## **Annual Audit Compliance Report Form**

Environmental Protection Act 1986, Part V

Section A – Licence Details			
Licence number:	L8830/2014/2	Licence file number:	DER2014/001293-2
Licence holder:	Lithco No.2 Pty Ltd		
Trading as:	Lithco No.2		
ACN:	612 726 922		
Registered address:	Level 7, 20 Parkland Road OSBORNE PARK WA 6017		
Reporting period:	01 / 04 / 2024 <b>to</b>	30 / 03 / 2025	

### Section B - Statement of Compliance with Licence Conditions

Did you comply with all of your licence conditions during the reporting period? (please tick the appropriate box)

☐Yes - please complete:

- section C;
- · section D if required; and
- · sign the declaration in Section F.

#### No – please complete:

- section C;
- section D if required;
- section E; and
- sign the declaration at Section F.

# Section C – Statement of Actual Production

Provide the actual production quantity for this reporting period. Supporting documentation is to be attached.

Prescribed Premises Category	Actual Production Quantity
Category 5: Processing or beneficiation of metallic or non-metallic ore	869,707 wet metric tonnes

#### Section D - Statement of Actual Part 2 Waste Discharge Quantity

Provide the actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity for this reporting period. Supporting documentation is to be attached.

Prescribed Premises Category	Actual Part 2 Waste Discharge Quantity	
Category 89: Putrescible landfill site	146.92 tonnes	

Section E – Details of Non-Compliance with Licence Condition			
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.			
Condition no:	2	Date(s) of non- compliance:	27/11/2024-30/03/2025
Details of non-comp	oliance:		
not been completed	f the tailings pipelines, return d at the required frequency (o frastructure ceased.		
What was the actua	al (or suspected) environmen	tal impact of the non-c	ompliance?
NOTE – please attac compliance took plac	h maps or diagrams to provide i e.	insight into the precise loo	cation of where the non-
Nil - Operation of the 27 November 2024	ne processing infrastructure a	and subsequent deposi	tion into TSF2 ceased on
Cause (or suspecte	ed cause) of non-compliance:		
Rapid reduction of personnel onsite as Bald Hill progressively entered Care and Maintenance commencing 13 November 2024 and formally entering Care and Maintenance on 4 December 2024.			
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:			
During Care and Maintenance, the infrastructure is inspected weekly. Daily inspections will restart when operations recommence. All tasks related to Care and Maintenance, including L8033 inspections, have been added to the team's work plan to ensure they are completed during this period.			
Was this non-compliance previously reported to DWER? No			
Yes, and			
☐ Reported to	DWER verbally	Date: / /	
Reported to	DWER in writing	Date: / /	

Section E – Details of Non-Compliance with Licence Condition			
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.			
Condition no:	9	Date(s) of non- compliance:	01/04/2024-30/03/2025
Details of non-comp	oliance:		
	ntaining materials were provi a period equal to the time be		
What was the actua	al (or suspected) environmen	tal impact of the non-c	ompliance?
NOTE – please attac	h maps or diagrams to provide i e.	nsight into the precise lo	cation of where the non-
-	cted environmental impact ha ines have occurred during the		of the non-compliance.
` '	ed cause) of non-compliance:		
This non-compliance is unchanged from the previously submitted 2023/2024 AACR.			
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:			
Pipelines outside of internally draining areas were inspected on a daily basis, no spills were identified during the reporting period. Review pipelines to determine appropriate controls to be installed. Actions assigned to responsible individual in Task Management Database (INX InForm) to track completion.			
Was this non-compliance previously reported to DWER?			
⊠ Yes, and			
☐ Reported to	DWER verbally	Date: / /	
⊠ Reported to	DWER in writing	Date: 24/04/24	

# Department of Water and Environmental Regulation

Section E – Details of Non-Compliance with Licence Condition			
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.			
Condition no:	14	Date(s) of non- compliance:	October and November 2024
Details of non-comp	oliance:		
Process monitoring	was not conducted in Octob	er and November 2024	4.
What was the actual (or suspected) environmental impact of the non-compliance?  NOTE – please attach maps or diagrams to provide insight into the precise location of where the non-compliance took place.			
No actual or suspected environmental impact has occurred as a result of the non-compliance.			
Cause (or suspected cause) of non-compliance:			
Sampling to execute process monitoring was not conducted due to the accelerated ramp down of operations and demobilisation of personnel in preparation for the commencement of Care and Maintenance and subsequent cessation of tailings deposition on 27 November 2024.			
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:			
Process monitoring will recommence when operations resume.			
Was this non-compliance previously reported to DWER? No			
Yes, and			
Reported to DV	VER verbally	Date: / /	
Reported to DV	VER in writing	Date: / /	

#### Section E - Details of Non-Compliance with Licence Condition

Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.

Condition no: Date(s) of noncompliance: 01/04/2024-30/03/2025

#### Details of non-compliance:

The standing water level of TSF2MB004 was observed above the 4mbgl limit, as prescribed in Condition 15, throughout the entirety of the reporting period.

What was the actual (or suspected) environmental impact of the non-compliance?

**NOTE** – please attach maps or diagrams to provide insight into the precise location of where the non-compliance took place.

No actual or suspected material environmental impact has occurred, or is expected to occur as a result of this non-compliance.

Bore TSF2MB04, situated between TSF2 and the Main Waste Dump, has consistently shown a standing water level above 4 mbgl in previous reporting periods. The higher water level is likely influenced by the hydraulic forces between the Main Waste Dump and TSF2. As nearby monitoring bores (TSF2MB001-003) do not show similar trends, suggest the effects are localised.

#### Cause (or suspected cause) of non-compliance:

Extension of Main Waste Dump 'boxing' in monitoring bore TSF2MB004 between TSF2 and Main Waste Dump suspected to be causing localised mounding of groundwater.

Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:

Suitable alternative seepage recovery infrastructure to be installed upon recommencement of deposition into TSF2 once the site leaves Care and Maintenance.

Was this non-compliance previously reported to DWER?

Yes and

TCS, diff			
Reported to DWER verbally	Date: / /		
Reported to DWER in writing	Date: 24/04/24		

Section E – Details of Non-Compliance with Licence Condition			
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.			
Condition no:	15	Date(s) of non- compliance:	May – Sept & Dec 2024
Details of non-comp	pliance:		
Static dust deposition points.	on monitoring frequency not n	net for May and Septem	nber 2024 for all monitoring
In December 2024 sampled.	the monitoring point SDM3 b	oottle was destroyed in	the field and unable to be
What was the actua	al (or suspected) environmen	tal impact of the non-co	ompliance?
NOTE – please attac compliance took plac	h maps or diagrams to provide i e.	nsight into the precise loo	cation of where the non-
No actual or suspec	cted environmental impact ha	as occurred as a result	of the non-compliance.
Dust deposition data was captured for May and September however bottles were not changed at the required monthly frequency. No results of note were observed in the preceding and subsequent months.			
Cause (or suspecte	ed cause) of non-compliance:		
Supplier logistics/so	cheduling issues contributed	to the non-compliant sa	ampling frequency.
The suspected cause of the destroyed bottle is that the dust gauge bottle was inadequately secured to the dust gauge stand.			
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the			
non-compliance:  Dust bottle supply system has been established to accommodate reduced personnel at Bald Hill to facilitate monthly sampling.			
SDM3 dust gauge stand to be modified to improve the security of the bottles.			
Was this non-compliance previously reported to DWER? No			
☐ Yes, and			
☐ Reported to	DWER verbally	Date: / /	
Reported to	DWER in writing	Date: / /	

# Department of Water and Environmental Regulation

### Section F - Declaration

is not false or mis	leading in a material particu	lar1. I/We consent t	e Report is true and correct and o the Annual Audit Compliance onmental Regulation's (DWER)
Signature <sup>2</sup> :		Signature:	
Name: (printed)		Name: (printed)	
Position:		Position:	
Date:	24/04/2025	Date:	
Seal (if signing under seal):		1 to 1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is an offence under section 112 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* for a person to give information on this form that to their knowledge is false or misleading in a material particular.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> AACRs can only be signed by the licence holder or an authorised person with the legal authority to sign on behalf of the licence holder.