it did not meet compliance with all conditions. These non-compliances were reported to the department's Compliance and Enforcement team for further investigation.

2.2.2 Application summary

The applicant proposed to extract approximately 227,473m³ of available sand resource from a 10.7 ha operational area within the premises in three equal stages or approximately 3.55 ha each. Extraction will commence from the northern boundary and progress in a southerly direction. Extracted sand will be stockpiled on the premises prior to removal from site. It is anticipated that 70,000 tonnes of sand per annum will be screened at the premises, with excavation and screening activities progressing from north to south across the operational area.

The quarry has been designed to have a setback from Forrest Highway Road reserve and a setback of 40m from Springhill Road will also be maintained. A 15m exclusion zone, delineated by the use of temporary fencing will be established from the crown drip zone of any native tree within the eastern boundary of the excavation area.

Operational activities will include:

- The topsoil (nominally 15cm of the soil profile) and overburden (if present) will be striped and stockpiled using a loader.

- An excavator or front-end loader will be used to dig the sand and transport it to a stockpile.
- The sand will then be picked up by a loader and fed to the mobile screening plant.
- All static and other equipment, such as screening equipment will be located on the floor of the quarry to provide visual and acoustic screening.
- Excavation will commence in the north of the quarry and then move in a southerly direction. The face and walls of the pit will act as noise barriers.
- Upon completion of each section of quarry the section will be reformed and back filled, where subgrade material is available to achieve the proposed contours.
- At the end of the excavation, the floor of the quarry will be deep ripped, covered by overburden and topsoil, and rehabilitated to a constructed soil.

The applicant is proposing that the hours of operation are from 7am to 6pm Monday to Friday and for part day operations on Saturday. The Local Government Authority granted approval for operations to occur between 7am and 5pm Monday to Friday and 7am to 12pm Saturdays.

3. Risk assessment

The department assesses the risks of emissions from prescribed premises and identifies the potential source, pathway and impact to receptors in accordance with the *Guideline: Risk Assessments* (DWER 2020).

To establish a risk event there must be an emission, a receptor which may be exposed to that emission through an identified actual or likely pathway, and a potential adverse effect to the receptor from exposure to that emission.

3.1 Source-pathways and receptors

3.1.1 Emissions and controls

The key emissions and associated actual or likely pathway during premises operation which have been considered in this decision report are detailed in Table 1 below. Table 1 also details the control measures the applicant has proposed to assist in controlling these emissions, where necessary.

Table 1: Proposed applicant controls

Emission	Sources	Potential pathways	Proposed controls
Operation			
Dust	Screening of material, lift-off from stockpiles and material handling, vehicle movements	Air / windborne	<p>Water sprays will be utilised on the screening plant when required.</p> <p>Stockpiles, access roads and immediate extraction areas will be watered down using a water cart when required.</p> <p>Stockpile size will be limited to the anticipated cubic volume per vehicle movement for cartage on the following operational day.</p> <p>When visual dust emissions are observed water sprays and wetting down of operational areas will occur.</p>

Emission	Sources	Potential pathways	Proposed controls
Noise	Operation of screening equipment, material handling and movement of vehicles (including reversing alarms)	Air / windborne	<p>Screening activities will only occur on 6 weeks out of every annual period.</p> <p>Topsoil stripping will only occur on 3 weeks out of every annual period.</p> <p>Stockpiles will be placed to form a noise buffer between the operational area of the premises and the nearest residences.</p> <p>Operations will only occur between 7am and 6pm Monday – Friday and 7am to 12pm Saturday.</p> <p>Speed restrictions will be in place (30km/hour).</p> <p>All vehicles and plant equipment will be maintained.</p>
Sediment laden stormwater	Interaction of stormwater with operational areas of the Premises	Overland runoff	All stormwater will be directed to and retained within previously mined areas of the premises for infiltration to land.
Potentially contaminated stormwater	Spills of environmentally hazardous material	Infiltration to groundwater	<p>Hydrocarbons will not be stored on site.</p> <p>Bunds will be in place to contain potential spills when mobile refueling of plant equipment is occurring.</p> <p>Spill kits will be available across the operational area of the premises.</p>

3.1.2 Receptors

In accordance with the *Guideline: Risk Assessment* (DWER 2020), the Delegated Officer has excluded the applicant's employees, visitors, and contractors from its assessment. Protection of these parties often involves different exposure risks and prevention strategies, and is provided for under other state legislation.

Figure 1 and Table 2 below provides a summary of potential human and environmental receptors that may be impacted as a result of activities upon or emission and discharges from the prescribed premises (*Guideline: Environmental Siting* (DWER 2020)).

Table 2: Sensitive human and environmental receptors and distance from prescribed activity

Human receptors	Distance from prescribed activity
Closest residential receptor	<p>570m north-east of the Premises (resident 1)</p> <p>915m south-west of the Premises (resident 2)</p> <p>1290m north-east of the Premises (resident 3)</p> <p>1290m north-west of the Premises (resident 4)</p> <p>The Bureau of Meteorology's website data indicates a prevailing wind direction towards the west to north-west (towards resident 4) in the morning and to the east (towards Forrest</p>

	Highway) in the afternoon.
Town on Binningup	2.5km north-west of the Premises. Given the distance to this receptor, impacts from Premises emissions are not expected and therefore this receptor has been screened out.
Users of Forrest Highway	Located immediately adjacent to the eastern boundary of the Premises.
Environmental receptors	Distance from prescribed activity
Surface water bodies	Conservation category Estuary 720m from western boundary which has been considered in the risk assessment below. Closest conservation category sumpland located 460m south, south-east of Premises boundary. This receptor has been screened out as it is not hydraulically downgradient of the Premises.
Proclaimed groundwater area	Premises located within the Southwest Coastal Groundwater Area Groundwater ranges between 2.34 m AHD and 1.62 m AHD across the premises. Inferred groundwater flow direction is east to west (towards the Estuary).
Threatened ecological communities	Premises mapped within the buffer zones of Tuart (<i>Eucalyptus gomphocephala</i>) woodlands of the Swan Coastal Plain <i>For noting: the premises has already been cleared of native vegetation.</i>
Clearing Regulations – Environmentally Sensitive Areas	490m south of the premises boundary 670m west of the premises boundary 1.4km east of the premises boundary
Threatened fauna	Located within 1000m of the Premises boundary: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>Calyptorhynchus latirostris</i> – Carnaby's cockatoo• <i>Hydromys chrysogaster</i> - water rat
Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) Legislated tenure	180m southeast of the premises
Native Title – Registered Determination Applications Gnaal Karla Booja Indigenous Land Use Agreement	Within premises boundary
Native Title – Determinations (National)	Within premises boundary

South West Settlement	
Aboriginal Sites and Heritage	370m south of the premises boundary



Figure 1: Distance to sensitive receptors

3.2 Risk ratings

Risk ratings have been assessed in accordance with the *Guideline: Risk Assessments* (DWER 2020) for each identified emission source and takes into account potential source-pathway and receptor linkages as identified in Section 3.1. Where linkages are in-complete they have not been considered further in the risk assessment.

Where the applicant has proposed mitigation measures/controls (as detailed in Section 3.1), these have been considered when determining the final risk rating. Where the delegated officer considers the applicant's proposed controls to be critical to maintaining an acceptable level of risk, these will be incorporated into the licence as regulatory controls.

Additional regulatory controls may be imposed where the applicant's controls are not deemed sufficient. Where this is the case the need for additional controls will be documented and justified in Table 3.

Licence L9385/2023/1 that accompanies this decision report authorises emissions associated with the operation of the premises i.e. screening activities.

The conditions in the issued licence, as outlined in Table 3 have been determined in accordance with *Guidance Statement: Setting Conditions* (DER 2015).

Table 3: Risk assessment of potential emissions and discharges from the premises during operation

Risk events					Risk rating ¹ C = consequence L = likelihood	Applicant controls sufficient?	Conditions ² of licence	Justification for additional regulatory controls
Sources / activities	Potential emission	Potential pathways and impact	Receptors	Applicant controls				
Operation								
Screening, handling and stockpiling of material, vehicle movements.	Dust	Air / windborne pathway causing impacts to health and amenity, as well as degradation of water quality in the Estuary from particulate deposition.	Residences 470m – 1290m from the Premises Users of Forrest Highway adjacent to Premises Estuary 720m west of Premises.	Refer to Section 3.1	C = Moderate L = Possible Medium Risk	N	Condition 1: Infrastructure requirements, water truck Condition 2: preventing dust from crossing the premises boundary. Condition 3: stockpiles and raw material to be wetted down <u>Condition 4: stockpile heights</u>	The applicant's controls have been conditioned in this licence. As part of the community consultation period, the department received a submission raising concerns over dust management. In consideration of the submission received, distance to receptors and prevailing wind direction, additional conditions have been included to require no visible dust crossing the premises boundary, as well as requiring stockpile heights to be no more than 5 meters in height and be kept in a damp state during operational hours to prevent dust lift off.
	Noise	Air / windborne pathway causing impacts to health and amenity	Residences 470m – 1290m from the Premises	Refer to Section 3.1	C = Moderate L = Possible Medium Risk	N	<u>Condition 1: Infrastructure requirements – noise suppression (croakers)</u>	As the Extractive Industry Licence requires hours of operation to be limited to 7am – 5pm Monday to Friday and 7am to 12pm Saturday, these requirements have not been duplicated on this licence. The Delegated Officer has included an additional

Risk events					Risk rating ¹ C = consequence L = likelihood	Applicant controls sufficient?	Conditions ² of licence	Justification for additional regulatory controls
Sources / activities	Potential emission	Potential pathways and impact	Receptors	Applicant controls				
								condition that requires equipment to be fitted with broadband reversing devices (croakers). The applicant must also always comply with the <i>Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997</i> .
	Sediment laden stormwater	Overland runoff potentially causing ecosystem disturbance or impacting surface water quality	Groundwater underlying the Premises Estuary west of the Premises	Refer to Section 3.1	C = Minor L = Unlikely Medium Risk	N	<u>Condition 5: prevent stormwater contamination.</u> <u>Condition 6: contaminated stormwater is captured onsite.</u>	Conditions have been added to the licence to ensure that stormwater is prevented from being contaminated by the crushing and screening activities and that all contaminated water is to be retained on site.
	Potentially contaminated water	Infiltration to groundwater potentially causing impact to groundwater quality	Groundwater underlying the Premises Estuary west of the Premises	Refer to Section 3.1	C = Minor L = Unlikely Medium Risk	N	Condition 7: recovery of spills <u>Condition 8: appropriate containment and disposal of material used in spill recovery.</u>	The applicant's controls have been conditioned on the licence to ensure that any spills of hydrocarbons / oils are contained and disposed of offsite. An additional control requiring appropriate containment and disposal for any material used in spill management has been included to protect any offsite impacts to the estuary.

Note 1: Consequence ratings, likelihood ratings and risk descriptions are detailed in the *Guideline: Risk Assessments* (DWER 2020).

Note 2: Proposed applicant controls are depicted by standard text. **Bold and underline text** depicts additional regulatory controls imposed by department.

4. Consultation

Table 4 provides a summary of the consultation undertaken by the department.

Table 4: Consultation

Consultation method	Comments received	Department response
Application advertised on the department's website on 13/04/2023	None	N/A
Local Government Authority advised of proposal on 27/03/2023	<p>The Shire of Harvey provided the following comments on 4 May 2023:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development Approval – Extractive Industry was issued in March 2022. • Extractive Industry Licence was issued in May 2022. <p>With regard to the Works Approval Application report prepared by Accendo, the document dated April 2021, however the documents approved by the Shire are dated March 2022 and later. The following inconsistencies between the two documents have been identified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval was only issued for the operating hours of 7am – 5pm Monday to Friday and 7am – 12pm on Saturdays, however it is noted in the 'Works Approval Application' that they are proposing 7am – 6pm Monday to Friday as operating hours. • Rehabilitation Management Plan included dated March 2021 is not the version approved by the Shire of Harvey as part of their approvals process. The approved version is dated April 2022. <p>Please be advised that additional inconsistencies between the two reports have been noted by Officers, however may not impact the assessment of this Application for 'Category 12 – Screening', however the Shire is currently following this up with Accendo for clarification and would be happy to provide this information if required.</p>	Hours of operation have not been duplicated in the licence, noting that the applicant must comply with the hours of operation in the Extractive Industry Licence.

Consultation method	Comments received	Department response
Residential stakeholders advised of proposal on 27/03/2023	Community response provided on 4 May 2023. One submission was received by the community and the comments are summarised, along with the department's responses in Appendix 1.	Appendix 1.
Gnaala Karla Booja Aboriginal Corporation	None	N/A
Applicant was provided with draft documents on 16/05/2023	The Applicant provided the following comments on 29/05/2023: As previously requested in the attached Time Limited Operations report may the height of the stockpiles please be amended to 5 m in line with the approved height of the stockpiles in the Extractive Industries Licence issued by the Shire of Harvey for this site. As requested in the draft licence conditions, the speed limit on site is restricted to 30km/h and the inferred groundwater flow direction is east to west.	The stockpile height has been amended to 5 metres as requested to be consistent with the Extractive Industries Licence issued by the Shire of Harvey. Additional information has been included in the decision report.

5. Conclusion

Based on the assessment in this decision report, the delegated officer has determined that a licence will be granted, subject to conditions commensurate with the determined controls and necessary for administration and reporting requirements.

References

1. Department of Environment Regulation (DER) 2015, *Guidance Statement: Setting Conditions*, Perth, Western Australia.
2. Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) 2020, *Guideline: Environmental Siting*, Perth, Western Australia.
3. DWER 2020, *Guideline: Risk Assessments*, Perth, Western Australia.

Appendix 1: DWER response to submission comments on the proposed licence application

No	Summary of key aspects raised in submissions	Department's response
1.	<p>Compliance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The licence premises was found to be operating prior to obtaining a licence under Part V of the <i>Environmental Protection Act 1986</i>. The current holder of licence for these premises has failed to protect the environment and public health from emissions emanating from the premises, therefore licensing for continued Works should be refused until DWER conduct inspections for compliance with DWER Regulations. The Department administers environmental legislation to protect the Western Australian community and the environment against unacceptable impacts from emissions and discharges; contamination, over-abstraction of water resources; clearing of native vegetation, and the transport and disposal of controlled wastes Ref: Dept of Water and Environmental Regulations The Applicant has failed to acknowledge, Time Limited Operations Notice (Letter of Warning), the officers warning notice in this application for Licence Renewal. 	<p>The Applicant has a current works approval, that includes Time Limited Operations conditions that allow operations to be undertaken prior to obtaining a licence. The applicant has now submitted a licence application.</p> <p>The Delegated officer t is aware that a Letter of Warning has been issued for non-compliance with Works Approval conditions and has taken this into consideration in assessing the potential risks from the site.</p>

No	Summary of key aspects raised in submissions	Department's response
2.	<p>Dust emissions:</p> <p>There have been a number of community complaints in relation to dust emanating from the premises with public health issues and reduced visibility for motorists on the Forrest Highway adjacent to the property.</p> <p>Pollution Watch Officers identified there was a lack of water truck or use of spray for damp down to unsealed roads, and machinery. The screened material stack was over height. Therefore, the community requests that the current Time Limited Operations remain, and screened material stack height remain at 3m.</p> <p>Dust emissions pose a major health hazard to Forrest Highway motorists by obscuring visibility for drivers, cyclists walkers, the health hazard is exacerbated by the lack of 100m dense buffer of mature vegetation along the length of Lot 2's boundary that abuts Forrest Highway Reserve to assist in suppression of dust, noise and visibility for public wellbeing.</p>	<p>Complaints from the community have been investigated by the Department and a Letter of Warning was issued to the applicant on 25 January 2022 (DWER record A2079885).</p> <p>Dust emissions have been assessed in Section 3.1.1 of this report and considers impacts to residents and users of Forrest Highway.</p> <p>Conditions 1 to 3 have been included in the licence to mitigate the risk of dust from the premises.</p> <p>Condition 4 of the Licence has been included to ensure that stockpile heights are maintained at 5 metres or less. The stockpile height has been changed to 5 meters to be consistent with the applicant's extractive industry licence issued by the shire.</p> <p>The Time Limited Operations conditions in a works approval, allow the Applicant to operate until such time that they have a licence. As they have now submitted a Licence application, the emissions will be regulated under the Licence.</p>
3.	<p>Groundwater:</p> <p>The Lot 2 site lies with in a Proclaimed Groundwater Use Area (DWER).</p>	<p>Potential emissions to groundwater have been assessed in Section 3.1.1 of this report and conditions 5 to 8 have been included to manage emissions in a way that reduces the risk to groundwater and surface water bodies.</p>
4.	<p>Buffer:</p> <p>In 2015 Shire of Harvey approved reduction in buffer width on Lot 2 which adjoins Forrest Highway Road reserve. Reference Accendo Consultants Reports, Supporting this Application.</p>	<p>The Department notes this information.</p>
5.	<p>Shire issues:</p>	<p>The Department notes this information.</p>

No	Summary of key aspects raised in submissions	Department's response
	<p>The landowner, in 2015, applied to Shire of change of land use from horticulture to extractive industry and a sand extraction licence was approved.</p>	
6.	<p>Clearing:</p> <p>Note: 2014 The landowner lodge Application for clearing 1.21 ha of mature Jarrah / Agonis Flexuosa woodland in 2014 for Horticulture Development, application was Approved by Shire of Harvey. The 1.21ha of native vegetation clearing approved, but it appears, no offset planting?</p> <p>We request adherence to recommend buffer (GBRS) of at least 100m of native endemic trees, be immediately planted the length of Lot 2 boundary which adjoins Forrest Highway Road Reserve, (see Accendo Supporting Report, Shire of Harvey Approved reduced protective buffer in 2015). This will enhance and improve habitat values for remnant Tuart / Jarrah vegetation of Forrest Highway Road Reserve. Also secure additional habitat connectivity for threatened species, Carnaby's Cockatoo and Western Ringtail Possum previously occupying Lot 2, Prior to land clearing in 2014 (attached Clearing Permit & Decision, 2014).</p>	<p>The Delegated Officer notes that there is no additional clearing of native vegetation proposed as part of the licence application. The Clearing Permit was issued in 2014 and was found not likely to be at variance to the clearing principles.</p>
7	<p>Setback:</p> <p>The quarry has been designed to provide a setback of at least 40 m to 80m to the Forrest Highway road reserve. A reduction of the 100m setback from Forrest Highway road reserve was approved in accordance with clause 8.1 (h) of the Shire of Harvey District Planning Scheme No. 1 on 27th October 2015. A setback of 40 m from Springhill Road will also be maintained.</p>	<p>The applicant is required to comply with all conditions of the relevant Extractive Industry Licence and Development and/or Planning Approvals granted by the Local Government Authority.</p> <p>Additionally, the department does not regulate impacts from extraction activities and these activities, and the risks posed by them to tree root systems, are regulated through Local government approvals processes.</p> <p>This licence has included controls to manage impacts to trees via stormwater and spill management.</p>

No	Summary of key aspects raised in submissions	Department's response
	<p>A 15 m exclusion zone, delineated by the use of temporary fencing, will be established from the crown drip zone of any native tree within the eastern boundary of the excavation area.</p> <p>Our concern: This decision in 2015, is no longer supported nor sustainable and should immediately be reviewed, an assessment must be conducted to contemporary environmental standards, in the interest of improving local biodiversity values on a landscape scale. We request DWER conduct an inspection of the site, as to adherence and adequacy of 15m crown drip line protection to ensure, tree root systems are intact and remnant vegetation health.</p>	<p>The general provisions of the EP Act also apply, and members of the public are encouraged to contact the department where pollution or environmental harm may be occurring. The Department conducts regular inspections of licensed premises as well as providing a response in a timely manner to any complaints.</p>
8	<p>Depth of quarry:</p> <p>The applicant requests Lot 2 quarry excavation to 4m depth, we recommend 4m depth be rejected in the interest of groundwater protection and mature tree health.</p>	<p>The Licence assessment is in relation to the screening of material only. The depth of the quarry is regulated by the Local Government Authority in the Extractive Industry Licence and the department does not have authority to change requirements of these approvals.</p>
9	<p>We have detailed our concerns, therefore recommend this Application for Works Approval Licence, should be Rejected. Justification for Decision:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Given the cumulative impacts of: native vegetation clearing, extractive operations decline on groundwater quantity and quality, drawdown on critical resources for water dependent native vegetation and threatened species survival, all potential impacts associated extractive operations. • How can the cumulative impacts of these operation co-exist in a drying climate and work toward achieving our Federal Government's Plan for Nature, the 30/30% 	<p>The Delegated Officer notes that there is no additional clearing of native vegetation proposed as part of the licence application. The Clearing Permit was issued in 2014 and was found not likely to be at variance to the clearing principles.</p>

No	Summary of key aspects raised in submissions	Department's response
	objective to achieve 30% protection for terrestrial species reversal in decline to biodiversity values and threatened species by 2030?	

Appendix 2: Application validation summary

SECTION 1: APPLICATION SUMMARY					
Application type					
Licence	☒	Relevant works approval number:	W6548/2021/1	None	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Has the works approval been complied with?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> **Submission of report was late, but works were complied with.		
		Has time limited operations under the works approval demonstrated acceptable operations?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
		Environmental Compliance Report / Critical Containment Infrastructure Report submitted?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>		
		Date Report received: 05/08/2022			
Date application received		08/03/2023			
Applicant and Premises details					
Applicant name/s (full legal name/s)		Mario Michele Giacci			
Premises name		Springhill Road Sand Quarry			
Premises location		Part of Lot 2 on Deposited Plan 36635			
Local Government Authority		Shire of Harvey			
Application documents					
HPCM file reference number:		DER2013/000173 / DWERDT747418			
Key application documents (additional to application form):		Time limited operations report signed Environmental Compliance Report W6548/2021 Extraction agreement Works Approval application			
Scope of application/assessment					
Summary of proposed activities or changes to existing operations.		Operation of Screening etc. of material.			
Category number/s (activities that cause the premises to become prescribed premises)					
Table 1: Prescribed premises categories					
Prescribed premises category and description		Proposed production or design capacity		Proposed changes to the production or design capacity (amendments only)	
Category 12: Screening etc. of material		Proposed – 70,000 tpa		N/A	
Legislative context and other approvals					
Has the applicant referred, or do they intend to refer, their proposal to the EPA under Part IV of the EP Act as a significant proposal?		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		N/A	

Does the applicant hold any existing Part IV Ministerial Statements relevant to the application?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Ministerial statement No: EPA Report No:
Has the proposal been referred and/or assessed under the EPBC Act?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reference No:
Has the applicant demonstrated occupancy (proof of occupier status)?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Certificate of title <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Coast Pastoral Company Pty Ltd General lease <input type="checkbox"/> Expiry: Mining lease / tenement <input type="checkbox"/> Expiry: Other evidence <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expiry: Extraction agreement between Coast Pastoral Company Pty Ltd and Mario Giacci.
Has the applicant obtained all relevant planning approvals?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>	Approval: EIL Expiry date:02/06/2026
Has the applicant applied for, or have an existing EP Act clearing permit in relation to this proposal?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CPS No: N/A No clearing is proposed.
Has the applicant applied for, or have an existing CAWS Act clearing licence in relation to this proposal?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A
Has the applicant applied for, or have an existing RIWI Act licence or permit in relation to this proposal?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A
Does the proposal involve a discharge of waste into a designated area (as defined in section 57 of the EP Act)?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A
Is the Premises situated in a Public Drinking Water Source Area (PDWSA)?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A
Is the Premises subject to any other Acts or subsidiary regulations?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997</i>
Is the Premises within an Environmental Protection Policy (EPP) Area?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Is the Premises subject to any EPP requirements?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Is the Premises a known or suspected contaminated site under the <i>Contaminated Sites Act 2003</i> ?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Classification: N/A Date of classification: N/A