

Government of Western Australia Department of Water and Environmental Regulation

Annual Audit Compliance Report Form

Environmental Protection Act 1986, Part V Division 3

Once completed, please submit this form either via email to <u>info@dwer.wa.gov.au</u>, or to the below postal address:

Department of Water and Environmental Regulation Locked Bag 10 Joondalup DC WA 6919

Section A – Licence details			
Licence number:	L8818/2014/1	Licence file number:	DER2014/000782-2
Licence holder name:	TEC Hedland Pty Ltd		
Trading as:	TEC Hedland Pty Ltd		
ACN:	169 777 404		
Registered business address:	Level 2 Parmelia House, 191 St Georges Terrace, Perth WA 6000		
Reporting period:	01 / 01 / 2020	to 31 / 12 / 2020	

Section B – Statement of compliance with licence conditions

Did you comply with all of your licence conditions during the reporting period? (please tick the appropriate box)

 \Box Yes – please complete:

- section C;
- section D (if required); and
- sign the declaration in Section F.

 \boxtimes No – please complete:

- section C;
- section D (if required);
- section E; and
- sign the declaration in Section F.

Section C – Statement of actual production

Provide the actual production quantity for this reporting period. Supporting documentation is to be attached.

Prescribed premises category	Actual production quantity	
Category 52: Electric power generation	399 602 658 kWh	

Section D – Statement of actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity			
Provide the actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity for this reporting period. Supporting documentation is to be attached.			
Prescribed premises category	Actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity		

Department of Water and Environmental Regulation

Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.				
Condition no:	3.4	Date(s) of non- compliance:	Six-monthly samples	
Details of non-compliance:				
not undertaken a As per the Licenc from 1 April to 30	ccording to the specificate ce Conditions 1.1 Interprese September and 1 Octob	tions in table 3.4.1. etation - 'six monthly' mea per to 31 March in the follo	ent groundwater quality was ans the 2 inclusive periods owing year;	
Testing did not occur between 1 April to 30 September 2020 What was the actual (or suspected) environmental impact of the non-compliance?				
NOTE – please atta	NOTE – please attach maps or diagrams to provide insight into the precise location of where the non- compliance took place.			
Suez returned to	site. No environmental e	exceedance from the pond	t GQ1, GQ2 and GQ4 when Is and diesel yard areas were d GQ5 were inaccessible.	
Suez returned to identified. At that Suez were engag of the ponds and	imenced in November 20 site. No environmental e stage it was reported by ged to return to site in De South Est of the diesel y	Exceedance from the pond Suez that bores GQ3 and ecember to collect sample	ls and diesel yard areas were d GQ5 were inaccessible. s at GQ3 and GQ5 North Est lentified the bore samples	
Suez returned to identified. At that Suez were engag of the ponds and were unobtainabl team.	imenced in November 20 site. No environmental e stage it was reported by ged to return to site in De South Est of the diesel y	exceedance from the pond Suez that bores GQ3 and ecember to collect sample yard. At this point it was id ne bores were confirmed of	ls and diesel yard areas were d GQ5 were inaccessible. s at GQ3 and GQ5 North Est lentified the bore samples	
Suez returned to identified. At that Suez were engag of the ponds and were unobtainabl team. Cause (or suspec The non-complian	imenced in November 20 site. No environmental e stage it was reported by ged to return to site in De South Est of the diesel y e (not inaccessible) as th cted cause) of non-comp nce in the reporting perio	exceedance from the pond Suez that bores GQ3 and ecember to collect sample yard. At this point it was id ne bores were confirmed of	Is and diesel yard areas were d GQ5 were inaccessible. s at GQ3 and GQ5 North Est lentified the bore samples dry by the Suez analysis s a result of the sudden	
Suez returned to identified. At that Suez were engag of the ponds and were unobtainabl team. Cause (or suspec The non-complian Covid-19 lockdow	menced in November 20 site. No environmental e stage it was reported by ged to return to site in De South Est of the diesel y e (not inaccessible) as the cted cause) of non-comp nce in the reporting period vn affecting contractor site	exceedance from the pond Suez that bores GQ3 and ecember to collect sample yard. At this point it was id he bores were confirmed of liance: od (April – September) wa te access to this TransAlta	Is and diesel yard areas were d GQ5 were inaccessible. s at GQ3 and GQ5 North Est lentified the bore samples dry by the Suez analysis s a result of the sudden a critical infrastructure.	
Suez returned to identified. At that Suez were engag of the ponds and were unobtainabl team. Cause (or suspec The non-complian Covid-19 lockdow The issue was no period (Jan-Dec 2 Action taken to m non-compliance:	imenced in November 20 site. No environmental e stage it was reported by ged to return to site in De South Est of the diesel y e (not inaccessible) as th cted cause) of non-comp nce in the reporting period on affecting contractor site of identified until AER dat 2020)	exceedance from the pond Suez that bores GQ3 and ecember to collect sample yard. At this point it was id he bores were confirmed of liance: od (April – September) wa te access to this TransAlta ta collection began in Jan	Is and diesel yard areas were d GQ5 were inaccessible. s at GQ3 and GQ5 North Est lentified the bore samples dry by the Suez analysis s a result of the sudden a critical infrastructure. uary for the previous reportin	
Suez returned to identified. At that Suez were engag of the ponds and were unobtainabl team. Cause (or suspec The non-complian Covid-19 lockdow The issue was no period (Jan-Dec 2 Action taken to m non-compliance:	imenced in November 20 site. No environmental e stage it was reported by ged to return to site in De South Est of the diesel y e (not inaccessible) as th cted cause) of non-comp nce in the reporting period on affecting contractor site of identified until AER dat 2020)	exceedance from the pond Suez that bores GQ3 and ecember to collect sample yard. At this point it was id he bores were confirmed of liance: od (April – September) wa te access to this TransAlta ta collection began in Jan	Is and diesel yard areas were d GQ5 were inaccessible. s at GQ3 and GQ5 North Est lentified the bore samples dry by the Suez analysis s a result of the sudden a critical infrastructure. uary for the previous reportin	
Suez returned to identified. At that Suez were engag of the ponds and were unobtainabl team. Cause (or suspec The non-complian Covid-19 lockdow The issue was no period (Jan-Dec 2 Action taken to m non-compliance: Suez sampling te	imenced in November 20 site. No environmental e stage it was reported by ged to return to site in De South Est of the diesel y e (not inaccessible) as th cted cause) of non-comp nce in the reporting period on affecting contractor site of identified until AER dat 2020)	exceedance from the pond Suez that bores GQ3 and ecember to collect sample yard. At this point it was id ne bores were confirmed of liance: od (April – September) wa te access to this TransAlta ta collection began in Jan ets of non-compliance and ng site 28/01/2021 to com	Is and diesel yard areas were d GQ5 were inaccessible. s at GQ3 and GQ5 North Est lentified the bore samples dry by the Suez analysis s a result of the sudden a critical infrastructure. uary for the previous reportin	
Suez returned to identified. At that Suez were engag of the ponds and were unobtainabl team. Cause (or suspec The non-complian Covid-19 lockdow The issue was no period (Jan-Dec 2 Action taken to m non-compliance: Suez sampling te	imenced in November 20 site. No environmental e stage it was reported by ged to return to site in De South Est of the diesel y e (not inaccessible) as th cted cause) of non-comp nce in the reporting period vn affecting contractor site of identified until AER dat 2020) itigate any adverse effect	exceedance from the pond Suez that bores GQ3 and ecember to collect sample yard. At this point it was id ne bores were confirmed of liance: od (April – September) wa te access to this TransAlta ta collection began in Jan ets of non-compliance and ng site 28/01/2021 to com	Is and diesel yard areas were d GQ5 were inaccessible. s at GQ3 and GQ5 North Est lentified the bore samples dry by the Suez analysis s a result of the sudden a critical infrastructure. uary for the previous reportin	
Suez returned to identified. At that Suez were engage of the ponds and were unobtainable team. Cause (or suspect The non-complian Covid-19 lockdow The issue was no period (Jan-Dec 2 Action taken to m non-compliance: Suez sampling te Was this non-com	imenced in November 20 site. No environmental e stage it was reported by ged to return to site in De South Est of the diesel y e (not inaccessible) as th cted cause) of non-comp nce in the reporting period vn affecting contractor site of identified until AER dat 2020) itigate any adverse effect	exceedance from the pond Suez that bores GQ3 and ecember to collect sample yard. At this point it was id ne bores were confirmed of liance: od (April – September) wa te access to this TransAlta ta collection began in Jan ets of non-compliance and ng site 28/01/2021 to com rted to DWER?	Is and diesel yard areas were d GQ5 were inaccessible. s at GQ3 and GQ5 North Est lentified the bore samples dry by the Suez analysis s a result of the sudden a critical infrastructure. uary for the previous reportin	

Department of Water and Environmental Regulation

Section F – Declaration

I / We declare that the information in this Annual Audit Compliance Report is true and correct and is not false or misleading in a material particular¹.

I / We consent to the Annual Audit Compliance Report being published on the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation's (DWER) website.

Signature ² :	Signature:
Name: (printed)	Name: (printed)
Position:	Position:
Date:	27 January 2021 11:30 PDate:
Seal (if signing under seal):	

¹ It is an offence under section 112 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* for a person to give information on this form that to their knowledge is false or misleading in a material particular.

² AACRs can only be signed by the licence holder or an authorised person with the legal authority to sign on behalf of the licence holder.