



PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

Onslow Road Seal Widening & Overtaking Lanes

September 2011



CONTENTS

| SUM | IMARY | |
|-----------|---|----|
| 1. | BACKGROUND | .4 |
| 2. | DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT | .4 |
| 2.1 | 1 PROJECT LOCATION | .6 |
| 3. | METHODOLOGY | .7 |
| 3.1 | 1 PRELIMINARY DESKTOP STUDY | .7 |
| 3.2 | 2 COMMONWEALTH REFERRAL | .8 |
| 3.3 | 3 STATE REFERRAL | .8 |
| 4. | EXISTING ENVIRONMENT | .9 |
| 4.1 | 1 DESCRIPTION | .9 |
| 4.2 | 2 SITE INVESTIGATION | .9 |
| 5. | CLEARING OF NATIVE VEGETATION | 10 |
| 5.1 | 1 DETAILS OF VEGETATION ASSOCIATIONS TO BE CLEARED | 10 |
| 5.2 | 2 ASSESSMENT AGAINST CLEARING PRINCIPLES | 11 |
| 5.3 | 3 SUMMARY OF MANAGEMENT ACTIONS | 15 |
| 6. | ASSESSMENT OF ASPECTS AND IMPACTS | 6 |
| 7. | DECISION TO REFER | 9 |
| 7.1 | 1 REFERRAL TO THE DEPARTMENT OF SUSTAINABILITY, ENVIRONMENT, WATER, | |
| PC | OPULATION AND COMMUNITIES | 19 |
| 7.2 | 2 REFERRAL TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AUTHORITY | 19 |
| 8. | REFERENCES | 9 |
| ATT | ACHMENT A THREATENED FLORA LOCATION MAPPING | 20 |
| APP | ENDIX A LOW IMPACT ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING CHECKLIST | 26 |
| APP | ENDIX B AUSTRALIAN HERITAGE PLACES INVENTORY, HERITAGE COUNC | IL |
| OF V | VESTERN AUSTRALIA AND THE MUNICIPAL HERITAGE INVENTORY | |
| DAT | ABASE SEARCHES | 28 |
| APP | ENDIX C ABORIGINAL HERITAGE INVESTIGATION | 31 |
| APP | ENDIX D DEC CONTAMINATED SITES DATABASE SEARCH | 34 |
| APP | ENDIX E DSEWPC DATABASE SEARCH | 36 |
| APP | ENDIX F ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN | 38 |
| ENV | IRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN | 39 |
| IN | TRODUCTION | 39 |
| Co | OMMUNICATION PLAN | 39 |

SUMMARY

Main Roads commissioned GHD Pty Ltd (GHD) to undertake a Vegetation and Flora survey along Onslow Road in order to ascertain any environmental risks that may exist on the site. Outcomes of the investigation indicate that:

- A total of 19 vegetation types were delineated, including one comprised of Cleared / Degraded vegetation occurring as a result from existing impacts.
- No Threatened or Priority Ecological Communities were recorded in the Project Area
- Vegetation within the Project Area is considered to be of Least Concern with greater than 90% of its pre-European extent remaining. The Project Area occurs in a location with relatively unaltered vegetation and associated ecological linkages.
- No Threatened (Declared Rare) Flora taxa were recorded from the Project Area.
 - Three Priority 3 Flora taxa were recorded from the Project Area:
 - Eremophila forrestii subsp. viridis;
 - Tephrosia bidwillii; and
 - Triumfetta echinata.
- The recorded locations of these Priority Flora can easily be avoided during the proposed upgrade to the Onslow Road.
- Two Priority 4 fauna species were observed during the field assessment:
 - Australian Bustard (Ardeotis australis); and
 - Grey Falcon (Falco hypoleucos).
- GHD considers that both species are highly mobile and nomadic and are unlikely to be impacted by the proposed works.
- No significant fauna habitats are considered likely to be impacted by the proposed
- works.
- An examination of the Ten Clearing Principles applied against the finding of this flora and fauna assessment and any clearing of native vegetation within the Project Area has been assessed to be not likely at variance;
- This Project is considered unlikely to require referral to the Environmental Protection Authority for any approval to clear vegetation;

(GHD, September 2011. Main Roads Western Australia. Onslow Road, Vegetation & Flora Survey)

1. BACKGROUND

Onslow Road (0.00SLK -79.4SLK) is the main arterial and freight transport route to Onslow from North West Coastal Highway. The route traverses a number of low level floodways and was built in the 1980's to service the Onslow community and Onslow Salt venture and was not designed to cater for the resource industry expansion that is currently been planned.

The road has a total pavement width of 8.6 metres with 6.2 metre seal and 9.0 metre sealed floodways and a pavement designed to last 20 years. Although the seal width meets the current demands, the expected increase in heavy vehicles will require a seal width of 9.0 metres in accordance with Austroads Standards. Onslow Road is a designated RAV Route 9 but will need to be upgraded to RAV route 10.

Industry proponents Chevron and BHPB Petroleum/Exxon Mobil are proposing on-shore LNG processing facilities surrounding the Onslow town site. Early indications from the project proponents is that there could be up to 30,000 road train movements on Onslow Road per year during the construction phase of these facilities. Many of these road trains will be over width with concessional loading permits.

Following Main Roads' corporate Environmental Assessment and Approval process, an initial 'Low Impact Environmental Screening Checklist' was completed for the proposal. The checklist determined the project required further environmental assessment as the project requires clearing outside the maintenance zone. Therefore the preparation of a project specific Environmental Impact Assessment (PEIA) and Environmental Management Plan (EMP) are required.

The environmental assessment will involve a desktop analysis of environmental aspects and impacts, a site investigation, an assessment of native vegetation clearing, stakeholder consultation and consideration of necessary environmental management. The preliminary assessment will determine whether an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is necessary and if referral to State and/or Commonwealth authorities is required.

Main Roads Gascoyne Region proposes to undertake the clearing of vegetation using the Main Roads State Wide Clearing Permit 818/5, under the authorised activity of Section 1a (xiii) "*road widening*".

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT

The project scope is to widen pavement on Onslow Road to 10.0 metres with a total seal width of 9.0 metres (9.0 m with 0.5m sealed shoulders either side) from SLK 0 to SLK 79.4. The widening of pavement contains no clearing permit requirements as this will be undertaken within the existing maintenance zone.

The widening of pavements over the Onslow Lake Causeways will not be undertaken as they were previously widened to 9.0 meters in 2006. The works on the main alignment will simply tie into the approaches of the causeways. The locations of the seal widening already undertaken on Onslow Road are as follows:

- 68.280 SLK to 68.680 SLK
- 70.980 SLK to 71.350 SLK
- 71.750 SLK to 72.200 SLK
- 73.180 SLK to 73.670 SLK

Main Roads is proposing to also provide overtaking opportunities along Onslow Road at the following locations:

- LHS 14.5 to 16.0 SLK (1.5km)
- RHS 15.4 to 16.9 SLK (1.5km)
- LHS 42.0 to 44.4 SLK (2.4km)
- RHS 44.9 to 46.9 SLK (2km)

The clearing width required to incorporate the passing lanes, outside the maintenance zone, is 4 meters. This activity will contain no temporary clearing, as the area will remain clear in order to maintain this as a new lateral clearance zone. Total clearing requirement for all passing lanes is 2.96 hectares.

2.1 **Project Location**

The location of the study area for the project is shown in Figure 1 below.





3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Preliminary Desktop Study

An assessment of the project area and the potential constraints of the proposal was undertaken by reviewing a number of government agency managed databases, viewing GIS shapefiles, consulting with relevant stakeholders where necessary and engaging GHD to undertake a Vegetation and Flora Survey of the area which was completed in September 2011.

3.1.1 Threatened Flora, Fauna & Communities, Conservation Reserves and ESAs

GHD was engaged to undertake a Vegetation & Flora Report of the project area. See attached report. *Main Roads Western Australia, Report for Onslow Road. Vegetation and Flora Report. September 2011.*

3.1.2 Heritage

Non-indigenous heritage was examined utilising the Australian Heritage Places Inventory (<u>http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage/places/wa/index.html</u>), Heritage Council of Western Australia Places Database (<u>http://register.heritage.wa.gov.au/</u>) and the Shire of Ashburton's <u>local heritage list</u> (previously known as the Municipal Heritage Inventory), refer to Appendix B.

3.1.3 Aboriginal Heritage

Archae-aus was contracted by Buurabalayi Thalanyi Association Inc to conduct a Aboriginal Heritage Assessment on behalf of Main Roads Gascoyne Region.

3.1.4 Sensitive Water Resources

GHD was engaged to undertake a Vegetation and Flora Survey of the project area and covered this aspect within their report. See attached report. *Main Roads Western Australia, Report for Onslow Road. Vegetation and Flora Report. September 2011. Section 3.8.*

3.1.5 Wetlands

GHD was engaged to undertake a Vegetation and Flora Survey of the project area and covered this aspect within their report. See attached report. *Main Roads Western Australia, Report for Onslow Road. Vegetation and Flora Report. September 2011. Section 3.8.*

3.1.6 Weeds

GHD was engaged to undertake a Vegetation and Flora Survey of the project area and covered this aspect within their report. See attached report. *Main Roads Western Australia, Report for Onslow Road. Vegetation and Flora Report. September 2011. Section 4.3.6.*

3.1.7 Dieback

As the project receives <400 mm of rain and is north of the 26th parallel, dieback is considered not to be an issue.

3.1.8 Contaminated Sites

A search of the DEC's contaminated sites database was undertaken (<u>https://secure.dec.wa.gov.au/idelve/css/</u>) to determine whether the project area contains or is adjacent to any contaminated sites, refer Appendix D.

3.1.9 Acid Sulfate Soils

GHD was engaged to undertake a Vegetation and Flora Survey of the project area and covered this aspect within their report. See attached report. *Main Roads Western Australia, Report for Onslow Road. Vegetation and Flora Report. September 2011. Section 3.6.*

3.1.10 Air Quality

The need for a local air quality assessment was determined using the criteria outlined in the MRWA Environmental Guideline, Air Quality.

3.2 Commonwealth Referral

The decision whether to refer the project to the Commonwealth DSEWPC was based upon whether the project would impact Commonwealth land, or may have a significant impact upon matters of national significance, which are protected under the EPBC Act. These are; World Heritage properties, National Heritage places, wetlands of international importance (listed under the Ramsar convention), Commonwealth Marine Areas, migratory species protected under international agreements, nuclear actions, nationally threatened species and ecological communities.

The DSEWPC protected matters search tool was used to determine if the project will impact upon any matters of national significance:

(<u>http://www.environment.gov.au/erin/ert/epbc/index.html</u>) refer to Appendix E for the results of this search and Section 7 for a discussion on the findings.

3.3 State Referral

The decision whether to refer the project to the State's EPA was based on whether the project would impact on environmental factors significantly enough to require referral under section 38 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*

4. EXISTING ENVIRONMENT

4.1 Description

Refer to Main Roads Western Australia, Report for Onslow Road. Vegetation and Flora Report. September 2011. Section 3.

4.2 Site Investigation

A site visit was carried out by suitably qualified GHD Ecologists between 19th and 25th April 2011 to examine the general features of the area and undertake a vegetation and flora survey. A field study of relevant biological aspects was done as well as covering issues such as reserves, wetlands, drinking water catchment areas and the impacts on creek lines. Site photos were taken and are included in the GHD Report.

5. CLEARING OF NATIVE VEGETATION

Native vegetation describes all indigenous aquatic and terrestrial vegetation (living or dead). The term does not include vegetation that was intentionally sown, planted or propagated unless it was required under a statutory condition.

Apart from activities that are exempt under the clearing regulation (Section 5 – Prescribed Clearing), typically all Main Roads clearing will be undertaken using a permit.

Clearing of vegetation will be undertaken using Main Roads State Purpose Permit CPS 818/5 for the purpose described under section 1 (a) (ii) as clearing for road infrastructure.

5.1 Details of Vegetation Associations to be Cleared

In order to assess the significance of the vegetation proposed to be cleared for Onslow Road Upgrade, the vegetation type, condition and percent of pre-European Extent remaining has been identified in Table 1 below.

| Vegetation Association | Description | Pre- European Extent (Ha) | Current Extent (Ha) | % Remaining | Status |
|---------------------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 98 | Hummock grasslands, shrub steppe; kanii over soft | 221851.483 (CAR1) | 221851.483 (CAR 1) | 100.0 (CAR1) | Least Concern |
| | spinifex & Triodia basedowii. | 153.14 (PIL4) | 153.14 (PIL4) | 100.0 (PIL4) | |
| 127 | Bare Areas; mud flats. | 100903.221 (CAR1) | 100612.131 (CAR1) | 99.7 (CAR1) | Least Concern |
| | | 179917.135 (PIL4) | 177262.423 (PIL4) | 98.5 (PIL4) | |

Table 1. Regional Assessment of Vegetation Extent for the Cape Range Sub-Region

| 583 | Hummock grasslands, sparse shrub steppe; kanji & Acacia bivenosa over hard spinifex Triodia basedowii & T. wiseana. | 2427.254 (PIL4) | 2427.254 (PIL4) | 100.0 (PIL4) | Least Concern |
|-----|---|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 585 | Mosaic: Shrublands; snakewood & Acacia victoriae | 797.02 (CAR1) | 797.02 (CAR1) | 100.0 (CAR1) | Least Concern |
| | scrub/Hummock grasslands, shrub-steppe; kanji over soft spinifex &Triodia basedowii. | 144749.039 (PIL4) | 144749.039 (PIL4) | 100.0 (PIL4) | |
| 670 | Hummock grasslands, shrub steppe; scattered | 147814.252 (CAR1) | 147714.96 (CAR1) | 99.9 (CAR1) | Least Concern |
| | shrubs over Triodia basedowii. | 1.182 (PIL4) | 1.182 (PIL4) | 100.0 (PIL4) | |
| 676 | Succulent steppe; samphire | 29195.18 (CAR1) | 28900.32 (CAR1) | 99.0 (CAR1) | Least Concern |
| | | 10369.549 (PIL4) | 10305.864 (PIL4) | 99.4 (PIL4) | |

(GHD, September 2011. Main Roads Western Australia, Report for Onslow Road. Vegetation and Flora Report)

5.2 Assessment against Clearing Principles

In assessing whether the project is likely to have a significant impact on the environment, the project was assessed against the ten clearing principles (EP Act 1986 Schedule 5).

The project is not likely to be at variance with the 10 clearing principles.

| (a) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity. | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Plant Species | | | |
| | Total Vascular Plant Taxa | | | |
| GHD ASSESSMENT (VEGETATION & FLORA ASSESSMENT | – A total of 230 flora taxa from 44 families were recorded from the Project Area, representing a moderate level of diversity, with nine weed species. This total is considered to be similar to that found in the local and regional area. Vascular Plant Taxa Diversity | | | |
| SURVEY, SEPTEMBER 2011) | Diversity in the Project Area is considered to be comparable to that found in the local area; in similar habitat that has areas of disturbance (e.g. roads, tracks, pastoral stations). | | | |

| Priority Flora, Significant Flora Three Priority Flora taxa were recorded from the Project Area: 0 Eremophila forrestii subsp. forrestii (Priority 3); 0 Triumfetta echinata (Priority 3); and 0 Tephrosia bidwillii (Priority 3); 0 Tephrosia bidwillii (Priority 3); - These species were recorded in the Project Area in locations away from the edge of the current road alignment and are not under threat from the proposed Project activities. Fauna Species Total Fauna Taxa - The reconnaissance fauna survey recorded 48 bird species, five mammal species, 10 reptile species and two amphibian species from the Project Area. The survey result was Considered to be a relatively good reflection of fauna species present. Ecosystem Diversity Number of Ecological Communities (Plant, Fauna) - A total of 19 vegetation types and six fauna habitats were recorded from the Project Area. This includes a cleared/degraded vegetation type/habitat. These communities are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Habitat Diversity Habitats (macro- and microhabitats) found in the Project Area are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Variety of Soil Types/Geological Formations - Soil types or geological formations - Soil types or geological formations of Thre | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Indep Profile Profile taxa were recorded from the Project Area: 0 Eremophila forrestii subsp. forrestii (Priority 3); 0 Triumfetta echinata (Priority 3); and 0 Tephrosia bidwillii (Priority 3); - These species were recorded in the Project Area in locations away from the edge of the current road alignment and are not under threat from the proposed Project activities. Fauna Species Total Fauna Taxa - The reconnaissance fauna survey recorded 48 bird species, five mammal species, 10 reptile species and two amphibian species from the Project Area. The survey result was Considered to be a relatively good reflection of fauna species present. Ecosystem Diversity Number of Ecological Communities (Plant, Fauna) - A total of 19 vegetation types and six fauna habitats were recorded from the Project Area. This includes a cleared/degraded vegetation type/habitat. These communities are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Habitat Diversity - Habitats (macro- and microhabitats) found in the Project Area are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Habitat Diversity - Habitats (macro- and microhabitats) found in the Project Area are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Hoist Of Types/Geological Formations - Soil types or geological formations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD Ecologists in | | Priority Flora, Significant Flora | | |
| Eremophila forrestii subsp. forrestii (Priority 3); O Triumfetta echinata (Priority 3); and O Tephrosia bidwillii (Priority 3). - These species were recorded in the Project Area in locations away from the edge of the current road alignment and are not under threat from the proposed Project activities. Fauna Species Total Fauna Taxa - The reconnaissance fauna survey recorded 48 bird species, five mammal species, 10 reptile species and two amphibian species from the Project Area. The survey result was Considered to be a relatively good reflection of fauna species present. Ecosystem Diversity Number of Ecological Communities (Plant, Fauna) - A total of 19 vegetation types and six fauna habitats were recorded from the Project Area. This includes a cleared/degraded vegetation type/habitat. These communities are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Habitat Diversity - Habitats (macro- and microhabitats) found in the Project Area are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Variety of Soil Types/Geological Formations - Soil types or geological formations in the Project Area are also present in the local and regional area. EO ASSESSMENT EO ASSESSMENT EO Assessment A the ord promotive a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected. | | Three Priority Flora taxa were recorded from the Project Area: | | |
| Elementation of the state | | u Fremonhila forrestii subsp. forrestii (Priority 3): | | |
| Triumfetta echinata (Priority 3); and 0 Tephrosia bidwillii (Priority 3). - These species were recorded in the Project Area in locations away from the edge of the current road alignment and are not under threat from the proposed Project activities. Fauna Species Total Fauna Taxa - The reconnaissance fauna survey recorded 48 bird species, five mammal species, 10 reptile species and two amphibian species from the Project Area. The survey result was Considered to be a relatively good reflection of fauna species present. Ecosystem Diversity Number of Ecological Communities (Plant, Fauna) - A total of 19 vegetation types and six fauna habitats were recorded from the Project Area. This includes a cleared/degraded vegetation type/habitat. These communities are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Habitat Diversity - Habitats (macro- and microhabitats) found in the Project Area are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Variety of Soil Types/Geological Formations - Soil types or geological formations in the Project Area are also present in the local and regional area. EO Assessment EO Assessment GHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected. METHODOLOGY & REFERENCES Project is considered unilkely to be at variance with clearing principle. The | | | | |
| o Tephrosia bidwillii (Priority 3). - These species were recorded in the Project Area in locations away from the edge of the current road alignment and are not under threat from the proposed Project activities. Fauna Species Total Fauna Taxa - The reconnaissance fauna survey recorded 48 bird species, five mammal species, 10 reptile species and two amphibian species from the Project Area. The survey result was Considered to be a relatively good reflection of fauna species present. Ecosystem Diversity Number of Ecological Communities (Plant, Fauna) - A total of 19 vegetation types and six fauna habitats were recorded from the Project Area. This includes a cleared/degraded vegetation type/habitat. These communities are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Habitat Diversity - Habitats (macro- and microhabitats) found in the Project Area are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Variety of Soil Types/Geological Formations - Soil types or geological formations in the Project Area are also present in the local and regional area. EO ASSESSMENT EO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD Ecologists in Appendix A of this PEIA/EMP report. Mapping shows that population locations are not within the proposed work locations and that the works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected. METHODOLOGY & GHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | Triumfetta echinata (Priority 3); and | | |
| Tephrosia bidwillii (Priority 3). - These species were recorded in the Project Area in locations away from the edge of the current road alignment and are not under threat from the proposed Project activities. Fauna Species Total Fauna Taxa - The reconnaissance fauna survey recorded 48 bird species, five mammal species, 10 reptile species and two amphibian species from the Project Area. The survey result was Considered to be a relatively good reflection of fauna species present. Ecosystem Diversity Number of Ecological Communities (Plant, Fauna) - A total of 19 vegetation types and six fauna habitats were recorded from the Project Area. This includes a cleared/degraded vegetation type/habitat. These communities are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Habitat Diversity - Habitats (macro- and microhabitats) found in the Project Area are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Variety of Soil Types/Geological Formations - Soil types or geological formations in the Project Area are also present in the local and regional area. EO ASSESSMENT EO ASSESSMENT EO Assessment GHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected. METHODOLOGY & REFERENCES METHODOLOGY & Considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoi | | | | |
| These species were recorded in the Project Area in locations away from the edge of the current road alignment and are not under threat from the proposed Project activities. Fauna Species Total Fauna Taxa The reconnaissance fauna survey recorded 48 bird species, five mammal species, 10 reptile species and two amphibian species from the Project Area. The survey result was Considered to be a relatively good reflection of fauna species present. Ecosystem Diversity Number of Ecological Communities (Plant, Fauna) A total of 19 vegetation types and six fauna habitats were recorded from the Project Area. This includes a cleared/degraded vegetation type/habitat. These communities are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Habitat Diversity Habitats (macro- and microhabitats) found in the Project Area are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Variety of Soil Types/Geological Formations Soil types or geological formations in the Project Area are also present in the local and regional area. EO ASSESSMENT EO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD Ecologists in Appendix A of this PEIA/EMP report. Mapping shows that population locations are not within the proposed work locations and that the works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected. METHODOLOGY & GHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | Tephrosia bidwillii (Priority 3). | | |
| edge of the current road alignment and are not under threat from the proposed Project activities. Fauna Species Total Fauna Taxa - The reconnaissance fauna survey recorded 48 bird species, five mammal species, 10 reptile species and two amphibian species from the Project Area. The survey result was Considered to be a relatively good reflection of fauna species present. Ecosystem Diversity Number of Ecological Communities (Plant, Fauna) - A total of 19 vegetation types and six fauna habitats were recorded from the Project Area. This includes a cleared/degraded vegetation type/habitat. These communities are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Habitat Diversity - Habitats (macro- and microhabitats) found in the Project Area are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Variety of Soil Types/Geological Formations - Soil types or geological formations in the Project Area are also present in the local and regional area. EO ASSESSMENT EO ASSESSMENT EO ASSESSMENT EO ASSESSMENT GHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected. METHODOLOGY & REFRENCES METHODOLOGY to species can be avoided as part of this project. | | - These species were recorded in the Project Area in locations away from the | | |
| proposed Project activities. Fauna Species Total Fauna Taxa - The reconnaissance fauna survey recorded 48 bird species, five mammal species, 10 reptile species and two amphibian species from the Project Area. The survey result was Considered to be a relatively good reflection of fauna species present. Ecosystem Diversity Number of Ecological Communities (Plant, Fauna) - A total of 19 vegetation types and six fauna habitats were recorded from the Project Area. This includes a cleared/degraded vegetation type/habitat. These communities are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Habitat Diversity - Habitats (macro- and microhabitats) found in the Project Area are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Variety of Soil Types/Geological Formations - Soil types or geological formations in the Project Area are also present in the local and regional area. EO ASSESSMENT EO ASSESSMENT EO ASSESSMENT EO ASSESSMENT Cologists in Appendix A of this PEIA/EMP report. Mapping shows that population locations are not within the proposed work locations and that the works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected. METHODOLOGY & REFERENCES Project is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | edge of the current road alignment and are not under threat from the | | |
| Fauna Species Total Fauna Taxa - The reconnaissance fauna survey recorded 48 bird species, five mammal species, 10 reptile species and two amphibian species from the Project Area. The survey result was Considered to be a relatively good reflection of fauna species present. Ecosystem Diversity Number of Ecological Communities (Plant, Fauna) - A total of 19 vegetation types and six fauna habitats were recorded from the Project Area. This includes a cleared/degraded vegetation type/habitat. These communities are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Habitat Diversity - Soil types or geological formations - Soil types or geological formations in the Project Area are also present in the local and regional area. EO ASSESSMENT EO ASSESSMENT EO ASSESSMENT EO ASSESSMENT Child Diversity Species is expected. GHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP document Project is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this proje | | proposed Project activities. | | |
| Total Fauna Taxa - The reconnaissance fauna survey recorded 48 bird species, five mammal species, 10 reptile species and two amphibian species from the Project Area. The survey result was Considered to be a relatively good reflection of fauna | | Fauna Species | | |
| The reconnaissance fauna survey recorded 48 bird species, five mammal species, 10 reptile species and two amphibian species from the Project Area. The survey result was Considered to be a relatively good reflection of fauna species present. Ecosystem Diversity Number of Ecological Communities (Plant, Fauna) A total of 19 vegetation types and six fauna habitats were recorded from the Project Area. This includes a cleared/degraded vegetation type/habitat. These communities are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Habitat Diversity Habitats (macro- and microhabitats) found in the Project Area are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Variety of Soil Types/Geological Formations Soil types or geological formations in the Project Area are also present in the local and regional area. EO ASSESSMENT EO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD Ecologists in Appendix A of this PEIA/EMP report. Mapping shows that population locations are not within the proposed work locations and that the works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected. METHODOLOGY & GHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP document Project is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | Total Fauna Taxa | | |
| species, 10 reptile species and two amphibian species from the Project Area. The survey result was Considered to be a relatively good reflection of fauna species present.Ecosystem Diversity Number of Ecological Communities (Plant, Fauna) – A total of 19 vegetation types and six fauna habitats were recorded from the Project Area. This includes a cleared/degraded vegetation type/habitat. These communities are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Habitat Diversity – Habitats (macro- and microhabitats) found in the Project Area are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Variety of Soil Types/Geological Formations – Soil types or geological formations in the Project Area are also present in the local and regional area.EO ASSESSMENTEO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD Ecologists in Appendix A of this PEIA/EMP report. Mapping shows that population locations are not within the proposed work locations and that the works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected.METHODOLOGY & REFERENCESGHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP documentProject is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | - The reconnaissance fauna survey recorded 48 bird species, five mammal | | |
| The survey result was Considered to be a relatively good reflection of fauna species present.Ecosystem Diversity Number of Ecological Communities (Plant, Fauna) – A total of 19 vegetation types and six fauna habitats were recorded from the Project Area. This includes a cleared/degraded vegetation type/habitat. These communities are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Habitat Diversity – Habitats (macro- and microhabitats) found in the Project Area are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Variety of Soil Types/Geological Formations – Soil types or geological formations in the Project Area are also present in the local and regional area.EO ASSESSMENTEO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD Ecologists in Appendix A of this PEIA/EMP report. Mapping shows that population locations are not within the proposed work locations and that the works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected.METHODOLOGY & REFERENCESGHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP documentProject is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | species, 10 reptile species and two amphibian species from the Project Area. | | |
| Species present.Ecosystem DiversityNumber of Ecological Communities (Plant, Fauna)- A total of 19 vegetation types and six fauna habitats were recorded from theProject Area. This includes a cleared/degraded vegetation type/habitat. Thesecommunities are also present in the local area in similar or better condition.Habitat Diversity- Habitats (macro- and microhabitats) found in the Project Area are alsopresent in the local area in similar or better condition.Variety of Soil Types/Geological Formations- Soil types or geological formations in the Project Area are also present inthe local and regional area.EO ASSESSMENTEO ASSESSMENTEO ASSESSMENTEO ASSESSMENTEO ASSESSMENTBO ASSESSMENTBO BERCHCologists in Appendix A of this PEIA/EMP report. Mapping shows that population locations are not within the proposed work locations and that the works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected.METHODOLOGY & REFERENCESProject is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | The survey result was Considered to be a relatively good reflection of fauna | | |
| Ecosystem Diversity Number of Ecological Communities (Plant, Fauna) – A total of 19 vegetation types and six fauna habitats were recorded from the Project Area. This includes a cleared/degraded vegetation type/habitat. These communities are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Habitat Diversity – Habitats (macro- and microhabitats) found in the Project Area are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Variety of Soil Types/Geological Formations – Soil types or geological formations in the Project Area are also present in the local and regional area.EO ASSESSMENTEO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD Ecologists in Appendix A of this PEIA/EMP report. Mapping shows that population locations are not within the proposed work locations and that the works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected.METHODOLOGY & REFERENCESGHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP documentProject is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | species present. | | |
| Number of Ecological Communities (Plant, Fauna) – A total of 19 vegetation types and six fauna habitats were recorded from the Project Area. This includes a cleared/degraded vegetation type/habitat. These communities are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Habitat Diversity – Habitat (macro- and microhabitats) found in the Project Area are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Variety of Soil Types/Geological Formations – Soil types or geological formations in the Project Area are also present in the local and regional area.EO ASSESSMENTEO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD Ecologists in Appendix A of this PEIA/EMP report. Mapping shows that population locations are not within the proposed work locations and that the works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected.METHODOLOGY & REFERENCESGHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP documentProject is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | Ecosystem Diversity | | |
| A total of 19 vegetation types and six fauna habitats were recorded from the Project Area. This includes a cleared/degraded vegetation type/habitat. These communities are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Habitat Diversity Habitat Diversity Habitats (macro- and microhabitats) found in the Project Area are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Variety of Soil Types/Geological Formations Soil types or geological formations in the Project Area are also present in the local and regional area. EO ASSESSMENT EO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD Ecologists in Appendix A of this PEIA/EMP report. Mapping shows that population locations are not within the proposed work locations and that the works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected. METHODOLOGY & GHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP document Project is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | Number of Ecological Communities (Plant, Fauna) | | |
| Project Area. This includes a cleared/degraded vegetation type/habitat. These communities are also present in the local area in similar or better condition.Habitat Diversity - Habitats (macro- and microhabitats) found in the Project Area are also present in the local area in similar or better condition.Variety of Soil Types/Geological Formations - Soil types or geological formations in the Project Area are also present in the local and regional area.EO ASSESSMENTEO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD Ecologists in Appendix A of this PEIA/EMP report. Mapping shows that population locations are not within the proposed work locations and that the works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected.METHODOLOGY & REFERENCESGHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP documentProject is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | – A total of 19 vegetation types and six fauna habitats were recorded from the | | |
| Communities are also present in the local area in similar or better condition.Habitat Diversity - Habitats (macro- and microhabitats) found in the Project Area are also present in the local area in similar or better condition.Variety of Soil Types/Geological Formations - Soil types or geological formations in the Project Area are also present in the local and regional area.EO ASSESSMENTEO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD Ecologists in Appendix A of this PEIA/EMP report. Mapping shows that population locations are not within the proposed work locations and that the works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected.METHODOLOGY & REFERENCESGHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP documentProject is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | Project Area. This includes a cleared/degraded vegetation type/habitat. These | | |
| Habitat Diversity - Habitats (macro- and microhabitats) found in the Project Area are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Variety of Soil Types/Geological Formations - Soil types or geological formations in the Project Area are also present in the local and regional area.EO ASSESSMENTEO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD Ecologists in Appendix A of this PEIA/EMP report. Mapping shows that population locations are not within the proposed work locations and that the works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected.METHODOLOGY & REFERENCESGHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP documentProject is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | communities are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. | | |
| Habitats (macro- and micronabitats) found in the Project Area are also present in the local area in similar or better condition. Variety of Soil Types/Geological Formations - Soil types or geological formations in the Project Area are also present in the local and regional area. EO ASSESSMENT EO ASSESSMENT EO ASSESSMENT EO ASSESSMENT EO ASSESSMENT EO BERT BERTHODOLOGY & REFERENCES METHODOLOGY & REFERENCES CHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP document Project is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | Habitat Diversity | | |
| Variety of Soil Types/Geological Formations- Soil types or geological formations in the Project Area are also present in the local and regional area.EO ASSESSMENTEO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD Ecologists in Appendix A of this PEIA/EMP report. Mapping shows that population locations are not within the proposed work locations and that the works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected.METHODOLOGY & REFERENCESGHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP documentProject is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | - Habitats (macro- and micronabitats) found in the Project Area are also | | |
| Variety of Soli Types/Geological Pormations- Soil types or geological formations in the Project Area are also present in the local and regional area.EO ASSESSMENTEO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD Ecologists in Appendix A of this PEIA/EMP report. Mapping shows that population locations are not within the proposed work locations and that the works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected.METHODOLOGY & REFERENCESGHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP documentProject is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | present in the local area in similar or better condition. | | |
| EO ASSESSMENT EO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD EO ASSESSMENT EO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD EO ASSESSMENT EO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD EO ASSESSMENT EO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD EO ASSESSMENT EO Oswald mapped the locations of this PEIA/EMP report. Mapping shows that population locations are not within the proposed work locations and that the works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected. METHODOLOGY & REFERENCES GHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP document Project is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | Soil types or geological formations | | |
| EO ASSESSMENT EO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD EO ASSESSMENT EO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD EO ASSESSMENT EO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD EO ASSESSMENT EO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD EO ASSESSMENT EO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD EO ASSESSMENT EO Oswald mapped the locations of this PEIA/EMP report. Mapping shows that population locations are not within the proposed work locations and that the works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No Clearing of Priority Species is expected. GHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP document Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP document Project is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | the local and regional area | | |
| EO ASSESSMENTEO Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD Ecologists in Appendix A of this PEIA/EMP report. Mapping shows that population locations are not within the proposed work locations and that the works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected.METHODOLOGY & REFERENCESGHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP documentProject is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | | | |
| EO ASSESSMENT Ecologists in Appendix A of this PEIA/EMP report. Mapping shows that population locations are not within the proposed work locations and that the works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected. METHODOLOGY & REFERENCES GHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP document Project is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | EQ Oswald mapped the locations of Threatened Flora recorded by GHD | | |
| EO ASSESSMENT population locations are not within the proposed work locations and that the works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected. METHODOLOGY & REFERENCES GHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP document Project is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | Ecologists in Appendix A of this PEIA/EMP report. Mapping shows that | | |
| works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No clearing of Priority Species is expected.METHODOLOGY & REFERENCESGHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP documentProject is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | EO ASSESSMENT | population locations are not within the proposed work locations and that the | | |
| clearing of Priority Species is expected. METHODOLOGY & REFERENCES GHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP document Project is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | works will not have a detrimental impact to the survival of Priority Species. No | | |
| METHODOLOGY & REFERENCESGHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP documentProject is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | | clearing of Priority Species is expected. | | |
| REFERENCESThreatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP documentProject is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the knownPriority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | METHODOLOGY & | GHD Desktop assessment of available information, field survey results and | | |
| Project is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | REFERENCES | Threatened Flora Mapping Attachment A of PEIA/EMP document | | |
| Priority Flora species can be avoided as part of this project. | Project is considered unlikely to be at variance with clearing principle. The locations of the known | | | |
| | | | | |

| (b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Australia. GHD ASSESSMENT (VEGETATION & FLORA ASSESSMENT SURVEY, SEPTEMBER 2011) | Significant Fauna Threatened Fauna - The desktop assessment indicated that threatened fauna may potentially utilise the Project Area. Significant habitat for threatened fauna was not recorded within the Project Area, with habitat is considered to be common in the local and regional area. No Threatened Fauna taxa were recorded from the Project Area. Priority Fauna - The desktop assessment indicated that priority fauna may potentially utilise the Project Area. Priority Fauna - The desktop assessment indicated that priority fauna may potentially utilise the Project Area for foraging. Two Priority 4 fauna species were recorded within the Project Area: 0 Ardeotis australis (Australian Bustard); and 0 Falco hypoleucos (Grey Falcon). - Both Priority Fauna species are considered to utilise a wide range and are considered unlikely to be impacted by the proposed project. These species are highly mobile and expected to move away during Project activities. Other Significant Fauna - The desktop assessment indicated that significant fauna may occur in the Project Area. Nine EPBC Act Marine and/or Migratory Listed species were recorded from the Project Area. These species are considered common in | | | |
| | | | | |

| | Habitat | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Significant Habitat / Habitats of Significance | | |
| | No habitat deemed to be significant occurs in the Project Area. Habitat in | | |
| | the Project Area also occurs in the local area in similar or better condition. | | |
| The habitat in the Project Area is disturbed by road maintenance, urban | | | |
| | development, salt works, and pastoral activities. | | |
| | Habitat Extent and Retention | | |
| | Habitats recorded in the Project Area are also found in the local area in | | |
| similar or better condition. The proposed Project will not significantly diminis | | | |
| the extent of these habitats. | | | |
| | Ecological Corridors | | |
| | - The habitat in the Project Area occurs in a region with relatively undisturbed | | |
| | ecological corridors. Existing corridors are not considered to be significantly | | |
| | modified by the proposed project. | | |
| | Assessment | | |
| | | | |
| METHODOLOGY & | GHD Desktop assessment of available information and GHD field survey | | |
| REFERENCES | results | | |
| Two Priority Fauna species were recorded from the Project Area, however, due to their mobile | | | |
| nature: the Project is considered unlikely to be impact on these species and is therefore | | | |

nature; the Project is considered unlikely to be impact on these species and is therefore considered unlikely to be at variance with this clearing principle.

| (c) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, rare flora. | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| GHD ASSESSMENT (VEGETATION & FLORA ASSESSMENT SURVEY, SEPTEMBER 2011) | Rare Flora Presence – No Threatened (Declared Rare) Flora taxa were indicated to occur within the vicinity of the Project Area as a result of database searches. No Threatened (Declared Rare) Flora taxa were recorded in the Project Area during the field survey. Habitat – No habitat considered to be required for the continued existence of Threatened Flora is considered to be present in the Project Area. | | | |
| METHODOLOGY & GHD Desktop assessment of available information and GHD field survey results | | | | |
| Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle. | | | | |

| (d) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| necessary for the | necessary for the maintenance of, a threatened ecological community. | | |
| GHD ASSESSMENT (VEGETATION & FLORA ASSESSMENT SURVEY, SEPTEMBER 2011) | Extent and Status Vegetation Associations within the vicinity of the project area are considered to be of Least Concern with >90% remaining. Vegetation types recorded in the project area are considered to be equivalent to the Vegetation Associations indicated by Beard. Communities No Threatened or Priority Ecological Communities were recorded from the Project area. Areas No Environmentally Sensitive Areas occur within or immediately adjacent to the Project Area. | | |
| METHODOLOGY & REFERENCES | GHD Desktop assessment of available information and GHD field survey results | | |
| Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle. | | | |

| (e) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared. | | |
|--|--|--|
| GHD ASSESSMENT (BIOLOGICAL SURVEY, JUNE 2011) | Vegetation <i>Extent and Status</i> Vegetation Associations within the vicinity of the project area are considered to be of Least Concern with >90% remaining. Vegetation types recorded in the project area are considered to be equivalent to the Vegetation Associations indicated by Beard. Regionally Significant Areas | |

| | Vegetation within the Project Area is not considered to contain communities required to maintain ecosystem services (e.g. hydrological processes). | |
|---|--|--|
| METHODOLOGY & REFERENCES | GHD Desktop assessment of available information and GHD field survey results | |
| Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle. | | |

| (f) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| environment associated with a watercourse or w | environment associated with a watercourse or wetland. | | | | |
| GHD ASSESSMENT (VEGETATION & FLORA ASSESSMENT SURVEY, SEPTEMBER 2011)Watercourses and Wetlands Vegetation – A number of saline wetlands o these have been highly modified considered to be natural. – There are a few un-modified w These will not be impacted by th the road alignment. – Two ill-defined floodways with Project Area associated with run contains riparian vegetation.GHD ASSESSMENT SURVEY, SEPTEMBER 2011)– There are a few un-modified w These will not be impacted by th the road alignment. – Two ill-defined floodways with Project Area associated with run contains riparian vegetation. | ccur within the Project Area. The majority of for the production of salt and are no longer etlands within the vicinity of the Project Area. e proposed Project as they occur away from occur towards the southern end of the off from adjacent hills. Neither floodway ystems osystems occur within or adjacent to the | | | | |
| METHODOLOGY & GHD Desktop assessment of av | ailable information and GHD field survey | | | | |
| REFERENCES results | | | | | |
| Given that no upgrade works will be undertaken over the causeways section of Onslow Road | | | | | |
| which contains the modified wetlands, the proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle. | | | | | |
| METHODOLOGY & REFERENCES GHD Desktop assessment of available information and GHD field survey results Given that no upgrade works will be undertaken over the causeways section of Onslow Road which contains the medified works will be proposel is not likely to be at variance to this Principle | | | | | |

| (g) Native vegeta | tion should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to |
|--|--|
| cause appreciable | e land degradation. |
| GHD ASSESSMENT (VEGETATION & FLORA ASSESSMENT SURVEY, SEPTEMBER 2011) | Land Degradation Soil Erosion The Project proposes to clear vegetation to allow for the upgrade of Onslow Road. Erosion from wind is considered to be moderate, with some of vegetation in the northern project area occurring on consolidated sand dunes. The small scale of the project is considered unlikely to impact on the risk of soil erosion in the Project Area. The clearing of native vegetation is not expected to alter the quality or quantity of water run-off in or adjacent to the project area. Waterlogging and changes to nutrient levels are not expected to be altered by the clearing of vegetation in the project area. Soil Acidity The clearing of vegetation is not considered to alter soil acidity in or adjacent to the project area. Salinity The clearing of vegetation is not considered to significantly alter the hydrological balance and cause a change in the salinity either on- or off-site. |
| METHODOLOGY & REFERENCES | GHD Desktop assessment of available information and GHD field survey |
| Proposal is not like | Iv to be at variance to this Principle |
| r roposur is not like | |

| (h) Native vegeta | tion should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to |
|-------------------|---|
| have an impact or | n the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area. |
| | Conservation Areas |
| | Protected Areas |
| GHD ASSESSMENT | - At the southern end of the Project Area, the Onslow Road passes through |
| (VEGETATION & | the Cane River Nature Reserve. |
| | Fragmentation |
| SURVEY. | - The Project Area occurs in an area where the vegetation is relatively un- |
| SEPTEMBER 2011) | fragmented. |
| | Ecological Linkages |
| | - The Project Area occurs in a region where the vegetation where ecological |

| | linkages remain mostly intact. Existing ecological linkages are not considered to be impacted by the proposed works. |
|-----------------------------|--|
| METHODOLOGY & REFERENCES | GHD Desktop assessment of available information and GHD field survey results |
| Proposal is not like | ly to be at variance to this Principle. |

| (i) Native vegetat cause deterioration | ion should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to on in the quality of surface or underground water. |
|--|--|
| GHD ASSESSMENT (VEGETATION & FLORA ASSESSMENT SURVEY, SEPTEMBER 2011) | Water Quality Catchment Areas The project area does not occur within a proclaimed Public Drinking Water Supply Catchment. Groundwater The clearing of vegetation is not considered to cause an alteration to the quality of groundwater in or adjacent to the project area. No groundwater dependent ecosystems occur in or adjacent to the project area. Surface Water The clearing of vegetation is not considered to cause an alteration to the quality of surface waters in or adjacent to the Project area. |
| METHODOLOGY & REFERENCES | GHD Desktop assessment of available information and GHD field survey results |
| Proposal is not like | ely to be at variance to this Principle. |

| (j) Native vegetat cause, or exacerb | ion should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to pate, the incidence or intensity of flooding. |
|--|--|
| GHD ASSESSMENT (VEGETATION & FLORA ASSESSMENT SURVEY, SEPTEMBER 2011) | Flooding The clearing of vegetation in the project area is not considered to alter the frequency or intensity of flood events. Runoff coefficients in the project area are not likely to be significantly altered by the clearing of native vegetation. |
| METHODOLOGY & REFERENCES | GHD Desktop assessment of available information and GHD field survey results |
| Proposal is not like | ly to be at variance to this Principle. |

5.3 Summary of Management Actions

Main Roads attempts to avoid clearing vegetation if possible, where clearing cannot be avoided then this clearing is kept to a minimum. The following actions are proposed to manage and minimise vegetation clearing for the Onslow Road Upgrade;

- Select design/locations that minimise adverse impacts on the biological environment,
- Materials storage areas will be located on previously disturbed/ designated area

The following table summarizes what further assessment and management is required in accordance with MRWA State-wide vegetation Clearing Permit (CPS 818).

| | ,, , | |
|---|-----------------|---|
| Impact of Clearing | Yes/No or NA | Further Action Required |
| 1. Does the assessment indicate that the clearing may be at variance or is at variance with one or more of the principles for clearing? | No | If no, No further action required. – skip question 2 and 3 |
| 2. Does the assessment indicate that the clearing is at variance with one or more of the principles for clearing? (This decision may be pending information to be provided in an EIA/biological survey. If this is the case indicate NA.) | N/A | |
| 3. Does the assessment indicate that | N/A | |

Table 3: Summary of Additional Management Actions

| the clearing is at variance with clearing principle (g) land degradation, (i) surface or underground water quality or (j) the incidence of flooding? (This decision may be pending information to be provided in an EIA/biological survey. If this is the case indicate NA.) | | |
|---|----|--|
| 4. Will the project involve clearing for purposes considered temporary in nature under Condition 13 of CPS818? | No | If no, No further action required. Cleared area will remain cleared as necessary for the maintenance of the asset. |

6. ASSESSMENT OF ASPECTS AND IMPACTS

| Aspect | Evaluation of Potential Impacts |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Vegetation – clearing | 2.96 hectares of native vegetation is proposed to be cleared. |
| | The native vegetation proposed to be cleared is well represented regionally as it possesses more than 30% of its pre-European extent |
| | According to Keighery, (1994) the condition of the native vegetation to be cleared is described as very good to good. |
| | Of the 2.96 hectares of native vegetation proposed to be cleared, 0 hectares is temporary clearing. |
| Vegetation – TECs/DRF | No TEC's/DRF species are present within the project area. |
| | Field surveys by GHD and mapping of threatened flora locations confirms that the proposal is not going to have a impact upon any DRF, TECs or Priority Flora. |
| | No Matters of National Environmental Significance as protected under EPBC Act (1999) will be impacted. |
| Vegetation – weeds | There are numerous common weed species that occur throughout the proposed works areas. These species are widespread within the reserve and general area. |
| Vegetation – dieback | Not an issue given the project area receives less than 400 mm of average annual rainfall or is located above the 26° parallel. |
| Fauna | No significant fauna issues associated with the proposed works. With the generally degraded and exposed nature of the works areas, no significant impacts would be expected on native fauna generally as a result of the proposed works. |
| | No Matters of National Environmental Significance as protected under the EPBC Act (1999) will be impacted. |
| Heritage (non- indigenous) | A search of the Australian Heritage Places Inventory, Heritage Council of Western Australia and the Shire of Ashburton's Municipal Heritage Inventory on- line databases has indicated that there are no known sites of heritage significance within the vicinity of the project area. |
| | No Matters of National Environmental Significance as protected under EPBC Act (1999) will be impacted. |

Table 4: Aspects and Impacts – Onslow Road Upgrade

Table 4: Aspects and Impacts – Onslow Road Upgrade

| Aspect | Evaluation of Potential Impacts |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Aboriginal heritage | Aboriginal Heritage Assessment undertaken by Archae-aus found 2 archaeological sites of significance within the road reserve. |
| | The proposed works will not impact upon these sites. |
| Wetlands | No wetlands occur within the vicinity of the project area. |
| | No Matters of National Environmental Significance as protected under EPBC Act (1999) will be impacted. |
| Surface water/drainage | GHD Biological Report (September 2011) indicates that the proposed works will not disturb or interrupt any natural drainage and surface run-off patterns. |
| Groundwater | No dewatering nor drainage modifications are required, hence no change to groundwater level or quality. |
| Reserves / Conservation areas | There are no conservation areas or reserves that will be impacted by these works. |
| Air quality | Not relevant to the proposed works. Local air quality assessment is not required for the project since: • the predicted traffic flow is less than 10,000 vehicles per day (in urban areas) or 15,000 vehicles per day in rural areas |
| | residential and other sensitive receptors are not within 200 meters of the road centre; |
| Dust | Likely to be a minor issue during earthworks. No major sensitive receivers adjacent to the proposed works, but excessive dust could impact vegetation. This is likely to be easily managed by standard construction dust management techniques. |
| Noise and vibration | No major sensitive local receivers. Construction works is not expected to significantly contribute to noise levels at the nearest sensitive receivers, provided works are limited to normal working hours. |
| Visual amenity | The proposed works will result in minor and short-term visual impacts during construction. |
| Public safety and risk | Provided traffic management and signage to Main Roads standards is employed, none of the proposed works present any significant hazards to public safety. The proposed works will serve to enhance public safety by improving local road and pedestrian conditions. |
| Hazardous substances | Not relevant to the proposed works, the project requires no hazardous substances to be used. |
| Contamination | The works are within the road reserve and no known previous land use activities on or adjacent to the project area have had the potential to create contamination, e.g. petrol station. A search of the DEC's contaminated sites database indicates there are no identified contaminated sites within the project area. |
| Salinity | Not an issue due to the minor nature of the works. |
| Acid Sulfate Soils | As the works will not occur over the modified wetlands (salt lakes), Acid Sulphate Soils will not be a concern. |
| Statutory Land Use Planning | As the proposed works are entirely within the existing road reserve no planning scheme amendments are required. |

| Aspect | Evaluation of Potential Impacts |
|--|---|
| World Heritage properties | The project will not impact any World Heritage properties i.e. <u>Shark</u> <u>Bay</u> |
| National Heritage places | A search of the Australian Heritage Places Inventory Database located no sites within the vicinity of the project. |
| Wetlands of international importance (Ramsar) | A search of the Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities Protected Matters Search Tool located no Ramsar Wetland(s) within the vicinity of the project. See Appendix E. |
| Nationally threatened species or ecological communities | A search of the Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities Protected Matters Search Tool located no threatened ecological communities, no threatened species and no listed marine species within the vicinity of the project(|
| Migratory species protected under international agreements | A search by GHD of the Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities Protected Matters Search Tool located 2 migratory species that may occur within the vicinity of the project (see section 3.10.3 of report). The project activities will not have a significant impact on these species. |
| Commonwealth marine areas | The project will not impact any Commonwealth marine area or marine protected area i.e. Ningaloo Marine Park |
| Commonwealth lands | The project is not located on and will not impact any Commonwealth lands. |
| Nuclear Actions | Not relevant to the proposed works. |

 Table 5:
 Commonwealth Aspects and Impacts – Onslow Road Upgrade

7. DECISION TO REFER

7.1 Referral to the Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities

The preliminary impact assessment determined the project will not have a significant impact on Matters of National Environmental Significance or impact Commonwealth land as outlined in Table 5 of the report. For this reason the project does not require referral to the Commonwealth Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities.

7.2 Referral to the Environmental Protection Authority

Due to the small scale of the project, the low significance of its impacts to the surrounding environment and that it is unlikely the project will generate significant public interest, the project does not require referral to the WA Environmental Protection Authority.

8. **REFERENCES**

Keighery, B. J. 1994. *Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community*. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.

GHD, September 2011. *Main Roads Western Australia, Report for Onslow Road. Vegetation and Flora Report.*

Attachment A

Threatened Flora Location Mapping

| Object | SLK | Side of | Distance(m) to Flora from Road Centre | | | | | |
|--------|----------|---------|---|------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------|----------|
| ID | Location | Road | Line | Genus | Species | Status | Easting | Northing |
| 8 | 48.87 | Right | 16.48 | Tephrosia | bidwillii | P3 | 311418 | 7579680 |
| 20 | 56.57 | Left | 107.71 | Triumfetta | echinata | P3 | 305898 | 7585003 |
| 19 | 56.59 | Left | 124.4 | Triumfetta | echinata | P3 | 305877 | 7585007 |
| 18 | 56.6 | Left | 126.38 | Triumfetta | echinata | P3 | 305868 | 7585019 |
| 17 | 56.61 | Left | 123.24 | Triumfetta | echinata | P3 | 305867 | 7585024 |
| 16 | 56.63 | Left | 124.91 | Triumfetta | echinata | P3 | 305855 | 7585044 |
| 14 | 63.32 | Left | 121.39 | Triumfetta | echinata | P3 | 302105 | 7590585 |
| 11 | 63.33 | Left | 226.15 | Triumfetta | echinata | P3 | 302049 | 7590591 |
| 12 | 63.33 | Left | 206.45 | Triumfetta | echinata | P3 | 302069 | 7590591 |
| 13 | 63.34 | Left | 198.11 | Triumfetta | echinata | P3 | 302077 | 7590597 |
| 10 | 63.35 | Left | 225.1 | Triumfetta | echinata | P3 | 302050 | 7590604 |
| | | | | | forrestii subsp. | | | |
| 3 | 63.5 | Left | 37.39 | Eremophila | viridis | P3 | 302259 | 7590756 |
| 4 | 64.74 | Right | 16.97 | Eremophila | forrestii subsp. viridis | P3 | 302607 | 7591956 |









11

Produced by: Matt Oswald (using data supplied by GHD Blological survey) Date: 14/09/11



Appendix A

Low Impact Environmental Screening Checklist

Form No. 6707/001/01

Checklist - Low Impact Screening Checklist

The Low Impact Screening Checklist is part of the environmental assessment and approval process, refer to in Figure 2 in the Main Roads environmental guideline Environment Assessment and Approvals. It should be noted that the checklist does not address Aboriginal heritage issues. Please refer to Main Roads guideline *Aboriginal Heritage* for the heritage assessment process.

All projects are to be screened to identify those that are Low Impact,

Projects that have "No" to all items are classed as Low Impact and should be implemented using standard contract clauses in the Tender Document Process.

Projects that have "Yes" to any item will require further environmental assessment and will be implemented using an Environmental Management Plan.

Tick "Yes" or "No" for every item.

Project Name ONSLOW RD UPGRAPE - SEAL WIDENIN & OVERTAINING CANC SCK O - SLK 79.4 SLK.

| ITEM NO. | ITEM | YN | | | |
|------------------------------|--|-----|--|--|--|
| 1 | New road or road reserve to be created or expansion of existing road reserve. | 1 | | | |
| 2 | Works require clearing of native vegetation outside the maintenance zone. | | | | |
| 3 | Works require clearing of native vegetation that is older than 10 years old within the maintenance zone. | | | | |
| 4 | Works to occur outside normal working hours. | | | | |
| 5 | Passes over, adjoins or drains directly into a wetland or sensitive watercourse. | | | | |
| 6 | Local natural drainage regime / hydrology will be changed. | | | | |
| 7 | Dewatering, or a new water bore required. | | | | |
| 8 | Known potential source of hazardous materials within or adjoining project area. | | | | |
| 9 | Buildings will require demolition. | | | | |
| Comple | eted By: Signature A. Date 26 69 2011 Name P.G. WARD. Title Port D | | | | |
| To be r a Main Enviroi | eviewed by Signature M_{affew} Date $z \cdot 19 / n$ Roads Name Naffew Oxward Title EO . | | | | |
| Comm | ents: WORKS WILL NOT INVOLVE UPPRADES TO CHUSEWAYS, CAUSED | JAG | | | |

MAIN ROADS Western Australia Form 670700101 Screening Checklist Rev 3.doc

30/05/07

Appendix B

Australian Heritage Places Inventory, Heritage Council of Western Australia and the Municipal Heritage Inventory Database Searches



Search undertaken by: Matt Oswald 21/09/11 Result: No items of world or national heritage places

| | hell | herita | Die Has Conjuid ju Ausen |
|-------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | Home + Places Database + Search Results | | Places Database |
| uck Selle | Displaying results: [1-23] of 23 | | = 1 A |
| venced Search | | | , Help |
| under Lant Location Report | hide selected hide unselected | | |
| ieve Deta | WW1 & WW2 (15824) | RM Forrest Menorial Hall, Onalow | |
| e is The Rate Repider? | Adduction Room Road Bridge (1996) | over Ashburton River, Minderoo Stri, Onslow | |
| er Heritage Lists | 🖸 Beadon,Hotel (15364) | 22-26 Second Av, Ontion | |
| | Castal Margin - Extractin Galf to Casto Prestan (19617) | Exmouth Gulf to Cape Prestor, Onside | |
| | D House, First Street (18472) | Ondow | |
| | Hinderso, Hamestead (15369) | Along the Ashburton River, Onslow | |
| | Institution Sanda Island Lightbooke (15741) | Onsiow | |
| | (Md Onstew Police States (1949) | Ov Old Henne & Denzil St, Onalise | |
| | Old Oration Tournaity (3444) | Reserve 35118, Onside | 🐮 (NEGER) 🐮 (ASSESSER) |
| | C (19007) | 55 Second Avenue, Ondoe | |
| | Chroken Fire Station (fer) (14626) | Second Ave, Ondow | |
| | Charlow Conde Shed Manager | | |
| | () (14626) | Second Ave, Onside | |
| | C Craine Goods Shed Marriers (14638) | Second Au, Onalise | |
| | Diston Poice States (17387) | Sergeon Street, Ondiae | |
| | C Oration Flast Office & Besidence (Burg (15367) | 29 Second Ave, Onslow | |
| | Predamila Instant (nam) (4656) | Great Northern Hwy 50 k SE, Onslow | 10 (MER FO 10 (ASSESS FO |
| | D Police Residence (Inc) (15366) | 1-3 First St. Criv Second & Third Av. Orabae | |
| | C fessiones (18376) | 25 Third Ave, Onslow | |
| | E feederic - Smeeting (19377) | 18 Third Ave, Onalow | |
| | Solders' Henrid, Will, B Solders' Henrid, Will, B Henrid Rape, 1992 & March 201 and 21420 | R M Foreat Menoral Hall, Online | |
| | D St. Necholas Church (19092) | 19 Third Ave, Ordon | |
| | The Front Load Light (15826) | Second Ac, Online | |
| | Transac and Jetta (4232) | Old Onalize Townaits | |
| | Inde selected | SASE SEARCH I ADVANCED PLACES D | HIP |
| | Perform a new: QUICK PLACES DATAS | SASE SEARCH ADVANCED PLACES D | Centred In Planas Extrateon consile. Al higher facement. |

Search Query: Place name: Onslow. Local Government: Ashburton Result: No items of state heritage will be impacted by works Appendix C

Aboriginal Heritage Investigation

PRELIMINARY ADVICE OF AN INDIGENOUS ARCHAEOLOGICAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT OF THREE PROPOSED BORROW PITS AND A CORRIDOR ALONG THE ONSLOW ROAD, ONSLOW, WA

April 2011 Stage 2

For Buurabalayji Thalanyji Association Inc.

> By Ian Seah (BA Hons [UWA])



(See TRIM Document D11#232388 for full report)



Appendix D

DEC Contaminated Sites Database Search



Search undertaken by: Matt Oswald 06/09/11 Result: No places matching search criteria.

Appendix E

DSEWPC Database Search



Search undertaken by: Matt Oswald 22/09/11 Result: No places matching search criteria. Appendix F

Environmental Management Plan

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

ONSLOW ROAD UPGRADE

Introduction

This Environmental Management Plan (EMP) has been developed for the project area following the completion of the Preliminary Environmental Impact Assessment (PEIA) report. The aim of this EMP is to minimise the environmental impacts associated with the proposed works as well as to identify areas of responsibilities required for the implementation of management strategies.

This EMP addresses specific issues that were identified during the PEIA. The project management measures identified within this EMP are in addition to the standard environmental management contract specifications used for Category 2 projects. Main Roads' standard environmental contract specifications (Specifications 203, 204, 301, 302 and 304) are to be adhered to where appropriate.

The areas that require special management will be addressed in terms of:

- the timing of the various management actions;
- the topic (e.g. vegetation);
- the objectives for each area;
- the actions that are necessary to minimise the impact;
- the responsible party for implementing the action; and
- whether the action arose from external advice or is a Main Roads requirement.

Communication Plan

Environmental issues specific to the project will be communicated as follows:

| Method | Frequency | Participants | Reference | Record |
|--------------|---------------|--|---|-------------------|
| Project Site | | | | |
| Induction | Prior to Work | All personnel and subcontractors | EMP and Contractor Environment al Policy | Induction Meeting |

| | ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---|--|-------------------------------|------------|
| Timing | Торіс | Objective | Action | Responsible Party | Advice |
| All phases of Construction | Vegetation Clearing - Record-keeping | All projects should maintain the required records relating to clearing native vegetation under the purpose permit. | Clearing: a copy of the PEIA & EMP (Minor projects) for small projects; a map showing the location where the clearing occurred, recorded in an ESRI Shapefile the size of the area cleared (in hectares); and the dates on which the clearing was done. | Project Manager | DEC |
| All phases of Construction | Vegetation Clearing - Record-keeping | All projects should maintain the required records relating to clearing native vegetation under the purpose permit. | Control of weeds, dieback and other pathogens: a copy of any management plan prepared; and for any pathogen other than dieback, the appropriate steps taken. | Project Manager | Main Roads |
| Pre - Construction | Visual Amenity | Ensure that road blends into environment. | Develop design documentation to meet project requirements as identified in the visual impact assessment. | Project Manager | Main Roads |
| Pre- Vege Construction | Vegetation Clearing Vegetation Clearing Ensure that the overall objectives of the alignment and construction works are compatible with maintaining and, where possible, enhancing the biological integrity of the surrounding environment and minimising vegetation loss and degradation; and Ensure the retention of as many habitat trees, shrubs and vegetated corridors for fauna as possible, particularly where associated with riparian zones. | n Clearing Ensure that the overall objectives of the alignment and construction works are compatible with maintaining and, where possible, enhancing the biological integrity of the surrounding environment and minimising vegetation loss and degradation: and | Selection of designs/locations that minimise adverse impacts on the biological environment. | Project Manager | Main Roads |
| | | | Construction works to be undertaken in summer to reduce the potential for soil erosion and drainage line siltation due to vegetation removal and heavy rains. | Project Manager | Main Roads |
| | | | Control/spray weeds species within the project area prior to construction to limit the amount of propagative material that may be spread during disturbance. | Contractor | Main Roads |
| | | Any stockpiled vegetation from clearing works shall not be burnt. This vegetation shall be used during any rehabilitation works and either mulched or respread according to the TDP/Revegetation Plan. | Contractor | Main Roads | |
| Construction | Noise, Vibration and Dust | Ensure that the construction of the proposal does not | Access to private property and appropriate traffic management measures should be planned and implemented prior to the construction of works. | Contractor | Main Roads |
| | | become a nuisance to the public. | Any complaints regarding dust will be attended to as soon as possible. | Contractor/Project Manager | Main Roads |
| Construction | Pollution and Litter Ensure that the c of the proposal is to a standard that any adverse impa environment. | Ensure that the construction of the proposal is managed to a standard that minimises | The designated servicing area will be bunded to contain any spills or leaks and shall not be located in an area adjacent to any drainage areas or watercourses or will drain into a temporary sump. | Contractor | Main Roads |
| | | any adverse impacts on the environment. | Emergency cleanup procedures shall be implemented in the case of any spillage. These will include control of spilled material and removal of contaminated soil to an approved site. The contractor shall ensure appropriate equipment is available at all times and shall notify the Superintendent's Representative of a spill. | Contractor | Main Roads |

| ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|---|---|----------------------|------------|
| Timing | Торіс | Objective | Action | Responsible Party | Advice |
| | | | The project areas, including hardstand areas, will be kept in a tidy manner at all times. | Contractor | Main Roads |
| Construction Fire | Fire | Ensure that the fire risk | No fires shall be lit within the project area. | Contractor | Main Roads |
| | | associated with the construction of the proposal is minimised. | Machinery will be fitted with approved spark arresting mufflers. | Contractor | Main Roads |
| Construction Fauna | Fauna | Avoid unnecessary impacts to fauna and damage to fauna habitat. | Fauna are not to be fed or intentionally harmed. | Contractor | Main Roads |
| | | | No pets or firearms permitted on site. | Contractor | Main Roads |
| | | | The WILDCARE Helpline is to be contacted, 9474 9055, in the event of sick, injured or orphaned native wildlife on the site. | Contractor | Main Roads |
| Construction | Site Management | Ensure that the site is managed to ensure that construction of the proposal will have minimal impact upon the surrounding environment. | Site office and materials storage areas will be located on previously disturbed/ designated area. | Contractor | Main Roads |