

Decision Report

Application for Works Approval

Part V Division 3 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986

Works Approval Number W6480/2020/1

| Applicant ACN | Edna May Operations Pty Ltd 136 385 883 |
|-------------------|---|
| File Number | DER2020/000584 |
| Premises | Edna May Treated Wastewater Disposal Area 772 Carrabin-Westonia Rd |
| | Lot 1523 on Deposited Plan 187255 Certificate of Title Volume 1987 Folio 491 As defined by the Premises maps attached to the issued works approval |
| Date of Report | 10 May 2021 |
| Proposed Decision | Works approval granted |

Melissa Chamberlain SENIOR ENVIRONMENTAL OFFICER REGULATORY SERVICES

an officer delegated under section 20 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 (WA)

Table of Contents

| 1. | Decis | ion summary | 1 |
|------|---------|--|---|
| 2. | Scope | e of assessment | 1 |
| | 2.1 | Regulatory framework | 1 |
| | 2.2 | Application summary and overview of Premises | 1 |
| 3. | Risk a | assessment | 2 |
| | 3.1 | Source-pathways and receptors | 3 |
| | | 3.1.1 Emissions and controls | 3 |
| | | 3.1.2 Monitoring of treated effluent | 4 |
| | | 3.1.3 Receptors | 4 |
| | 3.2 | Risk ratings | 6 |
| 4. | Consi | ultation | 9 |
| 5. | Concl | lusion | 9 |
| Refe | rences | s1 | 0 |
| Арр | endix 2 | 2: Application validation summary1 | 1 |

| Table 1: Nutrient application rates at the proposed irrigation area | 2 |
|--|---|
| Table 2: Application rates at proposed irrigation area | 2 |
| Table 3: Proposed applicant controls | 3 |
| Table 4: WWTP Treated effluent specifications | 4 |
| Table 5: Sensitive human and environmental receptors and distance from prescribed activity. | 5 |
| Table 6: Risk assessment of potential emissions and discharges from the Premises during construction and operation | 7 |
| Table 7: Consultation | 9 |

.

1. Decision summary

This Decision Report documents the assessment of potential risks to the environment and public health from emissions and discharges during the construction of the Premises. As a result of this assessment, Works Approval W6480/2020/1 has been granted.

2. Scope of assessment

2.1 Regulatory framework

In completing the assessment documented in this Decision Report, the department has considered and given due regard to its Regulatory Framework and relevant policy documents which are available at https://dwer.wa.gov.au/regulatory-documents.

2.2 Application summary and overview of Premises

The Edna May Village WWTP (L8811/2014/1) is owned and operated by Edna May Operations Pty Ltd. In 2019 the licence was amended to approve works in relation to the upgrade of the wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) from a submerged aerated filter (SAF) overflow system to a sequence batch reactor (SBR) overflow system. The upgrade of the wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) was to improve the treated effluent water quality that could have a beneficial end use and to meet requirements for discharges to land. This upgrade was completed in December 2019. The DoH approved the Recycled Water Scheme on 7th September 2020.

Under the DoH approval Edna May must have a secondary discharge area as a contingency. This secondary discharge area will only be used infrequently as a contingency if the recycled water is required to be redirected from the town oval for any reason.

On 16 November 2020, the applicant applied for a works approval to the department under section 54 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act) for a 1.25 ha secondary discharge area to receive a maximum of 20 – 30 kL/day of treated effluent during infrequent, short-term periods of emergency or non-standard operation of the Edna May Village WWTP licence L8811. During normal operations, effluent will be reused on the town oval in accordance with L8811

The Premises is owned by Edna May Operation Pty Ltd and is situated at the corner of the Carrabin-Westonia Road and Wolfram Street. The north eastern corner of the property is approximately 900m from the wastewater treatment plant which is approximately 1km from thew township of Westonia.

The application is to undertake construction works for the installation of a pipeline connecting the Edna May village wastewater treatment plant irrigation tank to the reticulated sprinkler system located within Lot 1523 on Deposited Plan 187255, Carrabin-Westonia Rd, WESTONIA (*Premises*).

The application details that the eastern part of the property will be used for growing of sandalwood (*Santalum spicatum*). The area has been seeded with sandalwood seed for reseeding on mining tenements leased by Edna May Operations Pty Ltd.

The treated wastewater will be transferred through underground pipes and then will be discharged via irrigation sprinklers designed to prevent water from pooling.

The applicant has obtained approval from Shire of Westonia to utilise the road reserve along Wolfram Street from the WWTP to Edna discharge farm (Lot 1523) for the installation of a water pipeline.

The Premises relates to the category 85 and assessed production capacity under Schedule 1 of the *Environmental Protection Regulations 1987* (EP Regulations) which are defined in Works Approval W6480/2020/1. The infrastructure and equipment relating to the premises category

and any associated activities which the department has considered in line with *Guidance Statement: Risk Assessments* (DER 2017) are outlined in Works Approval W6480/2020/1.

Following construction, an application for a registration for the operation of the irrigation area under Part V of the EP Act is expected. As registrations do not include specific conditions, DWER is not able to include controls relating to the operational phase of this proposal at the discharge site. However, prior to commission of the secondary discharge area, an application for amendment of licence L8811 is expected to authorisation this change in operation of the WWTP and enable appropriate monitoring and regulatory control of discharge provided for reuse.

Approval under Part V of the EP Act does not negate the need for the Applicant to have all relevant approvals in place prior to construction works commencing at the Premises.

The Edna May Village WWTP is designed to treat effluent to a pre-determined quality prior to use in irrigation. Table 4 details the maximum concentrations of key wastewater contaminant indicators the WWTP is designed to achieve:

The proposed irrigation area is 1.25 ha of the eastern part of the property for growing sandalwood (*Santalum spicatum*) and consists of yellow deep sands and sandy gravel soil. The proposed nutrient application rates are detailed in Table 1 below.

| Category | Nitrogen | Phosphorus |
|--|----------------|---------------|
| Expected effluent concentration (after treatment) | <10 mg/L | <3 mg/L |
| Expected discharge | 41 kg/ha/year | 12 kg/ha/year |
| Recommended nutrient loading rates under Water Quality Protection Note 22 for sandy and gravel soil* | 180 kg/ha/year | 20 kg/ha/year |

* Based on "Water Quality Protection Note 22" - No surface water within 500 metres

Table 2: Application rates at proposed irrigation area

| Application rates | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Max effluent volume | 25,000 – 30,000 L/d |
| Irrigation area | 1.25 ha |
| Pumping capacity | 10-12m ³ / L/s |
| Duration of irrigation all stations | 2.5 - 3 hrs |

3. Risk assessment

The department assesses the risks of emissions from prescribed premises and identifies the potential source, pathway and impact to receptors in accordance with the *Guidance Statement: Risk Assessments* (DER 2017).

To establish a Risk Event there must be an emission, a receptor which may be exposed to that emission through an identified actual or likely pathway, and a potential adverse effect to the receptor from exposure to that emission.

3.1 Source-pathways and receptors

3.1.1 Emissions and controls

The key emissions and associated actual or likely pathway during premises construction and operation which have been considered in this Decision Report are detailed in Table 3 below. Table 3 also details the proposed control measures the applicant has proposed to assist in controlling these emissions, where necessary.

| Emission | Sources | Potential pathways | Proposed controls |
|---|---|--|--|
| Construction | | | |
| Dust | Placement of WWTP tanks | Air/windborne pathway | Land disturbance will be kept to the minimum necessary for construction works. |
| | Minor earthworks Vehicle movements | | During high winds, topsoil stripping activities will be restricted if dust cannot be adequately controlled. |
| | | | Construction areas will be maintained in a damp state. |
| Noise | | Air/windborne pathway | All vehicles and plant equipment will be regularly maintained and serviced to ensure they are operating efficiently. |
| | | | Construction works will take place during daylight hours to avoid any disturbance. |
| Operation | | | |
| Odour Discharge of waste water via sprinkler pathway irrigation to land | | The irrigation area will be maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications. The sprinklers will be checked daily to ensure that the water is distributing evenly. | |
| | | | Effluent discharge will be managed to allow effluent to infiltrate or evaporate and prevent surface ponding or runoff. |
| Leakages | Rupture of pipes resulting in sewage discharge to land- key contaminants include Nitrogen and | Direct discharge | Under the agreement should treated wastewater not meet required water quality standards, transportation of treated wastewater to the oval and the secondary discharge area will cease immediately; |
| | Phosphorous | | All pipelines will be buried; |
| | | | Buried pipes will be sign posted; |
| | | | Pipelines will include daily visual inspections when the discharge is occurring; |
| | | | Monitor treated water quality at outlet pipe from WWTP. |

Table 3: Proposed applicant controls

| Emission | Sources | Potential pathways | Proposed controls |
|---------------------|---|---------------------|---|
| Treated effluent | Effluent from the WWTP discharged at the irrigation spray field area | Direct discharge | Should treated wastewater not meet required water quality standards, irrigation to the oval and secondary discharge area will cease immediately. |
| | | | <i>Monitor treated effluent water quality at outlet pipe from WWTP.</i> |
| | | | This secondary discharge area will only be used if the recycled water is required to be redirected from the town oval for any reason. |
| | | | The treatment of effluent for the re-use for irrigation is regulated by the Department of Health under the Health Act 1911 via a Recycled Water Scheme Approval (Approval Number: E28/WS000). |

3.1.2 Monitoring of treated effluent

The Applicant has advised that monitoring of the will ensure the treated effluent will meet the specifications as outlined in Table 4 below.

Table 4: WWTP Treated effluent specifications

| Analyte | Units | Value |
|---------------------------------|------------|------------------------|
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) | | <10 ¹ |
| Total Suspended Solids | | <30 ¹ |
| Total Nitrogen | mg/L | <10 ² |
| Total Phosphorus | | <3 ² |
| Chlorine residual | | 0.2 – 2 ¹ |
| рН | - | 6.5 – 8.5 ¹ |
| E. Coli | cfu/100 mL | < 10 ¹ |

Note 1: Guidelines for Non-potable uses of recycled Water in Western Australia 2011, Department of Health Note 2: WQPN 22

3.1.3 Receptors

In accordance with the *Guidance Statement: Risk Assessment* (DER 2017), the Delegated Officer has excluded employees, visitors and contractors of the applicant's from its assessment. Protection of these parties often involves different exposure risks and prevention strategies, and is provided for under other state legislation.

Table 55 below provides a summary of potential human and environmental receptors that may be impacted as a result of activities upon or emission and discharges from the prescribed premises (*Guidance Statement: Environmental Siting* (DER 2016)).

 Table 5: Sensitive human and environmental receptors and distance from prescribed activity

| Human receptors | Distance from prescribed activity | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Privately owned broadacre agricultural farmland | To the south, approximately 50m from the boundary of this land | | | |
| The Westonia Caravan park | Approximately 1km from Edna discharge farm | | | |
| Edna May Accommodation Village WWTP | Approximately 1km from Edna discharge farm | | | |
| Westonia oval | Approximately 1.3 km from the discharge | | | |
| Environmental receptors | Distance from prescribed activity | | | |
| Lake Campion | Approximately 25 km from the discharge farm | | | |
| Lake Mount Brown | Approximately 50 km from the discharge farm | | | |
| Groundwater | Depth to groundwater encountered at approximately 28m to 40m below ground level | | | |

3.2 Risk ratings

Risk ratings have been assessed in accordance with the *Guidance Statement: Risk Assessments* (DER 2017) for each identified emission source and takes into account potential source-pathway and receptor linkages as identified in Section 3.1. Where linkages are in-complete they have not been considered further in the risk assessment.

For emissions linked to public health risk, risk ratings have been determined based on the assumption that approval from the Department of Health has been granted prior to the construction of the WWTP.

Works Approval W6480/2020/1 that accompanies this Decision Report authorises construction only. The conditions in the issued Works Approval, as outlined in Table 66 have been determined in accordance with *Guidance Statement: Setting Conditions* (DER 2015).

A registration is required to authorise emissions associated with the ongoing operation of the Premises. A risk assessment for the operational phase has been included in this Decision Report. The registration will not be finalised until the department assesses the registration application.

Works Approval: W6480/2020/1

| Risk Event | | | | Risk rating ¹ | | Justification for | | |
|--|---|---|--|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Source/Activities | Potential emission | Potential pathways and impact | Receptors | Applicant controls | C = consequence L = likelihood | Applicant controls sufficient? | Conditions ² of works approval | additional regulatory controls |
| Construction | Construction | | | | | | | |
| Excavation works for pipeline construction | Dust | Air/windborne | Privately owned farmland adjacent to the discharge farm. Westonia Caravan Park and Edna May accommodation village in proximity. | Refer to Section 3.1 | C = Slight L = Unlikely Low Risk | Ŷ | None required - The Delegated Officer considers impacts from dust emissions are unlikely but can be regulated under s49 of the EP Act. No further risk assessment is required. | N/A |
| | Noise | pathway causing impacts to health and amenity | | Refer to Section 3.1 | C = Slight L = Unlikely Low Risk | Y | None required - The Delegated Officer considers that if any noise impacts arise, management under the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997 will be adequate. No further risk assessment is required. | N/A |
| Operation (Operational Prem | ises subject to Regis | stration which is not | subject to specifi | c conditions) | | | | |
| Irrigation - Transportation and discharge of treated effluent wastewater | Odour | Air/windborne pathway causing impacts to health and amenity | Privately owned farmland adjacent to the discharge farm. Westonia Caravan Park and Edna May accommodation village in proximity. | Refer to Section 3.1 | C = Slight L = Unlikely Low Risk | Y | None required - The Delegated Officer considers odour impacts are unlikely and that any emissions can be regulated under s49 of the EP Act. No further risk assessment is required. | N/A |
| | Treated effluent discharge outside of irrigation area from over irrigation, rupture | Overland flow potentially impacting health of adjacent vegetation via | Soil and vegetation adjacent to discharge area | Refer to Section 3.1 | C = Slight L = Unlikely Low Risk | Y | Condition 1 specifies the location and infrastructure requirements for the establishment of the secondary discharge area | The Delegated Officer considers that given the expected infrequent usage of the |

Table 6: Risk assessment of potential emissions and discharges from the Premises during construction and operation

Works Approval: W6480/2020/1

| Risk Event | | | | Risk rating ¹ Applicant | | Justification for | | |
|-------------------|--|--|--|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Source/Activities | Potential emission | Potential pathways and impact | Receptors | Applicant controls | C = consequence L = likelihood | Applicant controls sufficient? | Conditions ² of works approval | additional regulatory controls |
| | of pipes resulting in treated effluent discharge to land- key contaminants include Nitrogen and Phosphorous | waterlogging of soils and excess nutrients | | | | | including a <u>requirement in</u> <u>the construction stage to</u> <u>employ contouring or</u> <u>earthen bunding to</u> <u>ensure that runoff from</u> <u>the irrigation area does</u> <u>not occur</u> | disposal area and low nutrient loading rates, the proposal represents a low risk to the environment. Addition control is added to ensure that runoff does not impact nearby nutrient-sensitive native vegetation. |
| | Treated effluent discharge containing human pathogens outside of irrigation area from over irrigation, rupture of pipes resulting | Overland flow to adjacent broad acre farm potentially contacting workers and causing health impacts | Workers and other human receptors, | Refer to Section 3.1 | C = Slight L = Unlikely Low Risk | Y | Condition 1 includes a requirement in the construction stage to employ contouring or earthen bunding to ensure that runoff from the irrigation area does not occur Although DWER is not able to place operational controls on a Registered premises, the Department of Health regulates public health impacts from the wastewater scheme. The Delegated Officer has reviewed the Department of Health approval for the reuse scheme and considers it appropriate for the regulation of public health impacts. | The Delegated Officer considers that the appropriate design and contouring of the irrigation area to reduce the likelihood of runoff will mitigate risks of exposure to possible human receptors. |

Note 1: Consequence ratings, likelihood ratings and risk descriptions are detailed in the Guidance Statement: Risk Assessments (DER 2017).

Note 2: Proposed applicant controls are depicted by standard text. Bold and underline text depicts additional regulatory controls imposed by department.

4. Consultation

Table 7 provides a summary of the consultation undertaken by the department.

Table 7: Consultation

| Consultation method | Comments received | Department response | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Application advertised on the department's website on 07/01/2021 | None received | N/A | |
| Local Government Authority referred proposal on 19/01/2021 | None received | N/A | |
| Department of Health referred proposal on 19/01/2021 | A response was received on 21 January 2021 advising that DoH has no objection to the Edna May Operations Pty Ltd application for works approval subject an updated application to construct or install an Apparatus for the Treatment of Sewage and Recycled Water Quality Management Plan, addressing amended location of the spray irrigation area being submitted for the Department's consideration. | Noted The Delegated Officer is aware that Approval under Part V of the EP Act does not negate the need for the applicant to have all relevant approvals in place prior to commencing the proposed installation works. | |
| Applicant was provided with draft documents on 1 April 2021 | No further comments on the draft Works Approval and asked to waive the remaining consultation period | Works Approval will be granted | |

5. Conclusion

Based on the assessment in this Decision Report, the Delegated Officer has determined that a works approval will be granted, subject to conditions commensurate with the determined controls and necessary for administration and reporting requirements.

References

- 1. Department of Environment Regulation (DER) 2016, *Guidance Statement: Environmental Siting*, Perth, Western Australia.
- 2. DER 2017, Guidance Statement: Risk Assessments, Perth, Western Australia.
- 3. DER 2015, Guidance Statement: Setting Conditions, Perth, Western Australia.
- 4. DWER, 2019, Industry Regulation Guide to Licensing, Perth, Western Australia

Appendix 2: Application validation summary

| SECTION 1: APPLICATION SUMMARY | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|------------|---------------------|-------------|--|
| Application type | | | | | | |
| Works approval | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| | | Relevant works approval number: | ТВА | None | | |
| | | Has the works ap | Yes □ No □ | | | |
| Licence | | Has time limited operations under the works approval demonstrated acceptable operations? | | Yes □ No □ N/A □ | | |
| | | Environmental Compliance Report / Critical Containment Infrastructure Report submitted? | | Yes □ No □ | | |
| | | Date Report received: | | | | |
| Renewal | | Current licence number: | | | | |
| Amendment to works approval | | Current works approval number: | | | | |
| Amendment to licence | | Current licence number: | | | | |
| | | Relevant works approval number: | | N/A | | |
| Registration | | Current works approval number: | | None | \boxtimes | |
| Date application received | | 16/11/2020 | | | | |
| Applicant and Premise | Applicant and Premises details | | | | | |
| Applicant name/s (full legal name/s) | | Edna May Operations Pty Ltd | | | | |
| ACN | | 136385883 | | | | |
| Premises name | | Edna May Village Wastewater Treatment Plant | | | | |
| Premises location | | Lot 772 WESTONIA WA 6423 | | | | |
| Local Government Authority | | Shire of Westonia | | | | |
| Application documents | | | | | | |
| HPCM file reference number: | | DER2020/000584 | | | | |
| Key application documents (additional to application form): | | Supporting document Attachment 1A: Lease arrangement document for the WWTP | | | | |

| | | | | achment 1B: ASIC extract | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | chment 1C: Cert | | - | | |
| | | | | | Infrastructure Map | | |
| | | Attachment 3: Edna's Farm Discharge Area Proposal | | | | | |
| | | | Attachment 3: DoH approval Attachment 4: Shire approval | | | | |
| Scope of application/as | sessment | | | appiovai | | | |
| Summary of proposal dis | | The Sept a se discl | The DoH approved the Recycled Water Scheme on the 7th September 2020. Under the DoH approval Edna May must have a secondary discharge area as a contingency. This secondary discharge area will only be used if the recycled water is required o be redirected from the town oval for any reason. | | | | |
| Category number/s (act Table 1: Prescribed pre | | | - | es to bec | ome prescribed premises) | | |
| Prescribed premises category and | Production or design capacity | | Proposed changes to the production or design capacity (amendments only) | | | | |
| description (Asses licence | | ed – existing | | | | | |
| Category 85 | | sting licence – not more than cubic metres per day | | | NA | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| agialative context and | other enn | | | | | | |
| | | | ls | | | | |
| Has the applicant referre | ed, or do th | ney | ls | | Referral decision No: N/A | | |
| Has the applicant referre | ed, or do th posal to the | ney Ə | Is Yes □ No ⊠ | | Referral decision No: N/A Managed under Part V □ | | |
| Has the applicant referre | ed, or do th posal to the | ney Ə | | | | | |
| Has the applicant referred intend to refer, their prop EPA under Part IV of the significant proposal? Does the applicant hold | ed, or do the posal to the e EP Act as any existir | ney e s a | Yes 🗆 No 🖂 | | Managed under Part V \Box | | |
| Has the applicant referrent intend to refer, their property of the significant proposal? | ed, or do the posal to the e EP Act as any existin ments | ney e s a | | | Managed under Part V □ Assessed under Part IV □ | | |
| Has the applicant referrent intend to refer, their prop EPA under Part IV of the significant proposal? Does the applicant hold Part IV Ministerial State | ed, or do the posal to the e EP Act as any existin ments on? referred | ney e s a | Yes 🗆 No 🖂 | | Managed under Part V Assessed under Part IV Ministerial statement No: N/A | | |
| Has the applicant referrent intend to refer, their prop EPA under Part IV of the significant proposal? Does the applicant hold Part IV Ministerial State relevant to the application Has the proposal been in and/or assessed under | ed, or do the posal to the e EP Act as any existin ments on? referred | ney e s a | Yes □ No ⊠ Yes □ No ⊠ | | Managed under Part V Assessed under Part IV Ministerial statement No: N/A EPA Report No: N/A | | |
| intend to refer, their prop EPA under Part IV of the significant proposal? Does the applicant hold Part IV Ministerial State relevant to the application Has the proposal been r and/or assessed under | ed, or do the posal to the e EP Act as any existin ments on? referred the EPBC | ney e s a ng | Yes □ No ⊠ Yes □ No ⊠ | | Managed under Part V Assessed under Part IV Ministerial statement No: N/A EPA Report No: N/A Reference No: N/A | | |

| | | 1 |
|---|------------|--|
| Has the applicant applied for, or have an existing EP Act clearing permit in relation to this proposal? | Yes 🗆 No 🛛 | Valid permit applies - |
| Has the applicant applied for, or have an existing CAWS Act clearing licence in relation to this proposal? | Yes 🗆 No 🖂 | Application reference No: N/A Licence/permit No: N/A |
| Has the applicant applied for, or have an existing RIWI Act licence or permit in relation to this proposal? | Yes 🗆 No 🖂 | |
| Does the proposal involve a discharge of waste into a designated area (as defined in section 57 of the EP Act)? | Yes 🗆 No 🛛 | Name: Type: Has Regulatory Services (Water) been consulted? Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠ Regional office: |
| Is the Premises situated in a Public Drinking Water Source Area (PDWSA)? | Yes □ No ⊠ | Name: N/A Priority: N/A Are the proposed activities/ landuse compatible with the PDWSA (refer to <u>WQPN 25</u>)? Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠ |
| Is the Premises subject to any other Acts or subsidiary regulations (e.g. Dangerous Goods Safety Act 2004, Environmental Protection (Controlled Waste) Regulations 2004, State Agreement Act xxxx) | Yes ⊠ No ⊠ | Environmental Protection (Unauthorised Discharges Regulations 2004 |
| Is the Premises within an Environmental Protection Policy (EPP) Area? | Yes □ No ⊠ | N/A |
| Is the Premises subject to any EPP requirements? | Yes □ No ⊠ | N/A |
| Is the Premises a known or suspected contaminated site under the <i>Contaminated Sites Act 2003</i> ? | Yes □ No ⊠ | Classification: N/A – not listed in DWERS Geocortex viewer Date of classification: N/A |