Annual Audit Compliance Report form Environmental Protection Act 1986, Part V

Section A – Licence Details					
Licence Number:	L6951/1997/13 Licence File Number: DER2013/001113-1~1				
Licence Holder:	Pilbara Iron Pty Ltd				
Trading as:	Pilbara Iron Pty Ltd				
ACN:	107 216 535				
Registered address:	Level 18, Central Park. 152-158 St. Georges Terrace, PERTH WA 6000				
Reporting period:	1 January 2024 to 31 December 2024				

Section B – Statement of compliance with licence conditions				
Did you c	Did you comply with all of your licence conditions during the reporting period?			
Yes	Please complete Sections C, D (if required) and sign the declaration in Section E			
No 🖂	Please complete Sections C, D (if required), F and sign the declaration in Section E			

Section C – Statement of actual production						
Provide the	Provide the actual production quantity for this reporting period.*					
Category	ory Premises description Actual production quantity (2024)					
5	Processing or beneficiation of metallic or non-metallic ore	149,720,284 tonnes				
58	Bulk material loading or unloading	149,720,284 tonnes				
61A	Solid waste facility	230 tonnes				
73	Bulk storage of chemicals, etc.	175.37 m ³				

^{*}Supporting information provided in the relevant conditions of the 2024 Annual Environment Report

Section D – Statement of actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity					
Provide the actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity for this reporting period.					
Category	y Premises description Actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity (2024)				
Not Applicable – no categories relevant to Part 2 waste discharge quantity.					

Section E - Decl	Section E – Declaration					
	rmation in this Annual Audit Compliance Report is true and correct and is not false or					
misleading in a mater	•					
	al Audit Compliance Report being published on the Department of Water and					
Environmental Regula	ation's (DWER) website.					
Signature ² :						
Name: (printed)						
Position:						
Date:	30 April 2025					

¹ It is an offence under section 112 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* for a person to give information on this form that to their knowledge is false or misleading in a material particular.

² AACRs can only be signed by the licence holder or an authorised person with the legal authority to sign on behalf of the licence holder.

Section F – Details of non-compliance with licence condition				
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during				
the reporting period.		T =	T	
Condition number:	4	Date(s) of non-compliance:	1 Jan – 31 Dec 202	.4
Details of non-complia	nce:			
	. Repairs to the	he East Intercourse Island (EII) sci screenhouse have been underwa eenhouse.		
What was the actual (o	r suspected) ei	nvironmental impact of the non-c	ompliance?	
Ell operations apply multiple dust controls to mitigate dust including coverings on conveyors and water suppression. It is considered unlikely that the baghouse dust collector not being in operation has resulted in adverse environmental impact. During the reporting period, there was one exceedance of the PM ₁₀ 24-hour average in Dampier Town that was due to the cumulative impact of dust generation from Ell operations rather than from dust generated specifically from the screenhouse.				
Cause (or suspected c	ause) of non-co	ompliance:		
The baghouse dust colle	ector was damag	ed due to the fire incident on the E	II Screen House on 5	March 2021.
Action taken to mitigat non-compliance:	e any adverse	effects of non-compliance and p	revent recurrence of	the
A pre-feasibility study into the replacement of the baghouse dust collector was completed in 2023 and the feasibility study is now underway. The replacement of the EII screenhouse baghouse dust collector is scheduled for Q2 2027.				
Was this non-compliance reported to the DWER?				
☐ Yes: ☐ Reported to D'☐ ☐ Reported to D'☐	WER verbally WER in writing	Date:		⊠ No
				1

Section F – Details of non-compliance with licence condition						
	se a separate pring period.	page for each co	ndition with which the licence holde	er was non-compliant	at a time during	
Condition	on number:	4	Date(s) of non-compliance:	12 June 2024		
Details o	of non-complia	nce:				
with the	The dust hood on Stacker 4 at Parker Point stock yard has been removed in 2010 due to the potential interaction with the anti-collision system installed on Reclaimer 1. The removal of the dust hood was identified and potential implications on conformance with Condition 4 was identified in June 2024.					
What wa	s the actual (o	r suspected) er	vironmental impact of the non-c	ompliance?		
consider reporting	ed unlikely that period, there	the removal of thas not been a	Point is observed to be effective in the dust hood has resulted in adve any dust exceedances recorded to coming from Parker Point operation	rse environmental im for PM ₁₀ at Dampier	pact. During the	
Cause (or suspected c	ause) of non-co	ompliance:			
	The dust hood was removed on Stacker 4 at Parker Point due to the potential interaction with the anti-collision system installed on Reclaimer 1.					
	aken to mitigat npliance:	e any adverse	effects of non-compliance and pr	event recurrence of	the	
The Pilbara Ports Dust Management Plan (RTIO-HSE-0102086) is currently under review and an updated version, including an overview of current dust controls on the Stacker 4, will be submitted in 2025.						
Was this	non-compliar	nce reported to	the DWER?			
Yes:	Reported to D	WER verbally	Date:		⊠ No	
	Reported to D	WER in writing	Date:			

Section F – Details of non-compliance with licence condition					
Please use a separate parting period.	age for each co	ndition with which the licence holde	er was non-compliant	at a time during	
Condition number:	10	Date(s) of non-compliance:	12 December 2024		
Details of non-complia	nce:				
the roadway and into the far as practicable). This	Iron ore spillage from the bulk loading of vessels at Parker Point wharf was washed from under the conveyors onto the roadway and into the marine environment without prior mechanical removal of spillage being undertaken (as far as practicable). This resulted in a minor visual plume of sediment in the marine environment adjacent to the wharf that dispersed with the change in tide.				
What was the actual (o	r suspected) en	vironmental impact of the non-c	ompliance?		
		the visual plume is not considere n adverse impact to the environmer		emission and is	
Cause (or suspected c	ause) of non-co	empliance:			
Mechanical removal of wharf.	spillage was not	undertaken (as far as practicable) prior to washdown	activities on the	
Action taken to mitigat non-compliance:	e any adverse e	effects of non-compliance and pr	event recurrence of	the	
Prior to any shut operations or washdown activities, operators will be made aware in their prestart by their supervisors of any spillage to be recovered, removed and disposed of using bobcat to minimise the chances of spillage to the marine environment during washdown activities.					
Was this non-compliance reported to the DWER?					
☐ Yes: ☐ Reported to D	WER verbally WER in writing	Date:		⊠ No	
Neported to D	VVLIX III WIIIIIIII	Date.			

Section F – Details of non-compliance with licence condition					
Please use a separate the reporting period.	page for each co	ndition with which the licence holde	er was non-compliant	at a time during	
Condition number:	12	Date(s) of non-compliance:	12 December 2024		
Details of non-complia	ince:				
During a significant storm event, that resulted in 83.2mm of rainfall within 24 hours, untreated stormwater has discharged offsite via a break in the seawall bund adjacent to the Parker Point Laboratory. The recorded rain amount on internal weather station is considerably higher than the average total December rainfall of 25mm (BOM, 2025). The stormwater has resulted in a visual plume in the marine environment and contributed to a larger visual plume along the Dampier foreshore caused by other off-site sources of stormwater discharge.					
What was the actual (o	or suspected) er	nvironmental impact of the non-c	ompliance?		
Dampier foreshore caus	The stormwater was potentially contaminated by sediment and contributed to a larger visual plume along the Dampier foreshore caused by other off-site sources of stormwater discharge. It is considered unlikely that the discharge that have resulted in the sediment plume to have an adverse impact to the marine environment.				
Cause (or suspected of	ause) of non-co	ompliance:			
A break in the seawall b	und adjacent to t	the Parker Point Laboratory.			
Action taken to mitiga non-compliance:	te any adverse	effects of non-compliance and pr	event recurrence of	the	
All licenced discharge points and associated stormwater drainage structures within the premises will be inspected in Q2 2025 to confirm they are in working order to prevent the discharge of untreated stormwater.					
Was this non-compliance reported to the DWER?					
	WER verbally	Date:		⊠ No	
☐ Reported to D	WER in writing	Date:			

Section F – Details of non-compliance with licence condition					
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.					
Conditio	n number:	16	Date(s) of non-compliance:	17 June 2024	
Details o	f non-complia	nce:			
	pH samples were not successfully tested from the water samples of monitoring site HIP04 and HIP05 in Q3 due to faulty in-situ instrument.				
What wa	s the actual (o	r suspected) er	nvironmental impact of the non-c	ompliance?	
			npacts associated with the pH samp within the acceptable range limit.	oles not tested as pH	results of the
Cause (c	r suspected c	ause) of non-co	ompliance:		
The caus	e of the non-co	ompliance was th	e faulty in-situ instrument.		
Action to		e any adverse	effects of non-compliance and pr	event recurrence of	the
In-situ instruments have since been fixed and a spare unit will be purchase for contingency.					
Was this non-compliance reported to the DWER?					
Yes:	Reported to D	WER verbally	Date:		⊠ No
	Reported to D	WER in writing	Date:		

Section F – Details of non-compliance with licence condition					
Please use the reporting		age for each co	ndition with which the licence holde	er was non-compliant	at a time during
Condition n	umber:	22(b)	Date(s) of non-compliance:	23 July 2024	
Details of n	on-complia	nce:			
	Q3 water samples of monitoring site HIP04 and HIP05 were undertaken on 23 July 2024 which were less than 45 days apart from Q2 water samples that were undertaken on 17 June 2024.				
What was t	he actual (o	r suspected) er	nvironmental impact of the non-c	ompliance?	
There were	There were no adverse environmental impacts associated with the water samples taken less than 45 days apart.				
Cause (or s	uspected c	ause) of non-co	ompliance:		
			e to opportunistic samplings. This is rmally trigger by rain events.	due to monitoring sta	ations in Dampier
Action take non-compli		e any adverse	effects of non-compliance and pr	event recurrence of	the
No action to be taken as sampling is opportunistic, which is triggered by rain events.					
Was this non-compliance reported to the DWER?					
		WER verbally WER in writing	Date:		⊠ No
	sported to D	vvLiviii wiitiiig	Date.		