Annual Audit Compliance Report form

Environmental Protection Act 1986, Part V

Section A – Licence Details			
Licence Number:	L8234/2008/2 Licence File Number: DER2014/000868		DER2014/000868
Licence Holder:	Robe River Mining Co. Pty. Ltd.		
Trading as:	Robe River Iron Associates		
ACN:	008 694 246		
Registered address:	Level 18, Central Park. 152-158 St. Georges Terrace, PERTH WA 6000		
Reporting period:	1 January 2023 to 31 December 2023		

Section B – Statement of compliance with licence conditions			
Did y	ou cor	nply with all of your licence conditions during the reporting period?	
Yes		Please complete Sections C, D (if required) and sign the declaration in Section E	
No	\square	Please complete Sections C, D (if required), F and sign the declaration in Section E	

Section C – Statement of actual production			
Provide the actual production quantity for this reporting period.*			
Category	Premises description	Actual production quantity (2023)	
5	Processing or beneficiation of metallic or non-metallic ore	14,509,042 tonnes	
6	Mine dewatering	71,332 tonnes	
12	Screening, etc. of material	0 tonnes	
54	Sewage facility	144 m³/day	

*Supporting information provided in the relevant conditions of the 2023 Annual Environment Report

Section D – Statement of actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity			
Provide the actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity for this reporting period.*			
Category	Premises description	Actual Part 2 Waste Discharge Quantity (2023)	
5	Processing or beneficiation of metallic or non- metallic ore (tailings)	4,213,999 m ³	
6	Mine dewatering	71,332 tonnes	

*Supporting information provided in the relevant conditions of the 2023 Annual Environment Report

Section E – Declaration

I declare that the information in this Annual Audit Compliance Report is true and correct and is not false or misleading in a material particular¹.

I consent to the Annual Audit Compliance Report being published on the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation's (DWER) website.

Position:	General Manager – Robe Valley, Iron Ore	
Date:	25.04.2024	

¹ It is an offence under section 112 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* for a person to give information on this form that to their knowledge is false or misleading in a material particular.

² AACRs can only be signed by the licence holder or an authorised person with the legal authority to sign on behalf of the licence holder.

 a laboratory error. Initial weights for the dust deposition samples was not measured by the laboratory, then the laboratory was only able to provide a measurement of insoluble solids, inconsistent with the requirement measure total solids in accordance with AS/NZS 3580. What was the actual (or suspected) environmental impact of the non-compliance? There was no evidence of adverse environmental impact associated with the missed sample. E-sampler monitors were operated in compliance with Condition 9 throughout 2023, with an average concentrati 30.58µg/m³ recorded in November 2023. This is consistent with previous years data, with higher 	Section F – Details of non-compliance with licence condition			
Details of non-compliance: Total deposition for DDGMA2, DDGMA10 and DDGMA11 was unable to be monitored in November 2023 of a laboratory error. Initial weights for the dust deposition samples was not measured by the laboratory, their the laboratory was only able to provide a measurement of insoluble solids, inconsistent with the requirement measure total solids in accordance with AS/NZS 3580. What was the actual (or suspected) environmental impact of the non-compliance? There was no evidence of adverse environmental impact associated with the missed sample. E-sampler monitors were operated in compliance with Condition 9 throughout 2023, with an average concentrati 30.58µg/m³ recorded in November 2023. This is consistent with previous years data, with higher	time during			
 Total deposition for DDGMA2, DDGMA10 and DDGMA11 was unable to be monitored in November 2023 of a laboratory error. Initial weights for the dust deposition samples was not measured by the laboratory, then the laboratory was only able to provide a measurement of insoluble solids, inconsistent with the requirement measure total solids in accordance with AS/NZS 3580. What was the actual (or suspected) environmental impact of the non-compliance? There was no evidence of adverse environmental impact associated with the missed sample. E-samplement is were operated in compliance with Condition 9 throughout 2023, with an average concentration 30.58µg/m³ recorded in November 2023. This is consistent with previous years data, with higher 				
 a laboratory error. Initial weights for the dust deposition samples was not measured by the laboratory, then the laboratory was only able to provide a measurement of insoluble solids, inconsistent with the requirement measure total solids in accordance with AS/NZS 3580. What was the actual (or suspected) environmental impact of the non-compliance? There was no evidence of adverse environmental impact associated with the missed sample. E-sampler monitors were operated in compliance with Condition 9 throughout 2023, with an average concentrati 30.58µg/m³ recorded in November 2023. This is consistent with previous years data, with higher 				
There was no evidence of adverse environmental impact associated with the missed sample. E-sampler monitors were operated in compliance with Condition 9 throughout 2023, with an average concentrati 30.58µg/m ³ recorded in November 2023. This is consistent with previous years data, with higher	Total deposition for DDGMA2, DDGMA10 and DDGMA11 was unable to be monitored in November 2023 due to a laboratory error. Initial weights for the dust deposition samples was not measured by the laboratory, therefore the laboratory was only able to provide a measurement of insoluble solids, inconsistent with the requirement to measure total solids in accordance with AS/NZS 3580.			
monitors were operated in compliance with Condition 9 throughout 2023, with an average concentrati 30.58µg/m ³ recorded in November 2023. This is consistent with previous years data, with higher				
	There was no evidence of adverse environmental impact associated with the missed sample. E-sampler dust monitors were operated in compliance with Condition 9 throughout 2023, with an average concentration of 30.58µg/m ³ recorded in November 2023. This is consistent with previous years data, with higher dust concentrations expected during the summer months. In addition to this, botanical surveys conducted in 2023 of the Sand Sheet Priority Ecological Community found no linkage between dust loading and impacts on the health of the plant community.			
Cause (or suspected cause) of non-compliance:				
Laboratory error, whereupon the Analyst failed to weigh the dust bottle to record the initial weights required to calculate the total volume. To report total solids, the total volume is required, therefore only total insoluble solids was able to be reported.				
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:				
There were no adverse effects associated with the non-compliance requiring mitigation. However, the laboratory has confirmed that the inorganics team leader addressed the issue with the team, and re-training was provided to the Analyst.				
Was this non-compliance reported to the DWER?				
□ Yes: □ Reported to DWER verbally Date: □ No □ Reported to DWER in writing Date: □ No	No			

Section F – Details of non-compliance with licence condition				
Please use a separate p the reporting period.	bage for each co	ndition with which the licence holde	er was non-compliant	at a time during
Condition number:	7	Date(s) of non-compliance:	November 2023	
Details of non-complia	nce:			
The analytes chloride and sulphate were not analysed for Q4 fines sample, which was taken (13/11/2023) at sample point SP22FTP001 located at Waste Fines Storage Facility.				
What was the actual (o	r suspected) eı	nvironmental impact of the non-co	ompliance?	
There was no evidence of adverse environmental impact associated with the laboratory error. Previous monitoring collected in Q3 for Chloride (580 mg/kg) and sulphate (140 mg/kg) were within 95% Species Protection Guideline Values criteria.				
Cause (or suspected c	ause) of non-co	ompliance:		
Laboratory error, where soil sample extract was batched incorrectly resulting in accidental loss of data through human error at the laboratory.				
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:				
The laboratory has committed to implement changes to ensure the availability of comprehensive quality control data and eliminate the risk of any sample data loss in future.				
Was this non-complian	nce reported to	the DWER?		
Yes: Reported to D'	WER verbally WER in writing	Date: Date:		🖾 No