

Government of Western Australia Department of Environment Regulation

Annual Audit Compliance Report Form

Environmental Protection Act 1986, Part V

Licence number:	L8239/2008/2	Licence file number:	DEC7565
Licence holder:	Water Corporation		
Trading as:	York Wastewater Treatment Plant		
ABN:	28 003 434 917		
Registered address:	629 Newcastle Street, LEEDERVILLE, WA 6007		
Reporting period:	01/07/2021 to 30/06/2022		

Section B - Statement of Compliance with Licence Conditions

Did you comply with all of your licence conditions during the reporting period? (please tick the appropriate box)

□Yes – please complete:

- section C;
- section D if required; and
- sign the declaration in Section F.

⊠No – please complete:

- section C;
- section D if required;
- section E; and
- sign the declaration at Section F.

Section C – Statement of Actual Production

Provide the actual production quantity for this reporting period. Supporting documentation is to be attached.

Prescribed Premises Category	Actual Production Quantity
54	Inflow 145 m³/day

Section D - Statement of Actual Part 2 Waste Discharge Quantity

Provide the actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity for this reporting period. Supporting documentation is to be attached.

Prescribed Premises Category	Actual Part 2 Waste Discharge Quantity
Not Applicable	

Section E – Details of Non-Compliance with Licence Condition

Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.

Condition no:	16 (ii)	Date(s) of non- compliance:	10/11/2021	
---------------	---------	--------------------------------	------------	--

Details of non-compliance:

Licence condition 16 (ii) states that the Licensee shall inform the Chief Executive Officer (DWER), no less than 14 days prior, of the removal of sludge from a treatment pond. Desludging of treatment pond no 4 commenced on 22 November 2021. Notification was sent to DWER on 10 November 2021, 12 days prior to the commencement of works creating an administrative non-compliance.

A sudden change in contractor availability and a scheduling rearrangement the desludging of pond 4 at the York WWTP was the cause of the administrative non-compliance. If Water corporation had not accepted the re-scheduled date then de-sludging was not going to be able to occur in pond 4 until roughly April 2022 which may have had an impact on water quality output over the 2021/2022 summer period and caused significant and costly delays to the capital project and it's commissioning phase.

What was the actual (or suspected) environmental impact of the non-compliance?

NOTE – please attach maps or diagrams to provide insight into the precise location of where the noncompliance took place.

The non-compliance is administrative in nature and no direct negative impact to environmental receptors was determined.

Cause (or suspected cause) of non-compliance:

A sudden change in contractor availability and a scheduling rearrangement the desludging of pond 4 at the York WWTP was the cause of the administrative non-compliance.

Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:

N/A

Was this non-compliance previously reported to DER?

X Yes, and

Reported to DER verbally	Date: 10/ 11 /2021	
Reported to DER in writing	Date: / /	

Section E - De	etails of Non-Compliance	with Licence Con	dition
	parate page for each condition the reporting period.	n with which the licen	ce holder was non-compliant
Condition no:	Category 54- Capacity	Date(s) of non- compliance:	01/07/2021 - 30/06/2022
Details of non-co	ompliance:		
			apacity of 130 m³/day. Due to w throughout the 2021/2022
	tual (or suspected) environme tach maps or diagrams to provide lace.		
There are no d capacity.	liscernible environmental im	pacts from the incre	ease in average daily inflow
Cause (or suspe	cted cause) of non-complianc	e:	and a second second
	is the cause of the non-co er than average rainfall in the		ase in inflow can be partially ting period.
	nitigate any adverse effects of		
The capital proje capacity to 200 k		te and expansion of	York WWTP will increase the the woodlot irrigation scheme. 022-23 reporting period.
Was this non-cor	mpliance previously reported	to DER? No	
Yes, and			
Reported t	to DER verbally	Date: / /	
Reported t	o DER in writing	Date:	

Department of Environment Regulation

at a time during t	the reporting period.		
Condition no:	Condition 16 (iii) (b)	Date(s) of non- compliance:	03/12/2021
Details of non-co	ompliance:		
exiting a HDPE I treatment pond sludge drying be drying area is no The stormwater of was undertaken the sludge drying site within the be conditions suppor Desludging activ inspected the site	ined (when in use) drainage 1, cell 2 and the other pip ed area to the onsite stormy t HDPE lined and not in use drainage valve was unintent in pond 4, resulting in an ar g bed area to the site's sto ounds of the licenced prem- orted evaporation and UV dis rity commenced on 25/11/2 e on 30/11/21 which is 6 da	e sump. One pipe is use e is used for diverting water drainage system tionally left open during mount of treated waste rmwater drainage. All o hises, there was no po sinfection to take place 1 and concluded on 3 hys after desludging had	has two valved drainage pipes ad to drain geobag leachate to a stormwater that falls on the when the constructed sludge minor desludging activity tha water slowly discharging from discharge was maintained or coling of wastewater, summe water, summe d commenced, at that time no
discharge noted positioning of the kL. The volume in the drainage s	at the inspection, the va e value was not realised. T of treated wastewater disch	alve position was not he volume discharged harged was greatly red HDPE, preventing a la	nage. As there was no visible checked, and the incorrec was minimal, estimated at 2 uced due to volume captured arge percentage of infiltration
discharge noted positioning of the kL. The volume in the drainage s and egress from What was the ac NOTE – please att	at the inspection, the value was not realised. T of treated wastewater disch sump which was lined with the sludge drying bed area. tual (or suspected) environr tach maps or diagrams to prov	alve position was not 'he volume discharged harged was greatly red HDPE, preventing a la mental impact of the no	checked, and the incorrect was minimal, estimated at 2 uced due to volume captured arge percentage of infiltration n-compliance?
discharge noted positioning of the kL. The volume in the drainage and egress from What was the ac NOTE – please att compliance took p	at the inspection, the value was not realised. T of treated wastewater disch sump which was lined with the sludge drying bed area. tual (or suspected) environr tach maps or diagrams to prov	alve position was not 'he volume discharged harged was greatly red HDPE, preventing a la nental impact of the no ide insight into the precise	checked, and the incorrec was minimal, estimated at 2 uced due to volume captured arge percentage of infiltration n-compliance? e location of where the non-
discharge noted positioning of the kL. The volume in the drainage s and egress from What was the ac NOTE – please att compliance took p There were no di	at the inspection, the value was not realised. T of treated wastewater disch sump which was lined with the sludge drying bed area. tual (or suspected) environr tach maps or diagrams to prov lace.	alve position was not 'he volume discharged harged was greatly red HDPE, preventing a la nental impact of the no ide insight into the precise pacts that resulted from	checked, and the incorrec was minimal, estimated at 2 uced due to volume captured arge percentage of infiltration n-compliance? e location of where the non-
discharge noted positioning of the kL. The volume in the drainages and egress from What was the ac NOTE – please att compliance took p There were no di Cause (or suspe The stormwater of was undertaken the sludge drying Action taken to m non-compliance: The site's new o	at the inspection, the value was not realised. To of treated wastewater disch sump which was lined with the sludge drying bed area. tual (or suspected) environr tach maps or diagrams to prov lace. scernible environmental imp cted cause) of non-compliar drainage valve was unintent in pond 4, resulting in an ar bed area to on-site stormw hitigate any adverse effects	alve position was not he volume discharged harged was greatly red HDPE, preventing a la mental impact of the no- ide insight into the precise pacts that resulted from hce: ionally left open during mount of treated waster vater drainage. of non-compliance and updated to ensure that	checked, and the incorrect was minimal, estimated at 2 uced due to volume captured arge percentage of infiltration n-compliance? e location of where the non- n this incident. minor desludging activity that water slowly discharging from prevent recurrence of the this forms part of the checks
discharge noted positioning of the kL. The volume in the drainages and egress from What was the ac NOTE – please att compliance took p There were no di Cause (or suspe The stormwater of was undertaken the sludge drying Action taken to m non-compliance: The site's new of that are undertaken	at the inspection, the value was not realised. The value was not realised. The value was not realised. The of treated wastewater discharge which was lined with the sludge drying bed area. The sludge drying bed area to provide the sludge drying bed area. The sludge drying bed area to on-site stormwon the sludge drying bed area to on-site stormwon the sludge drying manual has been the sludge drying bed area to an area to are	alve position was not 'he volume discharged harged was greatly red HDPE, preventing a la mental impact of the no- ide insight into the precise pacts that resulted from hce: ionally left open during mount of treated waster vater drainage. of non-compliance and updated to ensure that dging activity taking pla	checked, and the incorrect was minimal, estimated at 2 uced due to volume captured arge percentage of infiltration n-compliance? e location of where the non- n this incident. minor desludging activity that water slowly discharging from prevent recurrence of the this forms part of the checks
discharge noted positioning of the kL. The volume in the drainage s and egress from What was the ac NOTE – please att compliance took p There were no di Cause (or suspe The stormwater of was undertaken the sludge drying Action taken to m non-compliance: The site's new of that are undertaken Was this non-com	at the inspection, the value was not realised. To of treated wastewater disch sump which was lined with the sludge drying bed area. tual (or suspected) environr tach maps or diagrams to prov lace. iscernible environmental imp cted cause) of non-compliar drainage valve was unintent in pond 4, resulting in an ar g bed area to on-site stormw hitigate any adverse effects perating manual has been ten prior to any future deslue	alve position was not 'he volume discharged harged was greatly red HDPE, preventing a la mental impact of the no- ide insight into the precise pacts that resulted from hce: ionally left open during mount of treated waster vater drainage. of non-compliance and updated to ensure that dging activity taking pla	checked, and the incorrect was minimal, estimated at 2 uced due to volume captured arge percentage of infiltration n-compliance? e location of where the non- n this incident. minor desludging activity that water slowly discharging from prevent recurrence of the this forms part of the checks
discharge noted positioning of the kL. The volume in the drainages and egress from What was the ac NOTE – please att compliance took p There were no di Cause (or suspe The stormwater of was undertaken the sludge drying Action taken to m non-compliance: The site's new o that are undertak Was this non-com	at the inspection, the value was not realised. To of treated wastewater disch sump which was lined with the sludge drying bed area. tual (or suspected) environr tach maps or diagrams to prov lace. iscernible environmental imp cted cause) of non-compliar drainage valve was unintent in pond 4, resulting in an ar g bed area to on-site stormw hitigate any adverse effects perating manual has been ten prior to any future deslue	alve position was not 'he volume discharged harged was greatly red HDPE, preventing a la mental impact of the no- ide insight into the precise pacts that resulted from hce: ionally left open during mount of treated waster vater drainage. of non-compliance and updated to ensure that dging activity taking pla	checked, and the incorrect was minimal, estimated at 2 uced due to volume captured arge percentage of infiltration n-compliance? e location of where the non- n this incident. minor desludging activity that water slowly discharging from prevent recurrence of the this forms part of the checks

Section F – Declaration

I/We declare that the information in this Annual Audit Compliance Report is true and correct and is not false or misleading in a material particular¹. I/We consent to the Annual Audit Compliance Report being published on the Department of Environment Regulation's (DER) website.

Signature ² :		Signature:	
Name: (printed)		Name: (printed)	
Position:		Position:	
Date:	25/8/2022	Date:	
Seal (if signing under seal):			

¹ It is an offence under section 112 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* for a person to give information on this form that to their knowledge is false or misleading in a material particular.

² AACRs can only be signed by the licence holder or an authorised person with the legal authority to sign on behalf of the licence holder.