

FFICIAL

# **Application for Works Approval**

#### Part V Division 3 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986

| Works Approval Number | W6916/2024/1   |
|-----------------------|--|
| Applicant<br>ACN      | Bossong Engineering Pty Ltd<br>009 337 508   |
| File number           | DER2024/000113   |
| Premises              | 618 Exploration Road<br>GAP RIDGE WA 6714  |
|                       | Legal description -<br>Lot 618 on Deposited Plan 424915<br>Exploration Road, Gap Ridge |
| Date of report        | 5 June 2024  |
| Decision              | Works approval granted   |

# **Table of Contents**

| 1.   | Decision summary1    |  |   |  |  |  |
|------|----------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| 2.   | Scope of assessment1 |  |   |  |  |  |
|      | 2.1                  | Regulatory framework                         | 1 |  |  |  |
|      | 2.2                  | Application summary and overview of premises | 1 |  |  |  |
| 3.   | Risk                 | assessment                                   | 1 |  |  |  |
|      | 3.1                  | Source-pathways and receptors                | 2 |  |  |  |
|      |                      | 3.1.1 Emissions and controls                 | 2 |  |  |  |
|      |                      | 3.1.2 Receptors                              | 3 |  |  |  |
|      | 3.2                  | Risk ratings                                 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 4.   | Cons                 | ultation                                     | 9 |  |  |  |
| 5.   | Conc                 | lusion                                       | 9 |  |  |  |
| Refe | erence               | S  | 9 |  |  |  |
|      |                      |  |   |  |  |  |

| Table 1: Proposed applicant controls   | .2 |
|--|----|
| Table 2: Sensitive human and environmental receptors and distance from prescribed activity.          | .3 |
| Table 3: Risk assessment of potential emissions and discharges from the premises during construction | .7 |
| Table 4: Consultation  |    |
|  |    |

| Figure 1: Distance to nearest human | receptors |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|
|-------------------------------------|-----------|

# 1. Decision summary

This decision report documents the assessment of potential risks to the environment and public health from emissions and discharges during the construction of metal finishing facility at 618 Exploration Road, Gap Ridge (the 'premises'). As a result of this assessment, works approval W6916/2024/1 has been granted.

# 2. Scope of assessment

#### 2.1 Regulatory framework

In completing the assessment documented in this decision report, the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (the department; DWER) has considered and given due regard to its regulatory framework and relevant policy documents which are available at <u>https://dwer.wa.gov.au/regulatory-documents</u>.

### 2.2 Application summary and overview of premises

On 13 March 2024, the Bossong engineering Pty Ltd (the applicant) submitted an application for a works approval to the department under section 54 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act).

The application is to undertake construction works relating to Category 88: metal finishing at the premises, which is located about 8.3 km southwest of Karratha.

The premises relates to the category and assessed production / design capacity under Schedule 1 of the *Environmental Protection Regulations 1987* (EP Regulations) which are defined in works approval W6916/2024/1. The infrastructure and equipment relating to the premises category and any associated activities which the department has considered in line with *Guideline: Risk Assessments* (DWER 2020) are outlined in works approval W6916/2024/1.

Bossong Engineering intend to develop the site to provide specialised machining processes to the oil and gas industry operating out of the Karratha and Dampier area. Three primary covered factory workshops  $(1,494 \text{ m}^2)$  and two secondary Dome Shelters  $(432 \text{ m}^2)$  will be constructed on the site (Bossong Engineering, 2024).

A key activity of the proposed operations is metal coating treatment with manganese phosphate, commonly called phosphate conversion coating, or phosphating. Phosphating is a common treatment practice whereby a chemical coating is applied to steel parts that creates a thin adhering layer of manganese phosphates, to achieve corrosion resistance, lubrication, or as a foundation for subsequent coatings or painting, and is one of the most common types of conversion coating. Machining operations will take place in Workshop 1 and support processes (i.e., metal treatment with manganese phosphate coating) and drill rod storage will be undertaken in the yard.

The proposed operation intends to manganese phosphate treat both newly manufactured tools (at a maximum rate of 500 per year) and re-conditioned tools (at a maximum rate of 5,000 per year) for the Oil & Gas and mining industries.

## 3. Risk assessment

The department assesses the risks of emissions from prescribed premises and identifies the potential source, pathway and impact to receptors in accordance with the *Guideline: Risk Assessments* (DWER 2020).

To establish a risk event there must be an emission, a receptor which may be exposed to that emission through an identified actual or likely pathway, and a potential adverse effect to the receptor from exposure to that emission.

## 3.1 Source-pathways and receptors

### 3.1.1 Emissions and controls

The key emissions and associated actual or likely pathway during premises construction which have been considered in this decision report are detailed in Table 1 below. Table 1 also details the control measures the applicant has proposed to assist in controlling these emissions, where necessary.

| Table 1: | Proposed | applicant | controls |
|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
|----------|----------|-----------|----------|

| Emission  | Sources  | Potential pathways                              | Proposed controls  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Construction                                    |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dust  | Construction of new<br>buildings (factory<br>workshops and<br>dome shelters)<br>Installation of<br>Manganese<br>Phosphate tank and | Air /<br>windborne<br>pathway                   | The speed limit on the construction site will be 8<br>km/h for safety and to minimise dust produced<br>by vehicle movements.<br>Construction time will be between 7am and 6pm<br>during the day.<br>Water Carts and/or sprinklers will be employed |  |  |  |  |
|   | machines   |   | to control dust in the event it is produced during the construction process.   |  |  |  |  |
| Noise   | Movements of<br>trailers and trucks  |   | Construction time restricted to between 7am and 6pm during the day.  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation                                       |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Air emissions                                   | Chemical reactions<br>occurring in the<br>phosphating tank<br>(between<br>phosphoric acid<br>(H2PO4) and                           | Air /   | Tanks will be turned off when not in use.  |  |  |  |  |
| (water<br>vapour,<br>hydrogen gas<br>and odour) |  | windborne<br>pathway                            | Polymer balls will be added to the tank and float<br>on top of the solution. The balls insulate the<br>surface of the tank and significantly reduce<br>vapour discharge.   |  |  |  |  |
| (H2PO4) and<br>manganese ions<br>(Mn2+))        |  |   | A Silicon rubber impregnated fiberglass cover is<br>drawn over the top of the tank by a timing belt<br>mechanism and can be retracted to the space<br>behind the tank when tools are to be dipped into<br>the manganese phosphate solution.        |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |   | An electric motor will be installed to open and close the cover controlled by an open and close switch controlled by the operator.   |  |  |  |  |
| Manganese<br>phosphate                          | Leaks/loss of<br>containment<br>potentially<br>contaminating<br>soils/vegetation   | Seepage/<br>infiltration                        | Tank will be installed within an enclosure with<br>hard cover and drum bund, within a worksh<br>with a concrete floor.   |  |  |  |  |
| Contaminated<br>stormwater                      | Stormwater<br>contaminated from<br>contact with factory<br>floor during heavy<br>storm events                                      | Overland<br>Run-off<br>Seepage/<br>infiltration | Stormwater drainage easement located at the rear of the site that will provide detention and treatment of stormwater runoff from the site during heavy rainfall events.  |  |  |  |  |

#### 3.1.2 Receptors

In accordance with the *Guideline: Risk Assessment* (DWER 2020), the Delegated Officer has excluded the applicant's employees, visitors, and contractors from its assessment. Protection of these parties often involves different exposure risks and prevention strategies and is provided for under other state legislation.

Table 2: Sensitive human and environmental receptors and distance from prescribed activity.

| Human receptors                           | Distance from prescribed activity   |
|---|---|
| Accommodation camp                        | Is approximately 1.2 km east of the Premises  |
|   | Screened out of the assessment due to separation distance from the proposed activities and potential emissions. |
| Environmental receptors                   | Distance from prescribed activity   |
| Threatened and/or priority flora          | Is approximately 6.5 km north-west of the Premises.   |
|   | Screened out of the assessment due to separation distance from the proposed activities and potential emissions. |
| Native vegetation                         | About 350 m to the east of the premises boundary toward Seven Mile Creek.                                       |
| Groundwater                               | The Premises is located within the Proclaimed Pilbara Groundwater Area.   |
|   | Depth to groundwater is unknown.  |
| Surface water features – Seven Mile Creek | About 700 m east of the premises  |
|   | The Premises is located within the Proclaimed Pilbara Surface Water Area.                                       |



Figure 1 below provides a summary of potential human and environmental receptors that may be impacted as a result of activities upon or emission and discharges from the prescribed premises (*Guideline: Environmental Siting* (DWER 2020)).

OFFICIAL



Figure 1: Distance to nearest human receptors

### 3.2 Risk ratings

Risk ratings have been assessed in accordance with the *Guideline: Risk Assessments* (DWER 2020) for each identified emission source and considers potential source-pathway and receptor linkages as identified in Section 3.1. Where linkages are in-complete they have not been considered further in the risk assessment.

Where the applicant has proposed mitigation measures/controls (as detailed in Section 3.1), these have been considered when determining the final risk rating. Where the delegated officer considers the applicant's proposed controls to be critical to maintaining an acceptable level of risk, these will be incorporated into the works approval as regulatory controls.

Additional regulatory controls may be imposed where the applicant's controls are not deemed sufficient. Where this is the case the need for additional controls will be documented and justified in Table 3.

Works approval W6916/2024/1 that accompanies this decision report authorises construction. The conditions in the issued works approval, as outlined in Table 3 have been determined in accordance with *Guidance Statement: Setting Conditions* (DER 2015).

A Registration is required under Section 5B of the *Environmental Protection Regulations 1997* (EP Regulations) for the ongoing operation of the premises i.e. metal finishing activities, given Category 88 is listed under Part 2 of Schedule 1 of the EP Regulations.

OFFICIAL

#### Table 3: Risk assessment of potential emissions and discharges from the premises during construction

| Risk events   |  |   |  |                            | Risk rating <sup>1</sup><br>C = consequence<br>L = likelihood | Applicant<br>controls<br>sufficient? | Conditions <sup>2</sup><br>of works<br>approval | Justification for<br>additional regulatory<br>controls  |
|---|--|---|--|----------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| Sources /<br>activities   | Potential emission   | Potential<br>pathways and<br>impact                                 | Receptors  | Applicant controls         |   |                                      |   |   |
| Construction  |  |   |  |                            |   |                                      |   |   |
| Construction<br>of new<br>buildings<br>Installation of<br>Manganese         | Dust   | Air / windborne<br>pathway causing                                  | Residential<br>premises located<br>1.2 km east of<br>the Premises. | Refer to<br>Section<br>3.1 | C = Minor<br>L = Unlikely<br>Medium Risk                      | Y                                    | N/A   | N/A<br>No controls considered<br>necessary due to<br>separation distance<br>from the proposed<br>activities and potential<br>emissions. |
| Phosphate<br>tank and<br>machines<br>Movements<br>of trailers and<br>trucks | Noise  | impacts to health<br>and amenity                                    | Residential<br>premises located<br>1.2 km east of<br>the Premises. | Refer to<br>Section<br>3.1 | C = Minor<br>L = Unlikely<br>Medium Risk                      | Y                                    | N/A   | N/A<br>No controls considered<br>necessary due to<br>separation distance<br>from the proposed<br>activities and potential<br>emissions. |
| Operation   |  |   |  |                            |   |                                      |   |   |
| Metal<br>treatment<br>with<br>Manganese<br>Phosphate                        | Hydrogen gas and<br>water vapor emissions<br>from Manganese<br>Phosphating process | Air/windborne<br>pathway causing<br>impacts to health<br>or amenity | Residential<br>premises located<br>1.2 km east of<br>the Premises. | Refer to<br>Section<br>3.1 | C = Minor<br>L = Rare<br>Low Risk                             | Y                                    | N/A   | No controls considered<br>necessary due to<br>separation distance<br>from the proposed<br>activities and potential<br>emissions         |
| coating   | Manganese phosphate<br>leaks/loss of<br>containment                                | Overland run-off<br>and infiltration<br>causing adverse             | Native<br>vegetation<br>Soil and                                   | Refer to<br>Section<br>3.1 | C = Moderate<br>L = Unlikely                                  | Y                                    | Condition 1 –<br>Manganese<br>Phosphate         | N/A   |

OFFICIAL

| Risk events          |   |   |  |                            | Risk rating <sup>1</sup><br>C = consequence<br>L = likelihood | Applicant<br>controls<br>sufficient? | Conditions <sup>2</sup><br>of works<br>approval                           | Justification for<br>additional regulatory<br>controls   |
|----------------------|---|---|--|----------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Sources / activities | Potential emission  | Potential<br>pathways and<br>impact                                       | Receptors                                      | Applicant<br>controls      |   |                                      |   |  |
|                      | Spills of chemical  | impacts to native<br>vegetation health<br>or contamination of<br>soil and | groundwater                                    |                            | Medium Risk   |                                      | tank<br>installation<br>requirements                                      |  |
|                      | liquids including<br>hazardous waste such<br>as used oil, machine<br>coolant, lubricating oil<br>and grease | groundwater.  |  |                            | C = Minor<br>L = Rare<br>Low Risk                             | Y                                    | N/A   | The general provisions<br>of the EP Act (Part V,<br>Division 1) and<br><i>Unauthorised Discharge</i><br><i>Regulations 2004</i> are<br>considered sufficient to<br>regulate this risk event. |
|                      | Stormwater<br>contaminated from<br>contact with factory<br>floor during heavy rain<br>events                | Overland Run-off<br>Seepage/infiltration                                  | Soil and<br>groundwater<br>Seven Mile<br>Creek | Refer to<br>Section<br>3.1 | C = Minor<br>L = Rare<br>Low Risk                             | Y                                    | Condition 1 –<br>Stormwater<br>drainage<br>infrastructure<br>requirements | N/A  |

Note 1: Consequence ratings, likelihood ratings and risk descriptions are detailed in the Guideline: Risk Assessments (DWER 2020).

Note 2: Proposed applicant controls are depicted by standard text. Bold and underline text depicts additional regulatory controls imposed by department.

# 4. Consultation

Table 4 provides a summary of the consultation undertaken by the department.

#### Table 4: Consultation

| Consultation method   | Comments received  | Department response  |
|---|--|--|
| Application advertised<br>on the department's<br>website on 12 April<br>2024. | None received  | N/A  |
| City of Karratha<br>advised of proposal on<br>12 April 2024.                  | The City of Karratha replied on 02<br>May 2024 confirming that the<br>development application has been<br>submitted for this property (DA24-<br>011).                            | Noted. An instrument granted by the<br>Department only provides a defence<br>for the occupier for offences under<br>Part V, Division 3 of the EP Act,<br>provided the conditions contained<br>within the licence have been<br>complied with and not for any<br>offences under planning legislation.<br>An occupier who begins works on a<br>prescribed premises without the<br>necessary approvals from planning<br>authorities does so at its own risk. |
| Applicant was<br>provided with draft<br>documents on 30 May<br>2024.          | The Works Approval holder<br>responded on 31 May 2024<br>confirming they had no comments on<br>the draft conditions and wished to<br>waive the remaining consultation<br>period. | N/A  |

## 5. Conclusion

Based on the assessment in this decision report, the delegated officer has determined that a works approval will be granted, subject to conditions commensurate with the determined controls and necessary for administration and reporting requirements.

# References

- 1. Bossong Engineering (2024), Works Approval and Registration Application Attachment 3B Supporting Information Document, Perth, Western Australia.
- 2. Department of Environment Regulation (DER) 2015, *Guidance Statement: Setting Conditions*, Perth, Western Australia.
- 3. Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) 2020, *Guideline: Environmental Siting*, Perth, Western Australia.
- 4. DWER 2020, Guideline: Risk Assessments, Perth, Western Australia.